## SECTION 4. REVENUE DECOUPLING MECHANISM

4.1 The Settling Parties agree that Unitil shall implement a Revenue Decoupling Mechanism ("RDM") substantially as proposed in the initial prefiled testimony of Unitil witness Timothy Lyons, subject to the adjustments specified in this Settlement Agreement. Specifically, the Settling Parties agree and recommend that the Commission approve a RDM using a Revenue Per Customer ("RPC") model that shall reconcile monthly actual and authorized RPC by rate class. Settlement Attachment 3 provides the Company's monthly target RPCs effective August 1, 2022 and also provides preliminary monthly target RPCs effective September 1, 2022 to reflect the 2022 Step Adjustment.

### 4.2 The Company shall implement the RDM as follows:

4.2.1 First, the Company shall record monthly variances between actual and authorized RPC for each rate class. Rather than record and reconcile the variances on an annual basis, the variances shall be recorded and reconciled separately, for the Peak (November through April) and Off-Peak (May through October) periods (the "Measurement Periods"). The monthly variances in the applicable Measurement Period shall then be totaled by class. The total variances by customer class group and carrying costs shall form the basis for the revenue decoupling adjustment ("RDA") by group and the calculation of revenue decoupling adjustment factors ("RDAF") (surcharges or credits). A Customer Class Group comprises the rate schedules combined for purposes of calculating the RDA amounts. The four Customer Class Groups shall be: (1) Residential Heating (R-5 and R-10); (2) Residential Non-Heating (R-6); (3) C\&I High Load Factor (G-50, G-51, G-52); and (4) C\&I Low Load Factor (G-40, G-41, G-42).
4.2.2 Second, the Company shall annually file with the Commission the applicable RDAF 45 days in advance of November 1. The filing will provide the proposed RDAF for the Peak period, for effect November 1, and subsequent Off-Peak period, for effect May 1. The RDA for the Peak period shall reflect actual data for the
entire six month period while the RDA for the Off-Peak period shall reflect actual data for the first three months of the period and estimated data for the remaining three months. The filing shall include the RDA by group, including prior period reconciliation and calculation of the RDAF. Pursuant to this Settlement Agreement, rather than reconcile the RDA on an allocated basis as initially proposed by Unitil, the Company shall reconcile the RDA using the four customer class groups defined in subpart 4.2.1 above. The RDAF shall be calculated as a dollar per therm charge or credit based on the RDA for each group divided by the projected therm sales for each group over the prospective six-month period November through April and May through October ("the RDM Adjustment Period"). The RDAF shall be charged or credited to customer bills during the RDM Adjustment Period.
4.2.3 Unitil shall implement an RDA cap of 4.25 percent of approved distribution revenues as established by this Settlement for each group over the relevant Measurement Period(s) for over- and under-recoveries. To the extent that the RDA for a group, including prior period reconciliation exceeds 4.25 percent of distribution revenue, the amount over or under 4.25 percent shall be deferred, with carrying costs accrued monthly at the Prime Rate with said Prime Rate to be fixed on a quarterly basis and to be established as reported in The Wall Street Journal on the first business day of the month preceding the calendar quarter. If more than one interest rate is reported, the average of the reported rates shall be used. In the Company's next distribution rate case, parties to that proceeding may propose specific treatment of any carried balances remaining at that time.
4.2.4 The Settling Parties agree that the RDM shall be implemented at the proposed effective date of new permanent rates on August 1, 2022. At that time, Unitil shall cease accruing Lost Base Revenue ("LBR") due to energy efficiency and shall transition to decoupling as described in the August 2, 2021 Testimony of Christopher Goulding and Daniel Nawazelski at Bates pages 000111-113.
4.2.5 With respect to the treatment of special contract revenue, the Company shall not implement its proposal to reconcile test year special contract revenue with actual revenue. The Settling Parties agree that if any special contract customers become tariff customers, they will be excluded from the RDM.

## SECTION 5. STEP ADJUSTMENT

5.1 For purposes of calculating the Step Adjustment, the following definitions shall apply:
5.1.1 Accumulated Depreciation is the cumulative net credit balance arising from the provision for depreciation expense, cost of removal, salvage, and retirements. Non-growth depreciation expense and retirements shall be apportioned to nongrowth investments based upon the proportion of non-growth related Plant Additions relative to total Plant Additions in the Investment Year.
5.1.2 Change in Net Plant is the change in Net Utility Plant from one Investment Year to the next, which accounts for Plant Additions as well as Accumulated Depreciation.
5.1.3 Change in Growth Net Plant is the actual amount of growth-related Plant Additions in the Investment Year as set forth in Settlement Attachment 2 and Accumulated Depreciation. The amount of Depreciation Expense used in calculating Accumulated Depreciation is apportioned to growth-related Plant Additions based upon the proportion of growth-related Plant Additions relative to total Plant Additions in the Investment Year.
5.1.4 Change in Non-Growth Net Plant is the difference between the total Change in Net Plant less the Change in Growth Net Plant for the Investment Year.
5.1.5 Depreciation Expense is the return of the Company's investment calculated by multiplying the Non-Growth Additions by the average depreciation rate of 3.46 percent.
5.1.6 Externally Imposed Accounting Rule Change shall be deemed to have occurred if the Financial Accounting Standards Board or the Securities and Exchange

# Northern Utilities, Inc. - New Hampshire Division Decoupling <br> Target Distribution Revenues 

|  | Effective | Effective |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | August 1, 2022 | September 1, 2022 |


| Test Year Adjusted Distribution Revenues | $\$$ | $39,796,840$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Permanent Rate Increase ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | $6,321,881$ |  |  |
| Distribution Revenues | $\$$ | $46,118,721$ | $\$$ | $46,118,721$ |
| Add: Step Adjustment (Illustrative) |  | - | $1,554,966$ |  |
| Target Distribution Revenues |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\$$ | $46,118,721$ | $\$$ | $47,673,687$ |

## Notes:

(1) Reflects permanent rate increase of $\$ 6,091,477$ plus $\$ 231,477$ related to the reduction of indirect production and A\&G costs recovered as a part of the Company's Cost of Gas Clause

## Northern Utilities, Inc. - New Hampshire Division

Decoupling
Target Revenues by Class

| Distribution Revenues | Residential |  |  |  |  | Commercial and Industrial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| August 1, 2022-July 31, 2023 | R6 |  | R5-R10 |  | G40 |  | G50 |  | G41 |  | G51 |  | G42 |  | G52 |  | Total |  |
| Test Year Distribution Revenues | \$ | 493,626 | \$ | 20,731,783 | \$ | 6,745,829 | \$ | 1,024,226 | \$ | 5,235,691 | \$ | 1,396,947 | \$ | 1,545,114 | \$ | 2,623,624 | \$ | 39,796,840 |
| Rate Increase |  | 156,858 |  | 4,153,139 |  | 803,485 |  | 81,431 |  | 623,639 |  | 111,074 |  | 183,925 |  | 208,329 |  | 6,321,881 |
| Distribution Revenues | \$ | 650,484 | \$ | 24,884,923 | \$ | 7,549,314 | \$ | 1,105,657 | \$ | 5,859,330 | \$ | 1,508,021 | \$ | 1,729,040 | \$ | 2,831,954 | \$ | 46,118,721 |
| Add: Step Increase (Illustrative) |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Target Distribution Revenues | \$ | 650,484 | \$ | 24,884,923 | \$ | 7,549,314 | \$ | 1,105,657 | \$ | 5,859,330 | \$ | 1,508,021 | \$ | 1,729,040 | \$ | 2,831,954 | \$ | 46,118,721 |


| Distribution Revenues | Residential |  |  |  |  | Commercial and Industrial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 1, 2022-July 31, 2023 | R6 |  | R5-R10 |  | G40 |  | G50 |  | G41 |  | G51 |  | G42 |  | G52 |  | Total |  |
| Distribution Revenues | \$ | 650,484 | \$ | 24,884,923 | \$ | 7,549,314 | \$ | 1,105,657 | \$ | 5,859,330 | \$ | 1,508,021 | \$ | 1,729,040 | \$ | 2,831,954 | \$ | 46,118,721 |
| Add: Step Increase (Illustrative) |  | 21,932 |  | 839,035 |  | 254,537 |  | 37,279 |  | 197,557 |  | 50,845 |  | 58,297 |  | 95,484 |  | 1,554,966 |
| Target Distribution Revenues | \$ | 672,416 | \$ | 25,723,957 | \$ | 7,803,851 | \$ | 1,142,936 | \$ | 6,056,886 | \$ | 1,558,867 | \$ | 1,787,337 | \$ | 2,927,437 | \$ | 47,673,687 |

Northern Utilities, Inc. - New Hampshire Division
Decoupling
Target Revenue Per Customer (August 1, 2022 - July 31, 2023)

| Effective August 1, 2022-July 31, 2023 <br> Target Distribution Revenues | Residential |  |  | G40 |  | Commercial and Industrial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | G52 |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | R6 | R5-R10 |  |  |  | G50 |  | G41 |  | G51 |  | G42 |  |  |  |  |
| August | \$ | 43,469 | 869,904 | \$ | 440,087 | \$ | 90,360 | \$ | 224,198 | \$ | 109,671 | \$ | 81,872 | \$ | 179,237 | \$ | 2,038,797 |
| September |  | 45,061 | 1,065,619 |  | 471,242 |  | 89,712 |  | 266,617 |  | 110,685 |  | 90,199 |  | 193,243 |  | 2,332,378 |
| October |  | 49,036 | 1,544,043 |  | 546,135 |  | 89,697 |  | 386,028 |  | 117,578 |  | 121,777 |  | 197,663 |  | 3,051,958 |
| November |  | 56,938 | 2,380,112 |  | 676,041 |  | 92,963 |  | 571,508 |  | 129,681 |  | 162,326 |  | 285,141 |  | 4,354,712 |
| December |  | 67,596 | 3,410,170 |  | 841,505 |  | 99,163 |  | 784,836 |  | 145,866 |  | 205,766 |  | 313,238 |  | 5,868,140 |
| January |  | 70,787 | 3,822,380 |  | 907,302 |  | 101,082 |  | 864,510 |  | 150,812 |  | 232,479 |  | 273,823 |  | 6,423,175 |
| February |  | 65,398 | 3,461,729 |  | 848,677 |  | 98,465 |  | 785,679 |  | 143,803 |  | 212,555 |  | 303,245 |  | 5,919,552 |
| March |  | 61,346 | 3,013,885 |  | 773,827 |  | 94,858 |  | 686,417 |  | 139,369 |  | 194,882 |  | 281,262 |  | 5,245,846 |
| April |  | 53,002 | 2,015,950 |  | 617,331 |  | 86,058 |  | 466,208 |  | 121,141 |  | 149,170 |  | 279,727 |  | 3,788,586 |
| May |  | 49,588 | 1,435,099 |  | 527,417 |  | 86,742 |  | 347,432 |  | 118,302 |  | 109,412 |  | 177,511 |  | 2,851,503 |
| June |  | 45,129 | 1,022,468 |  | 463,646 |  | 87,704 |  | 257,466 |  | 112,031 |  | 87,286 |  | 175,188 |  | 2,250,918 |
| July |  | 43,134 | 843,564 |  | 436,103 |  | 88,852 |  | 218,430 |  | 109,081 |  | 81,314 |  | 172,675 |  | 1,993,154 |
| 12ME July | \$ | 650,484 | 24,884,923 |  | 7,549,314 | \$1 | 1,105,657 |  | ,859,330 |  | ,508,021 |  | ,729,040 | \$2, | 831,954 |  | 46,118,721 |


| Effective August 1, 2022-July 31, 2023 Customers in Authorized Rate Design | Residential |  |  |  | Commercial and Industrial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R6 |  | R5-R10 |  | G40 |  | G50 |  | G41 |  | G51 |  | G42 |  | G52 |  |
| August | \$ | 1,277 | \$ | 26,815 | \$ | 5,234 | \$ | 831 | \$ | 704 | \$ | 267 | \$ | 31 | \$ | 33 |
| September |  | 1,277 |  | 26,815 |  | 5,234 |  | 831 |  | 704 |  | 267 |  | 31 |  | 33 |
| October |  | 1,277 |  | 26,815 |  | 5,234 |  | 831 |  | 704 |  | 267 |  | 31 |  | 33 |
| November |  | 1,277 |  | 26,815 |  | 5,234 |  | 831 |  | 704 |  | 267 |  | 31 |  | 33 |
| December |  | 1,277 |  | 26,815 |  | 5,234 |  | 831 |  | 704 |  | 267 |  | 31 |  | 33 |
| January |  | 1,277 |  | 26,815 |  | 5,234 |  | 831 |  | 704 |  | 267 |  | 31 |  | 33 |
| February |  | 1,277 |  | 26,815 |  | 5,234 |  | 831 |  | 704 |  | 267 |  | 31 |  | 33 |
| March |  | 1,277 |  | 26,815 |  | 5,234 |  | 831 |  | 704 |  | 267 |  | 31 |  | 33 |
| April |  | 1,277 |  | 26,815 |  | 5,234 |  | 831 |  | 704 |  | 267 |  | 31 |  | 33 |
| May |  | 1,277 |  | 26,815 |  | 5,234 |  | 831 |  | 704 |  | 267 |  | 31 |  | 33 |
| June |  | 1,277 |  | 26,815 |  | 5,234 |  | 831 |  | 704 |  | 267 |  | 31 |  | 33 |
| July |  | 1,277 |  | 26,815 |  | 5,234 |  | 831 |  | 704 |  | 267 |  | 31 |  | 33 |


| Effective August 1, 2022-July 31, 2023 Monthly Revenue Per Customer | Residential |  |  |  | G40 |  | Commercial and Industrial |  |  |  |  |  | G42 |  | G52 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | R6 |  | -R10 |  |  |  | G50 |  | G41 |  | G51 |  |  |  |  |
| August | \$ | 34.05 | \$ | 32.44 | \$ | 84.08 | \$ | 108.68 | \$ | 318.36 | \$ | 411.52 | \$ | 2,641.02 | \$ | 5,431.42 |
| September |  | 35.29 |  | 39.74 |  | 90.03 |  | 107.90 |  | 378.59 |  | 415.33 |  | 2,909.65 |  | 5,855.83 |
| October |  | 38.41 |  | 57.58 |  | 104.34 |  | 107.88 |  | 548.15 |  | 441.19 |  | 3,928.30 |  | 5,989.80 |
| November |  | 44.60 |  | 88.76 |  | 129.16 |  | 111.81 |  | 811.53 |  | 486.61 |  | 5,236.34 |  | 8,640.65 |
| December |  | 52.95 |  | 127.17 |  | 160.77 |  | 119.26 |  | 1,114.46 |  | 547.34 |  | 6,637.61 |  | 9,492.05 |
| January |  | 55.45 |  | 142.55 |  | 173.34 |  | 121.57 |  | 1,227.59 |  | 565.90 |  | 7,499.33 |  | 8,297.66 |
| February |  | 51.22 |  | 129.10 |  | 162.14 |  | 118.42 |  | 1,115.65 |  | 539.60 |  | 6,856.63 |  | 9,189.26 |
| March |  | 48.05 |  | 112.40 |  | 147.84 |  | 114.09 |  | 974.70 |  | 522.96 |  | 6,286.51 |  | 8,523.10 |
| April |  | 41.51 |  | 75.18 |  | 117.94 |  | 103.50 |  | 662.01 |  | 454.56 |  | 4,811.93 |  | 8,476.58 |
| May |  | 38.84 |  | 53.52 |  | 100.76 |  | 104.32 |  | 493.35 |  | 443.91 |  | 3,529.42 |  | 5,379.12 |
| June |  | 35.35 |  | 38.13 |  | 88.58 |  | 105.48 |  | 365.60 |  | 420.38 |  | 2,815.68 |  | 5,308.73 |
| July |  | 33.79 |  | 31.46 |  | 83.32 |  | 106.86 |  | 310.17 |  | 409.31 |  | 2,623.05 |  | 5,232.57 |
| Total | \$ | 509.50 | \$ | 928.03 | \$ | 1,442.27 | \$ | 1,329.77 | \$ | 8,320.15 | \$ | 5,658.62 |  | 5,775.47 |  | 5,816.77 |



| [A] | [B] | [C] | [D] | [E] | [F] | [G] | [H] | [1] |  | [J] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Billing Determinants |  |  |  | Calendar Month Revenue |  |  |  |
|  |  | Description | Month | Pro Forma Test Year Customers | Winter Therms | Summer Therms | Total Calendarized Therms |  | Total lendarized Revenue |  | ndarized enue Per ustomer |
| 40 | G-40/T-40 | Low Annual, High Winter | January | 5,234 | 2,105,842 | 0 | 2,105,842 | \$ | 907,302 | \$ | 173.34 |
| 41 |  |  | February | 5,234 | 1,853,148 | 0 | 1,853,148 | \$ | 848,677 | \$ | 162.14 |
| 42 |  | Rates | March | 5,234 | 1,530,519 | 0 | 1,530,519 | \$ | 773,827 | \$ | 147.84 |
| 43 |  | Customer | April | 5,234 | 855,965 | 0 | 855,965 | \$ | 617,331 | \$ | 117.94 |
| 44 |  | \$80.00 | May | 5,234 | 0 | 468,408 | 468,408 | \$ | 527,417 | \$ | 100.76 |
| 45 |  | Per Therm | June | 5,234 | 0 | 193,531 | 193,531 | \$ | 463,646 | \$ | 88.58 |
| 46 |  | \$0.2320 | July | 5,234 | 0 | 74,813 | 74,813 | \$ | 436,103 | \$ | 83.32 |
| 47 |  |  | August | 5,234 | 0 | 91,982 | 91,982 | \$ | 440,087 | \$ | 84.08 |
| 48 |  |  | September | 5,234 | 0 | 226,274 | 226,274 | \$ | 471,242 | \$ | 90.03 |
| 49 |  |  | October | 5,234 | 0 | 549,088 | 549,088 | \$ | 546,135 | \$ | 104.34 |
| 50 |  |  | November | 5,234 | 1,109,028 | 0 | 1,109,028 | \$ | 676,041 | \$ | 129.16 |
| 51 |  |  | December | 5,234 | 1,822,234 | 0 | 1,822,234 | \$ | 841,505 | \$ | 160.77 |
| 52 |  |  |  |  | 9,276,737 | 1,604,096 | 10,880,833 | \$ | 7,549,314 | \$ | 1,442.27 |
| 53 | G-50/T-50 | Low Annual, Low Winter | January | 831 | 165,778 | 0 | 165,778 | \$ | 101,082 | \$ | 121.57 |
| 54 |  |  | February | 831 | 153,226 | 0 | 153,226 | \$ | 98,465 | \$ | 118.42 |
| 55 |  | Rates | March | 831 | 135,926 | 0 | 135,926 | \$ | 94,858 | \$ | 114.09 |
| 56 |  | Customer | April | 831 | 93,720 | 0 | 93,720 | \$ | 86,058 | \$ | 103.50 |
| 57 |  | \$80.00 | May | 831 | 0 | 97,002 | 97,002 | \$ | 86,742 | \$ | 104.32 |
| 58 |  | Per Therm | June | 831 | 0 | 101,613 | 101,613 | \$ | 87,704 | \$ | 105.48 |
| 59 |  | \$0.2085 | July | 831 | 0 | 107,123 | 107,123 | \$ | 88,852 | \$ | 106.86 |
| 60 |  |  | August | 831 | 0 | 114,352 | 114,352 | \$ | 90,360 | \$ | 108.68 |
| 61 |  |  | September | 831 | 0 | 111,245 | 111,245 | \$ | 89,712 | \$ | 107.90 |
| 62 |  |  | October | 831 | 0 | 111,176 | 111,176 | \$ | 89,697 | \$ | 107.88 |
| 63 |  |  | November | 831 | 126,839 | 0 | 126,839 | \$ | 92,963 | \$ | 111.81 |
| 64 |  |  | December | 831 | 156,573 | 0 | 156,573 | \$ | 99,163 | \$ | 119.26 |
| 65 |  |  |  |  | 832,063 | 642,511 | 1,474,573 | \$ | 1,105,657 | \$ | 1,329.77 |
| 66 | G-41/T-41 | Med. Annual, High Winter | January | 704 | 2,573,095 | 0 | 2,573,095 | \$ | 864,510 | \$ | 1,227.59 |
| 67 |  |  | February | 704 | 2,285,810 | 0 | 2,285,810 | \$ | 785,679 | \$ | 1,115.65 |
| 68 |  | Rates | March | 704 | 1,924,069 | 0 | 1,924,069 | \$ | 686,417 | \$ | 974.70 |
| 69 |  | Customer | April | 704 | 1,121,559 | 0 | 1,121,559 | \$ | 466,208 | \$ | 662.01 |
| 70 |  | \$225.00 | May | 704 | 0 | 688,701 | 688,701 | \$ | 347,432 | \$ | 493.35 |
| 71 |  | Per Therm | June | 704 | 0 | 360,838 | 360,838 | \$ | 257,466 | \$ | 365.60 |
| 72 |  | \$0.2744 | July | 704 | 0 | 218,577 | 218,577 | \$ | 218,430 | \$ | 310.17 |
| 73 |  |  | August | 704 | 0 | 239,596 | 239,596 | \$ | 224,198 | \$ | 318.36 |
| 74 |  |  | September | 704 | 0 | 394,184 | 394,184 | \$ | 266,617 | \$ | 378.59 |
| 75 |  |  | October | 704 | 0 | 829,358 | 829,358 | \$ | 386,028 | \$ | 548.15 |
| 76 |  |  | November | 704 | 1,505,305 | 0 | 1,505,305 | \$ | 571,508 | \$ | 811.53 |
| 77 |  |  | December | 704 | 2,282,740 | 0 | 2,282,740 | \$ | 784,836 | \$ | 1,114.46 |
| 78 |  |  |  |  | 11,692,577 | 2,731,254 | 14,423,832 | \$ | 5,859,330 | \$ | 8,320.15 |


| [A] | [B] | [C] | [D] | [E] | [F] | [G] | [H] | [1] |  | [J] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Billing Determinants |  |  |  | Calendar Month Revenue |  |  |  |
|  |  | Description | Month | Pro Forma Test Year Customers | Winter Therms | Summer Therms | Total Calendarized Therms |  | Total lendarized Revenue |  | lendarized venue Per ustomer |
| 79 | G-51/T-51 | Med. Annual, Low Winter | January | 267 | 548,609 | 0 | 548,609 | \$ | 150,812 | \$ | 565.90 |
| 80 |  |  | February | 267 | 506,285 | 0 | 506,285 | \$ | 143,803 | \$ | 539.60 |
| 81 |  | Rates | March | 267 | 479,510 | 0 | 479,510 | \$ | 139,369 | \$ | 522.96 |
| 82 |  | Customer | April | 267 | 369,435 | 0 | 369,435 | \$ | 121,141 | \$ | 454.56 |
| 83 |  | \$225.00 | May | 267 | 0 | 352,292 | 352,292 | \$ | 118,302 | \$ | 443.91 |
| 84 |  | Per Therm | June | 267 | 0 | 314,422 | 314,422 | \$ | 112,031 | \$ | 420.38 |
| 85 |  | \$0.1656 | July | 267 | 0 | 296,610 | 296,610 | \$ | 109,081 | \$ | 409.31 |
| 86 |  |  | August | 267 | 0 | 300,172 | 300,172 | \$ | 109,671 | \$ | 411.52 |
| 87 |  |  | September | 267 | 0 | 306,298 | 306,298 | \$ | 110,685 | \$ | 415.33 |
| 88 |  |  | October | 267 | 0 | 347,918 | 347,918 | \$ | 117,578 | \$ | 441.19 |
| 89 |  |  | November | 267 | 421,008 | 0 | 421,008 | \$ | 129,681 | \$ | 486.61 |
| 90 |  |  | December | 267 | 518,741 | 0 | 518,741 | \$ | 145,866 | \$ | 547.34 |
| 91 |  |  |  |  | 2,843,588 | 1,917,712 | 4,761,300 | \$ | 1,508,021 | \$ | 5,658.62 |
| 92 | G-42/T-42 | High Annual, High Winter | January | 31 | 915,167 | 0 | 915,167 | \$ | 232,479 | \$ | 7,499.33 |
| 93 |  |  | February | 31 | 819,517 | 0 | 819,517 | \$ | 212,555 | \$ | 6,856.63 |
| 94 |  | Rates | March | 31 | 734,670 | 0 | 734,670 | \$ | 194,882 | \$ | 6,286.51 |
| 95 |  | Customer | April | 31 | 515,218 | 0 | 515,218 | \$ | 149,170 | \$ | 4,811.93 |
| 96 |  | \$1,350.00 | May | 31 | 0 | 324,350 | 324,350 | \$ | 109,412 | \$ | 3,529.42 |
| 97 |  | Per Therm | June | 31 | 0 | 218,129 | 218,129 | \$ | 87,286 | \$ | 2,815.68 |
| 98 |  | \$0.2083 | July | 31 | 0 | 189,460 | 189,460 | \$ | 81,314 | \$ | 2,623.05 |
| 99 |  |  | August | 31 | 0 | 192,134 | 192,134 | \$ | 81,872 | \$ | 2,641.02 |
| 100 |  |  | September | 31 | 0 | 232,113 | 232,113 | \$ | 90,199 | \$ | 2,909.65 |
| 101 |  |  | October | 31 | 0 | 383,712 | 383,712 | \$ | 121,777 | \$ | 3,928.30 |
| 102 |  |  | November | 31 | 578,379 | 0 | 578,379 | \$ | 162,326 | \$ | 5,236.34 |
| 103 |  |  | December | 31 | 786,923 | 0 | 786,923 | \$ | 205,766 | \$ | 6,637.61 |
| 104 |  |  |  |  | 4,349,875 | 1,539,897 | 5,889,772 | \$ | 1,729,040 | \$ | 55,775.47 |
| 105 | G-52/T-52 | High Annual, Low Winter | January | 33 | 1,332,981 | 0 | 1,332,981 | \$ | 273,823 | \$ | 8,297.66 |
| 106 |  |  | February | 33 | 1,504,043 | 0 | 1,504,043 | \$ | 303,245 | \$ | 9,189.26 |
| 107 |  | Rates | March | 33 | 1,376,235 | 0 | 1,376,235 | \$ | 281,262 | \$ | 8,523.10 |
| 108 |  | Customer | April | 33 | 1,342,269 | 41,018 | 1,383,288 | \$ | 279,727 | \$ | 8,476.58 |
| 109 |  | \$1,350.00 | May | 33 | 5,650 | 1,257,039 | 1,262,689 | \$ | 177,511 | \$ | 5,379.12 |
| 110 |  | Per Therm Summer | June | 33 | 12,462 | 1,223,757 | 1,236,219 | \$ | 175,188 | \$ | 5,308.73 |
| 111 |  | \$0.1050 | July | 33 | 0 | 1,220,236 | 1,220,236 | \$ | 172,675 | \$ | 5,232.57 |
| 112 |  | Per Therm Winter | August | 33 | 0 | 1,282,733 | 1,282,733 | \$ | 179,237 | \$ | 5,431.42 |
| 113 |  | \$0.1720 | September | 33 | 0 | 1,416,119 | 1,416,119 | \$ | 193,243 | \$ | 5,855.83 |
| 114 |  |  | October | 33 | 43,229 | 1,387,409 | 1,430,639 | \$ | 197,663 | \$ | 5,989.80 |
| 115 |  |  | November | 33 | 1,381,287 | 28,665 | 1,409,953 | \$ | 285,141 | \$ | 8,640.65 |
| 116 |  |  | December | 33 | 1,562,138 | 0 | 1,562,138 | \$ | 313,238 | \$ | 9,492.05 |
| 117 |  |  |  |  | 8,560,295 | 7,856,979 | 16,417,274 | \$ | 2,831,954 | \$ | 85,816.77 |
| 118 |  | Total |  |  | 54,149,473 | 20,002,636 | 74,152,109 | \$ | 46,118,721 |  |  |

## Northern Utilities, Inc. - New Hampshire Division

 DecouplingTarget Revenue Per Customer (September 1, 2022 - July 31, 2023)

| Effective September 1, 2022-July 31, 2023 Target Distribution Revenues | Residential |  |  |  | Commercial and Industrial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | R6 |  | R5-R10 |  | G40 |  | G50 | G41 |  | G51 |  | G42 |  | G52 | Total |
| August (at August 1, 2022 Rates) | \$ | 43,469 |  | 869,904 | \$ | 440,087 | \$ | 90,360 | \$ 224,198 | \$ | 109,671 | \$ | 81,872 | \$ | 179,237 | \$ 2,038,797 |
| September |  | 46,303 |  | 1,088,212 |  | 476,581 |  | 92,761 | 272,107 |  | 114,176 |  | 92,574 |  | 199,807 | 2,382,521 |
| October |  | 50,573 |  | 1,589,617 |  | 559,090 |  | 92,744 | 397,580 |  | 121,543 |  | 125,703 |  | 204,424 | 3,141,274 |
| November |  | 59,063 |  | 2,465,848 |  | 702,206 |  | 96,439 | 592,474 |  | 134,480 |  | 168,244 |  | 295,764 | 4,514,517 |
| December |  | 70,512 |  | 3,545,385 |  | 884,496 |  | 103,454 | 816,630 |  | 151,778 |  | 213,818 |  | 325,101 | 6,111,174 |
| January |  | 73,940 |  | 3,977,396 |  | 956,985 |  | 105,625 | 900,348 |  | 157,065 |  | 241,843 |  | 283,945 | 6,697,147 |
| February |  | 68,151 |  | 3,599,421 |  | 892,398 |  | 102,664 | 817,515 |  | 149,574 |  | 220,941 |  | 314,667 | 6,165,330 |
| March |  | 63,797 |  | 3,130,064 |  | 809,936 |  | 98,583 | 713,215 |  | 144,835 |  | 202,399 |  | 291,713 | 5,454,543 |
| April |  | 54,833 |  | 2,084,192 |  | 637,525 |  | 88,627 | 481,829 |  | 125,352 |  | 154,442 |  | 290,111 | 3,916,910 |
| May |  | 51,166 |  | 1,475,440 |  | 538,468 |  | 89,401 | 357,024 |  | 122,317 |  | 112,731 |  | 183,381 | 2,929,929 |
| June |  | 46,376 |  | 1,042,988 |  | 468,212 |  | 90,489 | 262,492 |  | 115,614 |  | 89,518 |  | 180,956 | 2,296,645 |
| July |  | 44,233 |  | 855,490 |  | 437,868 |  | 91,788 | 221,474 |  | 112,462 |  | 83,253 |  | 178,332 | 2,024,900 |
| 11ME July | \$ | 672,416 | \$ | 25,723,957 |  | \$7,803,851 |  | 1,142,936 | \$6,056,886 |  | ,558,867 |  | ,787,337 | \$ | ,927,437 | \$ 47,673,687 |


| Effective September 1, 2022-July 31, 2023 Customers in Authorized Rate Design | Residential |  |  |  | Commercial and Industrial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R6 |  | R5-R10 |  | G40 |  | G50 |  | G41 |  | G51 |  | G42 |  | G52 |  |
| September | \$ | 1,277 | \$ | 26,815 | \$ | 5,234 | \$ | 831 | \$ | 704 | \$ | 267 | \$ | 31 | \$ | 33 |
| October |  | 1,277 |  | 26,815 |  | 5,234 |  | 831 |  | 704 |  | 267 |  | 31 |  | 33 |
| November |  | 1,277 |  | 26,815 |  | 5,234 |  | 831 |  | 704 |  | 267 |  | 31 |  | 33 |
| December |  | 1,277 |  | 26,815 |  | 5,234 |  | 831 |  | 704 |  | 267 |  | 31 |  | 33 |
| January |  | 1,277 |  | 26,815 |  | 5,234 |  | 831 |  | 704 |  | 267 |  | 31 |  | 33 |
| February |  | 1,277 |  | 26,815 |  | 5,234 |  | 831 |  | 704 |  | 267 |  | 31 |  | 33 |
| March |  | 1,277 |  | 26,815 |  | 5,234 |  | 831 |  | 704 |  | 267 |  | 31 |  | 33 |
| April |  | 1,277 |  | 26,815 |  | 5,234 |  | 831 |  | 704 |  | 267 |  | 31 |  | 33 |
| May |  | 1,277 |  | 26,815 |  | 5,234 |  | 831 |  | 704 |  | 267 |  | 31 |  | 33 |
| June |  | 1,277 |  | 26,815 |  | 5,234 |  | 831 |  | 704 |  | 267 |  | 31 |  | 33 |
| July |  | 1,277 |  | 26,815 |  | 5,234 |  | 831 |  | 704 |  | 267 |  | 31 |  | 33 |


|  | Residential |  |  |  | Commercial and Industrial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Effective September 1, 2022-July 31, 2023 Monthly Revenue Per Customer | R6 | R5-R10 |  | G40 |  | G50 |  | G41 |  | G51 |  | G42 |  | G52 |  |
| September | \$ | 36.27 | \$ | 40.58 | \$ | 91.05 | \$ | 111.56 | \$ | 386.39 | \$ | 428.43 | \$ | 2,986.26 |  | 6,054.77 |
| October |  | 39.61 |  | 59.28 |  | 106.81 |  | 111.54 |  | 564.56 |  | 456.07 |  | 4,054.95 |  | 6,194.65 |
| November |  | 46.26 |  | 91.96 |  | 134.15 |  | 115.99 |  | 841.30 |  | 504.61 |  | 5,427.24 |  | 8,962.53 |
| December |  | 55.23 |  | 132.22 |  | 168.98 |  | 124.42 |  | 1,159.60 |  | 569.52 |  | 6,897.34 |  | 9,851.53 |
| January |  | 57.91 |  | 148.33 |  | 182.83 |  | 127.04 |  | 1,278.48 |  | 589.36 |  | 7,801.39 |  | 8,604.40 |
| February |  | 53.38 |  | 134.23 |  | 170.49 |  | 123.47 |  | 1,160.86 |  | 561.25 |  | 7,127.11 |  | 9,535.37 |
| March |  | 49.97 |  | 116.73 |  | 154.74 |  | 118.57 |  | 1,012.75 |  | 543.47 |  | 6,529.00 |  | 8,839.80 |
| April |  | 42.95 |  | 77.73 |  | 121.80 |  | 106.59 |  | 684.19 |  | 470.36 |  | 4,981.99 |  | 8,791.23 |
| May |  | 40.08 |  | 55.02 |  | 102.87 |  | 107.52 |  | 506.97 |  | 458.98 |  | 3,636.48 |  | 5,557.01 |
| June |  | 36.32 |  | 38.90 |  | 89.45 |  | 108.83 |  | 372.73 |  | 433.83 |  | 2,887.68 |  | 5,483.51 |
| July |  | 34.65 |  | 31.90 |  | 83.65 |  | 110.39 |  | 314.49 |  | 422.00 |  | 2,685.58 |  | 5,403.99 |
| Total | \$ | 492.63 | \$ | 926.88 | \$ | ,406.82 | \$ | 1,265.93 | \$ | 8,282.32 | \$ | 5,437.88 |  | 5,015.01 |  | 83,278.80 |


| [A] | [B] | [C] | [D] | [E] | [F] | [G] | [H] | [I] |  | [J] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Billing Determinants |  |  |  | Calendar Month Revenue |  |  |  |
|  |  | Description | Month | Pro Forma Test Year Customers | Winter Therms | Summer Therms | Total Calendarized Therms |  | Total alendarized Revenue |  | darized nue Per tomer |
| 1 | R-5 | Residential Heating | January | 26,171 | 3,569,155 | 0 | 3,569,155 | \$ | 3,888,065 | \$ | 148.56 |
| 2 |  |  | February | 26,171 | 3,167,143 | 0 | 3,167,143 | \$ | 3,515,573 | \$ | 134.33 |
| 3 |  | Rates | March | 26,171 | 2,668,501 | 0 | 2,668,501 | \$ | 3,053,547 | \$ | 116.68 |
| 4 |  | Customer | April | 26,171 | 1,566,216 | 0 | 1,566,216 | \$ | 2,032,205 | \$ | 77.65 |
| 5 |  | \$22.20 | May | 26,171 | 0 | 926,189 | 926,189 | \$ | 1,439,176 | \$ | 54.99 |
| 6 |  | Per Therm | June | 26,171 | 0 | 471,753 | 471,753 | \$ | 1,018,110 | \$ | 38.90 |
| 7 |  | \$0.9266 | July | 26,171 | 0 | 274,716 | 274,716 | \$ | 835,542 | \$ | 31.93 |
| 8 |  |  | August | 26,171 | 0 | 303,731 | 303,731 | \$ | 862,426 | \$ | 32.95 |
| 9 |  |  | September | 26,171 | 0 | 519,219 | 519,219 | \$ | 1,062,090 | \$ | 40.58 |
| 10 |  |  | October | 26,171 | 0 | 1,047,855 | 1,047,855 | \$ | 1,551,908 | \$ | 59.30 |
| 11 |  |  | November | 26,171 | 1,975,568 | 0 | 1,975,568 | \$ | 2,411,497 | \$ | 92.14 |
| 12 |  |  | December | 26,171 | 3,115,886 | 0 | 3,115,886 | \$ | 3,468,080 | \$ | 132.52 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  | 16,062,468 | 3,543,464 | 19,605,931 | \$ | 25,138,219 | \$ | 960.53 |
| 14 | R-10 | Res. Heating, Low Income | January | 644 | 80,989 | 0 | 80,989 | \$ | 89,331 | \$ | 138.78 |
| 15 |  |  | February | 644 | 75,070 | 0 | 75,070 | \$ | 83,848 | \$ | 130.26 |
| 16 |  | Rates | March | 644 | 67,158 | 0 | 67,158 | \$ | 76,517 | \$ | 118.87 |
| 17 |  | Customer | April | 644 | 40,685 | 0 | 40,685 | \$ | 51,988 | \$ | 80.76 |
| 18 |  | \$22.20 | May | 644 | 0 | 23,715 | 23,715 | \$ | 36,264 | \$ | 56.34 |
| 19 |  | Per Therm | June | 644 | 0 | 11,427 | 11,427 | \$ | 24,878 | \$ | 38.65 |
| 20 |  | \$0.9266 | July | 644 | 0 | 6,106 | 6,106 | \$ | 19,948 | \$ | 30.99 |
| 21 |  |  | August | 644 | 0 | 6,885 | 6,885 | \$ | 20,670 | \$ | 32.11 |
| 22 |  |  | September | 644 | 0 | 12,769 | 12,769 | \$ | 26,122 | \$ | 40.58 |
| 23 |  |  | October | 644 | 0 | 25,275 | 25,275 | \$ | 37,709 | \$ | 58.58 |
| 24 |  |  | November | 644 | 43,235 | 0 | 43,235 | \$ | 54,350 | \$ | 84.43 |
| 25 |  |  | December | 644 | 68,009 | 0 | 68,009 | \$ | 77,305 | \$ | 120.10 |
| 26 |  |  |  |  | 375,147 | 86,179 | 461,326 | \$ | 598,929 | \$ | 930.46 |
| 27 | R-6 | Residential Non-Heating | January | 1,277 | 32,447 | 0 | 32,447 | \$ | 73,940 | \$ | 57.91 |
| 28 |  |  | February | 1,277 | 28,328 | 0 | 28,328 | \$ | 68,151 | \$ | 53.38 |
| 29 |  | Rates | March | 1,277 | 25,230 | 0 | 25,230 | \$ | 63,797 | \$ | 49.97 |
| 30 |  | Customer | April | 1,277 | 18,851 | 0 | 18,851 | \$ | 54,833 | \$ | 42.95 |
| 31 |  | \$22.20 | May | 1,277 | 0 | 16,241 | 16,241 | \$ | 51,166 | \$ | 40.08 |
| 32 |  | Per Therm | June | 1,277 | 0 | 12,833 | 12,833 | \$ | 46,376 | \$ | 36.32 |
| 33 |  | \$1.4053 | July | 1,277 | 0 | 11,308 | 11,308 | \$ | 44,233 | \$ | 34.65 |
| 34 |  |  | August | 1,277 | 0 | 11,564 | 11,564 | \$ | 44,593 | \$ | 34.93 |
| 35 |  |  | September | 1,277 | 0 | 12,781 | 12,781 | \$ | 46,303 | \$ | 36.27 |
| 36 |  |  | October | 1,277 | 0 | 15,819 | 15,819 | \$ | 50,573 | \$ | 39.61 |
| 37 |  |  | November | 1,277 | 21,860 | 0 | 21,860 | \$ | 59,063 | \$ | 46.26 |
| 38 |  |  | December | 1,277 | 30,008 | 0 | 30,008 | \$ | 70,512 | \$ | 55.23 |
| 39 |  |  |  |  | 156,724 | 80,545 | 237,269 | \$ | 673,540 | \$ | 527.56 |


| [A] | [B] | [C] | [D] | [E] | [F] | [G] | [H] | [1] |  | [J] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Billing Determinants |  |  |  | Calendar Month Revenue |  |  |  |
|  |  | Description | Month | Pro Forma Test Year Customers | Winter Therms | Summer Therms | Total Calendarized Therms |  | Total lendarized Revenue |  | ndarized nue Per stomer |
| 40 | G-40/T-40 | Low Annual, High Winter | January | 5,234 | 2,105,842 | 0 | 2,105,842 | \$ | 956,985 | \$ | 182.83 |
| 41 |  |  | February | 5,234 | 1,853,148 | 0 | 1,853,148 | \$ | 892,398 | \$ | 170.49 |
| 42 |  | Rates | March | 5,234 | 1,530,519 | 0 | 1,530,519 | \$ | 809,936 | \$ | 154.74 |
| 43 |  | Customer | April | 5,234 | 855,965 | 0 | 855,965 | \$ | 637,525 | \$ | 121.80 |
| 44 |  | \$80.00 | May | 5,234 | 0 | 468,408 | 468,408 | \$ | 538,468 | \$ | 102.87 |
| 45 |  | Per Therm | June | 5,234 | 0 | 193,531 | 193,531 | \$ | 468,212 | \$ | 89.45 |
| 46 |  | \$0.2556 | July | 5,234 | 0 | 74,813 | 74,813 | \$ | 437,868 | \$ | 83.65 |
| 47 |  |  | August | 5,234 | 0 | 91,982 | 91,982 | \$ | 442,257 | \$ | 84.49 |
| 48 |  |  | September | 5,234 | 0 | 226,274 | 226,274 | \$ | 476,581 | \$ | 91.05 |
| 49 |  |  | October | 5,234 | 0 | 549,088 | 549,088 | \$ | 559,090 | \$ | 106.81 |
| 50 |  |  | November | 5,234 | 1,109,028 | 0 | 1,109,028 | \$ | 702,206 | \$ | 134.15 |
| 51 |  |  | December | 5,234 | 1,822,234 | 0 | 1,822,234 | \$ | 884,496 | \$ | 168.98 |
| 52 |  |  |  |  | 9,276,737 | 1,604,096 | 10,880,833 | \$ | 7,806,021 | \$ | 1,491.31 |
| 53 | G-50/T-50 | Low Annual, Low Winter | January | 831 | 165,778 | 0 | 165,778 | \$ | 105,625 | \$ | 127.04 |
| 54 |  |  | February | 831 | 153,226 | 0 | 153,226 | \$ | 102,664 | \$ | 123.47 |
| 55 |  | Rates | March | 831 | 135,926 | 0 | 135,926 | \$ | 98,583 | \$ | 118.57 |
| 56 |  | Customer | April | 831 | 93,720 | 0 | 93,720 | \$ | 88,627 | \$ | 106.59 |
| 57 |  | \$80.00 | May | 831 | 0 | 97,002 | 97,002 | \$ | 89,401 | \$ | 107.52 |
| 58 |  | Per Therm | June | 831 | 0 | 101,613 | 101,613 | \$ | 90,489 | \$ | 108.83 |
| 59 |  | \$0.2359 | July | 831 | 0 | 107,123 | 107,123 | \$ | 91,788 | \$ | 110.39 |
| 60 |  |  | August | 831 | 0 | 114,352 | 114,352 | \$ | 93,494 | \$ | 112.44 |
| 61 |  |  | September | 831 | 0 | 111,245 | 111,245 | \$ | 92,761 | \$ | 111.56 |
| 62 |  |  | October | 831 | 0 | 111,176 | 111,176 | \$ | 92,744 | \$ | 111.54 |
| 63 |  |  | November | 831 | 126,839 | 0 | 126,839 | \$ | 96,439 | \$ | 115.99 |
| 64 |  |  | December | 831 | 156,573 | 0 | 156,573 | \$ | 103,454 | \$ | 124.42 |
| 65 |  |  |  |  | 832,063 | 642,511 | 1,474,573 | \$ | 1,146,070 | \$ | 1,378.37 |
| 66 | G-41/T-41 | Med. Annual, High Winter | January | 704 | 2,573,095 | 0 | 2,573,095 | \$ | 900,348 | \$ | 1,278.48 |
| 67 |  |  | February | 704 | 2,285,810 | 0 | 2,285,810 | \$ | 817,515 | \$ | 1,160.86 |
| 68 |  | Rates | March | 704 | 1,924,069 | 0 | 1,924,069 | \$ | 713,215 | \$ | 1,012.75 |
| 69 |  | Customer | April | 704 | 1,121,559 | 0 | 1,121,559 | \$ | 481,829 | \$ | 684.19 |
| 70 |  | \$225.00 | May | 704 | 0 | 688,701 | 688,701 | \$ | 357,024 | \$ | 506.97 |
| 71 |  | Per Therm | June | 704 | 0 | 360,838 | 360,838 | \$ | 262,492 | \$ | 372.73 |
| 72 |  | \$0.2883 | July | 704 | 0 | 218,577 | 218,577 | \$ | 221,474 | \$ | 314.49 |
| 73 |  |  | August | 704 | 0 | 239,596 | 239,596 | \$ | 227,535 | \$ | 323.10 |
| 74 |  |  | September | 704 | 0 | 394,184 | 394,184 | \$ | 272,107 | \$ | 386.39 |
| 75 |  |  | October | 704 | 0 | 829,358 | 829,358 | \$ | 397,580 | \$ | 564.56 |
| 76 |  |  | November | 704 | 1,505,305 | 0 | 1,505,305 | \$ | 592,474 | \$ | 841.30 |
| 77 |  |  | December | 704 | 2,282,740 | 0 | 2,282,740 | \$ | 816,630 | \$ | 1,159.60 |
| 78 |  |  |  |  | 11,692,577 | 2,731,254 | 14,423,832 | \$ | 6,060,223 | \$ | 8,605.42 |


| [A] | [B] | [C] | [D] | [E] | [F] | [G] | [H] | [I] |  | [J] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Billing Determinants |  |  |  | Calendar Month Revenue |  |  |  |
|  |  | Description | Month | Pro Forma Test Year Customers | Winter Therms | Summer Therms | Total Calendarized Therms |  | Total alendarized Revenue |  | endarized venue Per ustomer |
| 79 | G-51/T-51 | Med. Annual, Low Winter | January | 267 | 548,609 | 0 | 548,609 | \$ | 157,065 | \$ | 589.36 |
| 80 |  |  | February | 267 | 506,285 | 0 | 506,285 | \$ | 149,574 | \$ | 561.25 |
| 81 |  | Rates | March | 267 | 479,510 | 0 | 479,510 | \$ | 144,835 | \$ | 543.47 |
| 82 |  | Customer | April | 267 | 369,435 | 0 | 369,435 | \$ | 125,352 | \$ | 470.36 |
| 83 |  | \$225.00 | May | 267 | 0 | 352,292 | 352,292 | \$ | 122,317 | \$ | 458.98 |
| 84 |  | Per Therm | June | 267 | 0 | 314,422 | 314,422 | \$ | 115,614 | \$ | 433.83 |
| 85 |  | \$0.1770 | July | 267 | 0 | 296,610 | 296,610 | \$ | 112,462 | \$ | 422.00 |
| 86 |  |  | August | 267 | 0 | 300,172 | 300,172 | \$ | 113,092 | \$ | 424.36 |
| 87 |  |  | September | 267 | 0 | 306,298 | 306,298 | \$ | 114,176 | \$ | 428.43 |
| 88 |  |  | October | 267 | 0 | 347,918 | 347,918 | \$ | 121,543 | \$ | 456.07 |
| 89 |  |  | November | 267 | 421,008 | 0 | 421,008 | \$ | 134,480 | \$ | 504.61 |
| 90 |  |  | December | 267 | 518,741 | 0 | 518,741 | \$ | 151,778 | \$ | 569.52 |
| 91 |  |  |  |  | 2,843,588 | 1,917,712 | 4,761,300 | \$ | 1,562,288 | \$ | 5,862.24 |
| 92 | G-42/T-42 | High Annual, High Winter | January | 31 | 915,167 | 0 | 915,167 | \$ | 241,843 | \$ | 7,801.39 |
| 93 |  |  | February | 31 | 819,517 | 0 | 819,517 | \$ | 220,941 | \$ | 7,127.11 |
| 94 |  | Rates | March | 31 | 734,670 | 0 | 734,670 | \$ | 202,399 | \$ | 6,529.00 |
| 95 |  | Customer | April | 31 | 515,218 | 0 | 515,218 | \$ | 154,442 | \$ | 4,981.99 |
| 96 |  | \$1,350.00 | May | 31 | 0 | 324,350 | 324,350 | \$ | 112,731 | \$ | 3,636.48 |
| 97 |  | Per Therm | June | 31 | 0 | 218,129 | 218,129 | \$ | 89,518 | \$ | 2,887.68 |
| 98 |  | \$0.2185 | July | 31 | 0 | 189,460 | 189,460 | \$ | 83,253 | \$ | 2,685.58 |
| 99 |  |  | August | 31 | 0 | 192,134 | 192,134 | \$ | 83,837 | \$ | 2,704.43 |
| 100 |  |  | September | 31 | 0 | 232,113 | 232,113 | \$ | 92,574 | \$ | 2,986.26 |
| 101 |  |  | October | 31 | 0 | 383,712 | 383,712 | \$ | 125,703 | \$ | 4,054.95 |
| 102 |  |  | November | 31 | 578,379 | 0 | 578,379 | \$ | 168,244 | \$ | 5,427.24 |
| 103 |  |  | December | 31 | 786,923 | 0 | 786,923 | \$ | 213,818 | \$ | 6,897.34 |
| 104 |  |  |  |  | 4,349,875 | 1,539,897 | 5,889,772 | \$ | 1,789,303 | \$ | 57,719.44 |
| 105 | G-52/T-52 | High Annual, Low Winter | January | 33 | 1,332,981 | 0 | 1,332,981 | \$ | 283,945 | \$ | 8,604.40 |
| 106 |  |  | February | 33 | 1,504,043 | 0 | 1,504,043 | \$ | 314,667 | \$ | 9,535.37 |
| 107 |  | Rates | March | 33 | 1,376,235 | 0 | 1,376,235 | \$ | 291,713 | \$ | 8,839.80 |
| 108 |  | Customer | April | 33 | 1,342,269 | 41,018 | 1,383,288 | \$ | 290,111 | \$ | 8,791.23 |
| 109 |  | \$1,350.00 | May | 33 | 5,650 | 1,257,039 | 1,262,689 | \$ | 183,381 | \$ | 5,557.01 |
| 110 |  | Per Therm Summer | June | 33 | 12,462 | 1,223,757 | 1,236,219 | \$ | 180,956 | \$ | 5,483.51 |
| 111 |  | \$0.1096 | July | 33 | 0 | 1,220,236 | 1,220,236 | \$ | 178,332 | \$ | 5,403.99 |
| 112 |  | Per Therm Winter | August | 33 | 0 | 1,282,733 | 1,282,733 | \$ | 185,184 | \$ | 5,611.62 |
| 113 |  | \$0.1796 | September | 33 | 0 | 1,416,119 | 1,416,119 | \$ | 199,807 | \$ | 6,054.77 |
| 114 |  |  | October | 33 | 43,229 | 1,387,409 | 1,430,639 | \$ | 204,424 | \$ | 6,194.65 |
| 115 |  |  | November | 33 | 1,381,287 | 28,665 | 1,409,953 | \$ | 295,764 | \$ | 8,962.53 |
| 116 |  |  | December | 33 | 1,562,138 | 0 | 1,562,138 | \$ | 325,101 | \$ | 9,851.53 |
| 117 |  |  |  |  | 8,560,295 | 7,856,979 | 16,417,274 | \$ | 2,933,384 | \$ | 88,890.42 |
| 118 |  | Total |  |  | 54,149,473 | 20,002,636 | 74,152,109 | \$ | 47,707,977 |  |  |

Northern Utilities, Inc. - New Hampshire Division
Decoupling
Target Revenue Per Customer (August 1, 2023 - July 31, 2024)

| Effective August 1, 2023-July 31, 2024 Target Distribution Revenues | Residential |  |  | Commercial and Industrial |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | R6 | R5-R10 | G40 | G50 | G41 | G51 | G42 | G52 | Total |
| August | \$ | 44,538 | \$ 882,891 | \$ 442,238 | \$ 93,251 | \$ 227,479 | \$ 112,876 | \$ 83,773 | \$ 184,835 | \$ 2,071,883 |
| September |  | 46,242 | 1,087,862 | 476,536 | 92,524 | 272,016 | 113,956 | 92,497 | 199,423 | 2,381,056 |
| October |  | 50,498 | 1,588,912 | 558,980 | 92,508 | 397,388 | 121,293 | 125,575 | 204,027 | 3,139,181 |
| November |  | 58,959 | 2,464,520 | 701,985 | 96,170 | 592,126 | 134,177 | 168,051 | 295,141 | 4,511,129 |
| December |  | 70,370 | 3,543,292 | 884,133 | 103,121 | 816,102 | 151,406 | 213,555 | 324,405 | 6,106,384 |
| January |  | 73,786 | 3,974,997 | 956,565 | 105,273 | 899,752 | 156,671 | 241,538 | 283,352 | 6,691,933 |
| February |  | 68,017 | 3,597,290 | 892,028 | 102,339 | 816,986 | 149,210 | 220,667 | 313,998 | 6,160,534 |
| March |  | 63,678 | 3,128,266 | 809,631 | 98,294 | 712,770 | 144,490 | 202,154 | 291,101 | 5,450,383 |
| April |  | 54,744 | 2,083,136 | 637,354 | 88,427 | 481,570 | 125,086 | 154,270 | 289,502 | 3,914,089 |
| May |  | 51,089 | 1,474,815 | 538,375 | 89,195 | 356,865 | 122,064 | 112,622 | 183,037 | 2,928,063 |
| June |  | 46,315 | 1,042,671 | 468,173 | 90,273 | 262,409 | 115,389 | 89,445 | 180,618 | 2,295,292 |
| July |  | 44,179 | 855,305 | 437,854 | 91,561 | 221,424 | 112,249 | 83,190 | 178,000 | 2,023,761 |
| 12ME July | \$ | 672,416 | \$25,723,957 | \$7,803,851 | \$1,142,936 | \$6,056,886 | \$1,558,867 | \$1,787,337 | \$2,927,437 | \$ 47,673,687 |


| Effective August 1, 2023-July 31, 2024 Customers in Authorized Rate Design | Residential |  |  |  | Commercial and Industrial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R6 |  | R5-R10 |  | G40 |  | G50 |  | G41 |  | G51 |  | G42 |  | G52 |  |
| August | \$ | 1,277 | \$ | 26,815 | \$ | 5,234 | \$ | 831 | \$ | 704 | \$ | 267 | \$ | 31 | \$ | 33 |
| September |  | 1,277 |  | 26,815 |  | 5,234 |  | 831 |  | 704 |  | 267 |  | 31 |  | 33 |
| October |  | 1,277 |  | 26,815 |  | 5,234 |  | 831 |  | 704 |  | 267 |  | 31 |  | 33 |
| November |  | 1,277 |  | 26,815 |  | 5,234 |  | 831 |  | 704 |  | 267 |  | 31 |  | 33 |
| December |  | 1,277 |  | 26,815 |  | 5,234 |  | 831 |  | 704 |  | 267 |  | 31 |  | 33 |
| January |  | 1,277 |  | 26,815 |  | 5,234 |  | 831 |  | 704 |  | 267 |  | 31 |  | 33 |
| February |  | 1,277 |  | 26,815 |  | 5,234 |  | 831 |  | 704 |  | 267 |  | 31 |  | 33 |
| March |  | 1,277 |  | 26,815 |  | 5,234 |  | 831 |  | 704 |  | 267 |  | 31 |  | 33 |
| April |  | 1,277 |  | 26,815 |  | 5,234 |  | 831 |  | 704 |  | 267 |  | 31 |  | 33 |
| May |  | 1,277 |  | 26,815 |  | 5,234 |  | 831 |  | 704 |  | 267 |  | 31 |  | 33 |
| June |  | 1,277 |  | 26,815 |  | 5,234 |  | 831 |  | 704 |  | 267 |  | 31 |  | 33 |
| July |  | 1,277 |  | 26,815 |  | 5,234 |  | 831 |  | 704 |  | 267 |  | 31 |  | 33 |


| Effective August 1, 2023-July 31, 2024 Monthly Revenue Per Customer | Residential |  |  |  | G40 |  | G50 |  | Commercial and Industrial |  |  |  | G42 |  | G52 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | R6 |  | -R10 |  |  |  | G41 |  | G51 |  |  |  |  |
| August | \$ | 34.89 | \$ | 32.93 | \$ | 84.49 |  |  | \$ | 112.15 | \$ | 323.02 | \$ | 423.55 | \$ | 2,702.37 | \$ | 5,601.06 |
| September |  | 36.22 |  | 40.57 |  | 91.04 |  | 111.28 |  | 386.26 |  | 427.60 |  | 2,983.76 |  | 6,043.11 |
| October |  | 39.55 |  | 59.26 |  | 106.79 |  | 111.26 |  | 564.28 |  | 455.13 |  | 4,050.81 |  | 6,182.64 |
| November |  | 46.18 |  | 91.91 |  | 134.11 |  | 115.66 |  | 840.81 |  | 503.48 |  | 5,421.01 |  | 8,943.66 |
| December |  | 55.12 |  | 132.14 |  | 168.91 |  | 124.02 |  | 1,158.85 |  | 568.13 |  | 6,888.87 |  | 9,830.46 |
| January |  | 57.79 |  | 148.24 |  | 182.75 |  | 126.61 |  | 1,277.63 |  | 587.88 |  | 7,791.54 |  | 8,586.42 |
| February |  | 53.28 |  | 134.15 |  | 170.42 |  | 123.08 |  | 1,160.11 |  | 559.89 |  | 7,118.29 |  | 9,515.08 |
| March |  | 49.88 |  | 116.66 |  | 154.68 |  | 118.22 |  | 1,012.12 |  | 542.18 |  | 6,521.09 |  | 8,821.23 |
| April |  | 42.88 |  | 77.69 |  | 121.76 |  | 106.35 |  | 683.82 |  | 469.37 |  | 4,976.44 |  | 8,772.78 |
| May |  | 40.02 |  | 55.00 |  | 102.85 |  | 107.27 |  | 506.74 |  | 458.03 |  | 3,632.98 |  | 5,546.58 |
| June |  | 36.28 |  | 38.88 |  | 89.44 |  | 108.57 |  | 372.62 |  | 432.98 |  | 2,885.33 |  | 5,473.26 |
| July |  | 34.60 |  | 31.90 |  | 83.65 |  | 110.12 |  | 314.42 |  | 421.20 |  | 2,683.54 |  | 5,393.94 |
| Total | \$ | 526.68 | \$ | 959.32 | \$ | 1,490.90 | \$ | 1,374.60 | \$ | 8,600.68 | \$ | 5,849.41 |  | 7,656.03 |  | 8,710.22 |


| [A] | [B] | [C] | [D] | [E] | [F] | [G] | [H] | [I] |  | [J] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Billing Determinants |  |  |  | Calendar Month Revenue |  |  |  |
|  |  | Description | Month | Pro Forma Test Year Customers | Winter Therms | Summer Therms | Total Calendarized Therms |  | Total alendarized Revenue |  | darized nue Per tomer |
| 1 | R-5 | Residential Heating | January | 26,171 | 3,569,155 | 0 | 3,569,155 | \$ | 3,885,718 | \$ | 148.47 |
| 2 |  |  | February | 26,171 | 3,167,143 | 0 | 3,167,143 | \$ | 3,513,491 | \$ | 134.25 |
| 3 |  | Rates | March | 26,171 | 2,668,501 | 0 | 2,668,501 | \$ | 3,051,793 | \$ | 116.61 |
| 4 |  | Customer | April | 26,171 | 1,566,216 | 0 | 1,566,216 | \$ | 2,031,175 | \$ | 77.61 |
| 5 |  | \$22.20 | May | 26,171 | 0 | 926,189 | 926,189 | \$ | 1,438,567 | \$ | 54.97 |
| 6 |  | Per Therm | June | 26,171 | 0 | 471,753 | 471,753 | \$ | 1,017,800 | \$ | 38.89 |
| 7 |  | \$0.9259 | July | 26,171 | 0 | 274,716 | 274,716 | \$ | 835,361 | \$ | 31.92 |
| 8 |  |  | August | 26,171 | 0 | 303,731 | 303,731 | \$ | 862,226 | \$ | 32.95 |
| 9 |  |  | September | 26,171 | 0 | 519,219 | 519,219 | \$ | 1,061,749 | \$ | 40.57 |
| 10 |  |  | October | 26,171 | 0 | 1,047,855 | 1,047,855 | \$ | 1,551,219 | \$ | 59.27 |
| 11 |  |  | November | 26,171 | 1,975,568 | 0 | 1,975,568 | \$ | 2,410,199 | \$ | 92.09 |
| 12 |  |  | December | 26,171 | 3,115,886 | 0 | 3,115,886 | \$ | 3,466,032 | \$ | 132.44 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  | 16,062,468 | 3,543,464 | 19,605,931 | \$ | 25,125,331 | \$ | 960.04 |
| 14 | R-10 | Res. Heating, Low Income | January | 644 | 80,989 | 0 | 80,989 | \$ | 89,278 | \$ | 138.70 |
| 15 |  |  | February | 644 | 75,070 | 0 | 75,070 |  | 83,798 | \$ | 130.18 |
| 16 |  | Rates | March | 644 | 67,158 | 0 | 67,158 | \$ | 76,473 | \$ | 118.80 |
| 17 |  | Customer | April | 644 | 40,685 | 0 | 40,685 | \$ | 51,961 | \$ | 80.72 |
| 18 |  | \$22.20 | May | 644 | 0 | 23,715 | 23,715 | \$ | 36,248 | \$ | 56.31 |
| 19 |  | Per Therm | June | 644 | 0 | 11,427 | 11,427 | \$ | 24,871 | \$ | 38.64 |
| 20 |  | \$0.9259 | July | 644 | 0 | 6,106 | 6,106 | \$ | 19,944 | \$ | 30.98 |
| 21 |  |  | August | 644 | 0 | 6,885 | 6,885 | \$ | 20,665 | \$ | 32.10 |
| 22 |  |  | September | 644 | 0 | 12,769 | 12,769 | \$ | 26,113 | \$ | 40.57 |
| 23 |  |  | October | 644 | 0 | 25,275 | 25,275 | \$ | 37,693 | \$ | 58.56 |
| 24 |  |  | November | 644 | 43,235 | 0 | 43,235 | \$ | 54,322 | \$ | 84.39 |
| 25 |  |  | December | 644 | 68,009 | 0 | 68,009 | \$ | 77,261 | \$ | 120.03 |
| 26 |  |  |  |  | 375,147 | 86,179 | 461,326 | \$ | 598,626 | \$ | 929.99 |
| 27 | R-6 | Residential Non-Heating | January | 1,277 | 32,447 | 0 | 32,447 | \$ | 73,786 | \$ | 57.79 |
| 28 |  |  | February | 1,277 | 28,328 | 0 | 28,328 | \$ | 68,017 | \$ | 53.28 |
| 29 |  | Rates | March | 1,277 | 25,230 | 0 | 25,230 | \$ | 63,678 | \$ | 49.88 |
| 30 |  | Customer | April | 1,277 | 18,851 | 0 | 18,851 | \$ | 54,744 | \$ | 42.88 |
| 31 |  | \$22.20 | May | 1,277 | 0 | 16,241 | 16,241 | \$ | 51,089 | \$ | 40.02 |
| 32 |  | Per Therm | June | 1,277 | 0 | 12,833 | 12,833 | \$ | 46,315 | \$ | 36.28 |
| 33 |  | \$1.4005 | July | 1,277 | 0 | 11,308 | 11,308 | \$ | 44,179 | \$ | 34.60 |
| 34 |  |  | August | 1,277 | 0 | 11,564 | 11,564 | \$ | 44,538 | \$ | 34.89 |
| 35 |  |  | September | 1,277 | 0 | 12,781 | 12,781 | \$ | 46,242 | \$ | 36.22 |
| 36 |  |  | October | 1,277 | 0 | 15,819 | 15,819 | \$ | 50,498 | \$ | 39.55 |
| 37 |  |  | November | 1,277 | 21,860 | 0 | 21,860 | \$ | 58,959 | \$ | 46.18 |
| 38 |  |  | December | 1,277 | 30,008 | 0 | 30,008 | \$ | 70,370 | \$ | 55.12 |
| 39 |  |  |  |  | 156,724 | 80,545 | 237,269 | \$ | 672,416 | \$ | 526.68 |


| [A] | [B] | [C] | [D] | [E] | [F] | [G] | [H] | [I] |  | [J] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Billing Determinants |  |  |  | Calendar Month Revenue |  |  |  |
|  |  | Description | Month | Pro Forma Test Year Customers | Winter Therms | Summer Therms | Total Calendarized Therms |  | Total lendarized Revenue |  | ndarized enue Per stomer |
| 40 | G-40/T-40 | Low Annual, High Winter | January | 5,234 | 2,105,842 | 0 | 2,105,842 | \$ | 956,565 | \$ | 182.75 |
| 41 |  |  | February | 5,234 | 1,853,148 | 0 | 1,853,148 | \$ | 892,028 | \$ | 170.42 |
| 42 |  | Rates | March | 5,234 | 1,530,519 | 0 | 1,530,519 | \$ | 809,631 | \$ | 154.68 |
| 43 |  | Customer | April | 5,234 | 855,965 | 0 | 855,965 | \$ | 637,354 | \$ | 121.76 |
| 44 |  | \$80.00 | May | 5,234 | 0 | 468,408 | 468,408 | \$ | 538,375 | \$ | 102.85 |
| 45 |  | Per Therm | June | 5,234 | 0 | 193,531 | 193,531 | \$ | 468,173 | \$ | 89.44 |
| 46 |  | \$0.2554 | July | 5,234 | 0 | 74,813 | 74,813 | \$ | 437,854 | \$ | 83.65 |
| 47 |  |  | August | 5,234 | 0 | 91,982 | 91,982 | \$ | 442,238 | \$ | 84.49 |
| 48 |  |  | September | 5,234 | 0 | 226,274 | 226,274 | \$ | 476,536 | \$ | 91.04 |
| 49 |  |  | October | 5,234 | 0 | 549,088 | 549,088 | \$ | 558,980 | \$ | 106.79 |
| 50 |  |  | November | 5,234 | 1,109,028 | 0 | 1,109,028 | \$ | 701,985 | \$ | 134.11 |
| 51 |  |  | December | 5,234 | 1,822,234 | 0 | 1,822,234 | \$ | 884,133 | \$ | 168.91 |
| 52 |  |  |  |  | 9,276,737 | 1,604,096 | 10,880,833 | \$ | 7,803,851 | \$ | 1,490.90 |
| 53 | G-50/T-50 | Low Annual, Low Winter | January | 831 | 165,778 | 0 | 165,778 | \$ | 105,273 | \$ | 126.61 |
| 54 |  |  | February | 831 | 153,226 | 0 | 153,226 | \$ | 102,339 | \$ | 123.08 |
| 55 |  | Rates | March | 831 | 135,926 | 0 | 135,926 | \$ | 98,294 | \$ | 118.22 |
| 56 |  | Customer | April | 831 | 93,720 | 0 | 93,720 | \$ | 88,427 | \$ | 106.35 |
| 57 |  | \$80.00 | May | 831 | 0 | 97,002 | 97,002 | \$ | 89,195 | \$ | 107.27 |
| 58 |  | Per Therm | June | 831 | 0 | 101,613 | 101,613 | \$ | 90,273 | \$ | 108.57 |
| 59 |  | \$0.2338 | July | 831 | 0 | 107,123 | 107,123 | \$ | 91,561 | \$ | 110.12 |
| 60 |  |  | August | 831 | 0 | 114,352 | 114,352 | \$ | 93,251 | \$ | 112.15 |
| 61 |  |  | September | 831 | 0 | 111,245 | 111,245 | \$ | 92,524 | \$ | 111.28 |
| 62 |  |  | October | 831 | 0 | 111,176 | 111,176 | \$ | 92,508 | \$ | 111.26 |
| 63 |  |  | November | 831 | 126,839 | 0 | 126,839 | \$ | 96,170 | \$ | 115.66 |
| 64 |  |  | December | 831 | 156,573 | 0 | 156,573 | \$ | 103,121 | \$ | 124.02 |
| 65 |  |  |  |  | 832,063 | 642,511 | 1,474,573 | \$ | 1,142,936 | \$ | 1,374.60 |
| 66 | G-41/T-41 | Med. Annual, High Winter | January | 704 | 2,573,095 | 0 | 2,573,095 | \$ | 899,752 | \$ | 1,277.63 |
| 67 |  |  | February | 704 | 2,285,810 | 0 | 2,285,810 | \$ | 816,986 | \$ | 1,160.11 |
| 68 |  | Rates | March | 704 | 1,924,069 | 0 | 1,924,069 | \$ | 712,770 | \$ | 1,012.12 |
| 69 |  | Customer | April | 704 | 1,121,559 | 0 | 1,121,559 | \$ | 481,570 | \$ | 683.82 |
| 70 |  | \$225.00 | May | 704 | 0 | 688,701 | 688,701 | \$ | 356,865 | \$ | 506.74 |
| 71 |  | Per Therm | June | 704 | 0 | 360,838 | 360,838 | \$ | 262,409 | \$ | 372.62 |
| 72 |  | \$0.2881 | July | 704 | 0 | 218,577 | 218,577 | \$ | 221,424 | \$ | 314.42 |
| 73 |  |  | August | 704 | 0 | 239,596 | 239,596 | \$ | 227,479 | \$ | 323.02 |
| 74 |  |  | September | 704 | 0 | 394,184 | 394,184 | \$ | 272,016 | \$ | 386.26 |
| 75 |  |  | October | 704 | 0 | 829,358 | 829,358 | \$ | 397,388 | \$ | 564.28 |
| 76 |  |  | November | 704 | 1,505,305 | 0 | 1,505,305 | \$ | 592,126 | \$ | 840.81 |
| 77 |  |  | December | 704 | 2,282,740 | 0 | 2,282,740 | \$ | 816,102 | \$ | 1,158.85 |
| 78 |  |  |  |  | 11,692,577 | 2,731,254 | 14,423,832 | \$ | 6,056,886 | \$ | 8,600.68 |
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## I. INTRODUCTION

## Q. Please state your name, occupation and business address.

A. My name is Timothy S. Lyons. I am a Partner with ScottMadden, Inc. My business address is 1900 West Park Drive, Suite 250, Westborough, Massachusetts 01581.
Q. On whose behalf are you submitting this testimony?
A. I am submitting this testimony on behalf of Northern Utilities, Inc. ("Northern" or the "Company").

## Q. Please describe your professional experience.

A. I have more than 30 years of experience in the energy industry. I started my career in 1985 at Boston Gas Company, eventually becoming Director of Rates and Revenue Analysis. In 1993, I moved to Providence Gas Company, eventually becoming Vice President of Marketing and Regulatory Affairs. Starting in 2001, I held a number of management consulting positions in the energy industry first at KEMA and then at Quantec, LLC. In 2005, I became Vice President of Sales and Marketing at Vermont Gas Systems, Inc. before joining Sussex Economic Advisors, LLC ("Sussex") in 2013. Sussex was acquired by ScottMadden in 2016.

## Q. What is your educational background?

A. I hold a bachelor's degree from St. Anselm College, a master's degree in Economics from The Pennsylvania State University, and a master's degree in Business

Administration from Babson College. A summary of my professional and educational background, including a list of my testimony in prior proceedings, is included in Schedule TSL-1.

## II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

## Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to sponsor the Company's proposed revenue decoupling mechanism ("RDM") and associated tariff. The RDM addresses the basic misalignment between the structure of the Company's costs and its rates. Specifically, utility distribution costs are largely fixed and change very little in the short run with changes in usage levels. However, distribution rates have a significant variable, or usage-based, component that changes revenues (and cost recovery) with changes in usage levels. The RDM corrects for this misalignment by adjusting the Company's actual revenues to match its authorized revenues. RDMs have been approved in numerous jurisdictions, including New Hampshire, and are viewed in the industry as important to the development of Energy Efficiency ("EE") initiatives.
Q. How is the remaining portion of your testimony organized?
A. The remaining portion of my testimony is organized into the following sections.

- Section III provides an overview of revenue decoupling, including the Commission's guidance in the Gas and Electric Utilities Energy Efficiency Resource Standard proceeding ("EERS" proceeding). ${ }^{1}$
- Section IV describes the proposed RDM.
- Section V illustrates the calculation of the proposed RDM for the residential rate class.
- Section VI summarizes the benefits of the proposed RDM.


## Q. What is revenue decoupling?

A. Revenue decoupling breaks or "decouples" the link between utility revenues and sales volumes, helping to ensure that a utility does not over- or under-recover its authorized revenue requirement. There are two basic forms of revenue decoupling:

- Partial or Limited Revenue Decoupling - this type addresses specific variances between actual and authorized revenues, such as the impact of weather or EE. The Company's current Lost Revenue Rate ("LRR") within the Local Delivery Adjustment Charge ("LDAC") is an example of partial or limited revenue decoupling.
- Full Revenue Decoupling - this type addresses the total variance between actual and authorized revenues. The Company's proposed RDM is an

[^0] example of full revenue decoupling. Variances can be measured on the basis of total revenues, or revenues per customer ("RPC").
Q. Has the Commission approved a revenue decoupling mechanism for New Hampshire gas and electric utilities?
A. Yes. The Commission approved a lost revenue adjustment mechanism ("LRAM"), a partial or limited revenue decoupling mechanism, for all electric and gas utilities in the EERS proceeding, ${ }^{2}$ noting:
"...without the LRAM, or a change in the way rates are designed today, the utilities may lose revenue that the Commission has already determined in the utility's rate case is just and reasonable for them to recover. Consequently, we approve the LRAM as proposed. ${ }^{3}$ In the EERS proceeding, the Commission recognized the limitations of an LRAM and the role a full revenue decoupling mechanism can play in ensuring that the utility does not over- or under-recover its authorized revenue requirement. ${ }^{4}$ The Commission therefore required utilities to seek approval of a revenue decoupling mechanism, stating:
${ }^{2}$ Docket DE 15-137, Order No 25,932
${ }^{3}$ Id., p. 59
${ }^{4}$ Id., p. 59-60 ("[W]e are mindful that, with an LRAM, the utilities' revenues can increase above their authorized revenue requirements from increased sales, and, for that reason and others, some parties prefer decoupling. This is because decoupling provides a reconciliation to the last-approved revenue requirement.")
"We note that our approval of the LRAM does not limit our subsequent consideration and approval at any time of a different lost revenue recovery mechanism, and that the Joint Utilities (except NHEC) are required to seek approval of a decoupling or other lostrevenue recovery mechanism as an alternate to the LRAM in their first distribution rate cases after the first EERS triennium, if not before." ${ }^{5}$

Following the EERS proceeding, the Commission approved full revenue decoupling mechanisms for Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corporation, ${ }^{6}$ and Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corporation. ${ }^{7}$

The Company's proposed RDM is generally consistent with the revenue decoupling mechanism approved for Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corporation and the revenue decoupling mechanism recently filed by the Company's New Hampshire electric division (Unitil Energy Systems, Inc.) ${ }^{8}$.

## Q. Please provide an overview of the Company's proposed RDM.

A. The proposed RDM is a full revenue decoupling mechanism that reconciles monthly actual and authorized RPC by rate class. The proposed RDM is applicable to all rate classes. The Company proposes that the authorized RPC be adjusted

[^1]annually to reflect three estimated annual step increases on August 1, 2022 of $\$ 3.1$ million; August 1, 2023 of $\$ 3.1$ million; and August 1, 2024 of $\$ 3.2$ million associated with 2021, 2022 and 2023 capital investments. The proposed RDM process will consist of two steps: In the first step, the Company will record monthly variances between actual and authorized RPC for each rate class. The monthly variances are then aggregated over the twelve-month period August through July (the "Measurement Period"). The monthly variances are recorded in a deferred account with carrying costs accrued at the Prime rate. ${ }^{9}$ The aggregate variances and carrying costs form the basis for the revenue decoupling adjustment ("RDA") and the calculation of RDM adjustment factor ("RDAF") (surcharge or credit). For example, revenue surpluses (actual RPC is greater than authorized RPC) during the Measurement Period will result in a credit or refund for the customers. Conversely, revenue shortfalls (i.e., actual RPC is less than authorized RPC) during the Measurement Period will result in a surcharge to the customers.

In the second step, the Company will file with the Commission the applicable RDAF 45 days in advance of the effective date of November 1. The filing will include an allocation of the RDA, including prior period reconciliation and deferrals as a result of a cap, to each rate class, and calculation of the RDAF.

[^2]The RDA is allocated to each rate class based on the authorized revenues of each rate class in the most recent rate case, including step adjustments.

The RDAF is calculated as a dollar per therm charge or credit based on the RDA allocated to each rate class divided by the projected therm sales for each rate class over the prospective twelve-month period November through October ("RDM Adjustment Period"). The RDAF will be charged or credited to customer bills during the RDM Adjustment Period.

The tariff for the Company's proposed RDM is included in Schedule TSL2. Upon implementation of its first RDAF, the Company will incorporate the supporting RDAF calculation in its RDAC tariff.

## Q. What are the primary benefits of the Company's proposed RDM?

A. There are three primary benefits of the Company's proposed RDM:

1. It corrects the basic misalignment between utility rates and costs;
2. It supports achievement of certain policy objectives, such as EE initiatives; and 3. It helps stabilize utility cost recovery as well as customer bills.

## Q. Please discuss the basic misalignment between utility rates and costs.

A. Gas utilities incur three types of costs in providing natural gas service to customers:

- Customer costs - including meter, billing and a portion of distribution costs that generally vary by the number of customers;
- Demand-related costs - including transmission and distribution costs that generally vary by demand; and
- Commodity-related costs - including variable Operating and Maintenance expenses that generally vary by therm sales or natural gas consumed.

Utility revenue requirements and rates are designed to recover all of these costs. However, especially for residential customers, a significant portion of the revenue requirements are recovered on the basis of consumption charges reflecting usage at the time rates are established (i.e., rates are set based on an assumed level of usage). Thus, to the extent that actual usage is significantly lower than the assumed level of usage in rates, the utility rates no longer recover the authorized revenue requirements. Conversely, to the extent that actual usage is significantly higher than the assumed level of usage in rates, then utility rates recover more than the authorized revenue requirements.

Revenue decoupling corrects for this misalignment by adjusting revenues to match the authorized revenue requirements.

## Q. Has the Commission recognized this misalignment between utility rates and costs?

A. Yes. In the EERS proceeding, the Commission noted this misalignment in the context of energy savings due to EE programs. The Commission stated: "With
increased energy savings comes decreased utility revenues due to standard rate design, which recovers costs through a variable, or consumption-based, rate." ${ }^{10}$
Q. Do the Company's current rates exhibit this misalignment between utility costs and rates?
A. Yes. The portion of the Company's charges that are based on consumption (therm sales) is significant, as shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1: Consumption Revenues as Percentage of Total Revenues ${ }^{11}$


The Figure shows that a significant portion of the Company's residential and commercial distribution revenues are recovered through usage (therms). For
${ }^{10}$ Docket DE 15-137, Order No 25,932, p. 59
${ }^{11}$ Source: Settlement Agreement in Docket DG 17-070, Exhibit 2.
example, the Figure shows that approximately 60 percent of Residential Heating (R-5 and R-10 rate classes) revenues are recovered through consumption charges.

## Q. Please discuss how revenue decoupling supports certain policy objectives.

A. The proposed RDM supports certain policy objectives, such as EE initiatives. Recovery of fixed costs through variable charges creates an inherent financial disincentive for utilities to promote initiatives that reduce customer consumption and has been referenced as a "primary barrier to aggressive utility investment in energy efficiency." ${ }^{12}$

The RDM removes this financial disincentive, facilitating policies aimed to encourage EE initiatives. The Commission has noted: "Decoupling . . . was designed to sever the link between sales and revenues to remove [a utility's] disincentive to promote energy conservation that is inherent in traditional ratemaking." ${ }^{13}$

## Q. Has the utility industry recognized the benefits of RDM in achieving policy objectives?

A. Yes. Revenue decoupling is recognized by the utility industry as an essential tool in promoting EE initiatives. An ACEEE report states: "For energy efficiency to

[^3]flourish, the use of decoupling needs to be expanded so that utilities can recover their fixed costs even if sales decline. ${ }^{14}$ Moreover, the benefits of revenue decoupling are recognized in regulatory jurisdictions throughout the U.S. Full revenue decoupling is currently in effect in 22 jurisdictions, including New Hampshire. In New England, full revenue decoupling is currently in effect for 20 of 26 electric and gas utilities, as shown in Schedule TSL-3. ${ }^{15}$

## IV. NORTHERN'S PROPOSED REVENUE DECOUPLING MECHANISM

## Q. What are the key features of the Company's proposed RDM?

A. There are seven key features of the Company's proposed RDM discussed in this section, including:1. Type of RDM
2. Revenue Adjustments
3. Applicable Rate Classes
4. Deferred Account
5. Class Allocation
6. Factor Calculation
7. Adjustment Cap
[^4]
## 1. Type of RDM

## Q. What type of RDM is the Company proposing?

A. The Company's proposed RDM is a full revenue decoupling mechanism. The proposed RDM reconciles monthly variances between actual and authorized RPC for each rate class. As discussed earlier, full revenue decoupling better accomplishes the Commission's policy objective to severe the link between volumes and revenues, providing a greater incentive to pursue energy efficiency, as compared to partial or limited revenue decoupling.

## Q. What is the primary benefit of the proposed RPC approach?

A. The primary benefit of the proposed RPC approach is the recognition of new customer revenues. The Company expects to add new customers and incur incremental costs to serve new customers during the term of the RDM. The incremental costs are related to providing new customers with access to the distribution system and meeting their demand requirements. Under the RPC approach, the Company retains the RPC associated with serving new customers that is used to offset the costs associated with new customers.

By comparison, under a total revenue approach, the Company does not retain incremental revenues to offset the incremental costs, creating an adverse financial impact when adding new customers.

## 2. Revenue Adjustments

## Q. Is the Company proposing annual adjustments to the authorized RPC?

A. Yes. The Company proposes that the authorized RPC be adjusted annually to reflect three estimated step increases on August 1, 2022 of $\$ 3.1$ million, August 1, 2023 of $\$ 3.1$ million, and August 1, 2024 of $\$ 3.2$ million associated with the 2021, 2022 and 2023 capital investments, as discussed in the testimony of Company witnesses Messrs. Christopher Goulding and Daniel Nawazelski.

Schedule TSL-4 shows derivation of the authorized RPC for the first step increase on August 1, 2022. Specifically, the Schedule shows the authorized RPC is based on the authorized revenues divided by the number of customers included in the authorized rate design. The authorized revenues are based on the target distribution revenues plus the step increase.

For example, the authorized RPC in August 2022 for the residential heating class of $\$ 40.49$ is based on the authorized revenues of $\$ 51,687$ divided by the number of customers included in the authorized rate design of 1,277 . The authorized revenues of $\$ 51,687$ are based on the target distribution revenues of $\$ 48,504$ plus the 2022 step increase of $\$ 3,183$.

## Q. Why is the Company proposing the annual adjustments?

A. The Company proposes the annual adjustments to align the authorized revenue requirements with the authorized RPC. In other words, as the Company's
authorized revenue requirement increases as a result of the step increases, the Company's authorized RPC should similarly increase.

## 3. Applicable Rate Classes

## Q. What rate classes would the proposed RDM apply to?

A. The Company proposes that the RDM be applicable to the Company's Residential Heating and Non-Heating Service (Schedules R-5 and R10 combined, and R-6), Commercial and Industrial Service (Schedules G-40, G-50, G-41, G-42, G-51, and G-52) customer classes. The revenues associated with special contracts will not be included as part of the RDM.

## 4. Deferred Account

Q. Is the Company proposing to establish a deferred account to record variances between actual and authorized RDM?
A. Yes. The Company proposes to establish a deferred account to record monthly variances between actual and authorized RPC. The monthly variances will be calculated by rate class and then recorded in a deferred account with carrying costs at the Prime rate.

The aggregate monthly variances and carrying costs form the basis for the RDA and the calculation of RDAF (surcharge or credit). For example, revenue surpluses (i.e., actual RPC greater than authorized RPC) during the Measurement Period will result in a credit or refund to customers, while revenue shortfalls (i.e.,
actual RPC less than authorized RPC) during the Measurement Period will result in a surcharge to customers.

## Q. What is the proposed process to establish the RDAF?

A. The Company proposes to file with the Commission the applicable RDAF 45 days before the effective date of November 1. The filing will include an allocation of the RDA to each rate class, and the calculation of the RDAF. The RDA is allocated to each rate class based on the authorized revenues of each rate class in the most recent rate case, including step adjustments. The RDAF will be calculated as a dollar per therm charge or credit based on the RDA allocated to each rate class divided by the projected therm sales for each rate class over the RDM Adjustment Period (prospective 12-month period November through October). The RDAF will be charged or credited to customer bills during the RDM Adjustment Period. The RDM process will follow the schedule below.

| Dates | Activity |
| :--- | :--- |
| August 1 through July 31 | Measure and record monthly in a deferred <br> account the revenue variances between <br> actual and authorized RPC |
| On or about September 17 (45 <br> days before November 1) | File with the Commission the RDAF <br> based on the aggregate monthly revenue <br> variances and monthly carrying costs on <br> the deferred account balances |
| November 1 through October 31 | Apply the RDAF to customer bills |

## 5. Class Allocation

## Q. How will the revenue decoupling adjustment be allocated to each rate class?

A. The RDA will be allocated to each rate class based on the proportion of authorized revenues in the most recent rate case, including step adjustments.

## 6. Factor Calculation

## Q. How will the RDAF be calculated?

A. The RDAF will be calculated on a dollar per therm basis for each rate class based on the RDA allocated to each rate class divided by the projected class therm sales for the RDM Adjustment Period (November through October). The RDAF will be applied to customer bills during the RDM Adjustment Period.

## 7. Adjustment Cap

## Q. Is the Company proposing any adjustment cap?

A. Northern proposes to limit the RDA to two- and one-half percent (2.5\%) of total revenues from delivered sales for the most recent twelve-month period, August through July, with revenue for externally supplied customers being adjusted by imputing the Company's cost of gas charges for that period. To help mitigate customer bill impacts, the cap would be applicable only to revenue shortfalls. Under-recovered revenues in excess of the adjustment cap would be held in the deferred account with carrying costs and included in the next RDAF filing.

## V. ILLUSTRATIVE CALCULATION OF DECOUPLING MECHANISM

## Q. How will the Company implement the proposed RDM?

A. As explained above, the proposed RDM process consists of two steps:

In the first step, the Company calculates the monthly variances between actual and authorized RPC for each rate class. The variances are calculated monthly and then aggregated over the twelve-month period August through July (the Measurement Period). The monthly variances are recorded in a deferred account with carrying costs accrued at the Prime rate. The aggregate variances and carrying costs form the basis for the RDA and the calculation of RDAF (surcharge or credit). For example, if the Company experiences a revenue surplus (actual revenues are greater than authorized revenues) during the Measurement Period, the RDM will result in a credit or refund to customers. Conversely, if the Company experiences a revenue shortfall (actual revenues are less than authorized revenues) during the Measurement Period, the RDM will result in a surcharge for customers.

In the second step, the Company files with the Commission the applicable RDAF 45 days before the effective date of November 1. The filing will include an allocation of the RDA to each rate class, and calculation of the RDAF. The RDA is allocated to each rate classes based on the authorized revenues of each rate class in the most recent rate case, including step adjustments. The RDAF will be calculated as a dollar per therm charge or credit based on the RDA allocated to each rate class divided by the projected therm sales for each rate class over the RDM Adjustment

Period (twelve-month period November through October). The RDAF will be charged or credited to customer bills during the RDM Adjustment Period.

## Q. Please illustrate the first step.

A. In the first step, the Company will calculate monthly variances between actual and authorized RPC for each rate class, as illustrated for the residential rate class in Figure 2 (below).

Figure 2: Monthly Residential Heating Revenue Variance Calculation (Illustrative) ${ }^{16}$

| Illustrative Calculation Variance Over / (Under) | Actual Residential Heating |  |  |  |  | Authorized Residential Heating |  |  |  |  | Variance Over / (Under) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Revenues | Customers |  | RPC |  | Revenues | Customers |  | RPC |  | RPC |  | Revenues |
| August | \$ | 1,081,951 | 27,217 | \$ | 39.75 | \$ | 1,076,569 | 26,815 | \$ | 40.15 | \$ | (0.40) | \$ | $(10,766)$ |
| September |  | 1,283,256 | 27,217 |  | 47.15 |  | 1,276,871 | 26,815 |  | 47.62 |  | (0.47) |  | $(12,769)$ |
| October |  | 1,775,342 | 27,217 |  | 65.23 |  | 1,766,509 | 26,815 |  | 65.88 |  | (0.65) |  | $(17,665)$ |
| November |  | 2,635,287 | 27,217 |  | 96.82 |  | 2,622,176 | 26,815 |  | 97.79 |  | (0.96) |  | $(26,222)$ |
| December |  | 3,694,761 | 27,217 |  | 135.75 |  | 3,676,379 | 26,815 |  | 137.10 |  | (1.35) |  | $(36,764)$ |
| January |  | 4,118,742 | 27,217 |  | 151.33 |  | 4,098,251 | 26,815 |  | 152.84 |  | (1.51) |  | $(40,983)$ |
| February |  | 3,747,792 | 27,217 |  | 137.70 |  | 3,729,146 | 26,815 |  | 139.07 |  | (1.37) |  | $(37,291)$ |
| March |  | 3,287,159 | 27,217 |  | 120.78 |  | 3,270,805 | 26,815 |  | 121.98 |  | (1.20) |  | $(32,708)$ |
| April |  | 2,260,725 | 27,217 |  | 83.06 |  | 2,249,478 | 26,815 |  | 83.89 |  | (0.83) |  | $(22,495)$ |
| May |  | 1,663,286 | 27,217 |  | 61.11 |  | 1,655,011 | 26,815 |  | 61.72 |  | (0.61) |  | $(16,550)$ |
| June |  | 1,238,872 | 27,217 |  | 45.52 |  | 1,232,709 | 26,815 |  | 45.97 |  | (0.45) |  | $(12,327)$ |
| July |  | 1,054,859 | 27,217 |  | 38.76 |  | 1,049,611 | 26,815 |  | 39.14 |  | (0.39) |  | $(10,496)$ |
| 12ME July | \$ | 27,842,031 | 326,604 |  |  | \$ | 27,703,514 | 321,778 |  |  |  |  | \$ | $(277,035)$ |

The Figure shows a four-phase process for each month assuming a 1.00 percent reduction in average revenue per customer for the residential sector. In the first phase, the Company calculates the authorized RPC per month by dividing the authorized monthly revenues by authorized monthly number of customers. In the second phase, the Company calculates the actual monthly RPC by dividing the actual revenues by the actual number of customers. In the third phase, the Company calculates the monthly variances between the actual and authorized RPC. In the

[^5]final phase, the Company calculates the monthly revenue variance by multiplying the RPC variance with the actual number of customers.

The monthly revenue variances will be recorded in a deferred account with carrying costs accrued through the year at Prime rate, as illustrated for the residential rate class in Figure 3 (below).

Figure 3: Deferred Account Balance (Illustrative) ${ }^{17}$

| Illustrative <br> Deferred Account Balance | Deferred Account Starting Balance |  | Revenue <br> Variance | Carrying Costs Rate |  | Carrying Costs |  | ed Account <br> ling Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| August | \$ | \$ | $(10,766)$ | 0.27\% | \$ | (15) | \$ | $(10,780)$ |
| September | $(10,780)$ | \$ | $(12,769)$ | 0.27\% |  | (46) | \$ | $(23,595)$ |
| October | $(23,595)$ | \$ | $(17,665)$ | 0.27\% |  | (88) | \$ | $(41,348)$ |
| November | $(41,348)$ | \$ | $(26,222)$ | 0.27\% |  | (147) | \$ | $(67,718)$ |
| December | $(67,718)$ | \$ | $(36,764)$ | 0.27\% |  | (233) | \$ | $(104,715)$ |
| January | $(104,715)$ | \$ | $(40,983)$ | 0.27\% |  | (339) | \$ | $(146,036)$ |
| February | $(146,036)$ | \$ | $(37,291)$ | 0.27\% |  | (446) | \$ | $(183,774)$ |
| March | $(183,774)$ | \$ | $(32,708)$ | 0.27\% |  | (542) | \$ | $(217,024)$ |
| April | $(217,024)$ | \$ | $(22,495)$ | 0.27\% |  | (618) | \$ | $(240,137)$ |
| May | $(240,137)$ | \$ | $(16,550)$ | 0.27\% |  | (673) | \$ | $(257,360)$ |
| June | $(257,360)$ | \$ | $(12,327)$ | 0.27\% |  | (714) | \$ | $(270,400)$ |
| July | $(270,400)$ | \$ | $(10,496)$ | 0.27\% |  | (747) | \$ | $(281,643)$ |
| August | $(281,643)$ |  |  | 0.27\% |  | (763) | \$ | $(282,406)$ |
| September | $(282,406)$ |  |  | 0.27\% |  | (765) | \$ | $(283,171)$ |
| October | $(283,171)$ |  |  | 0.27\% |  | (767) | \$ | $(283,938)$ |
| Total |  | \$ | $(277,035)$ |  | \$ | $(6,903)$ | \$ | $(283,938)$ |

The Figure shows that carrying costs of $\$ 6,903$ will be accumulated through the year at the assumed Prime Rate. The aggregate monthly variances and carrying costs form the basis for the RDA and the calculation of RDAF surcharge or credit depending on the revenue variances. ${ }^{18}$

## Q. Please discuss the second step in calculating the RDM adjustment.

[^6]A. In the second step, the Company will file the applicable RDAF based on the RDA for the Measurement Period. The filing will include allocation of the RDA to rate classes, and calculation of the RDAF.

The RDA will be allocated to each rate class based on each class's authorized revenues, including step adjustments, as shown in Figure 4 (below).

Figure 4: Decoupling Adjustment Allocation (Illustrative) ${ }^{19}$

| Illustrative Revenue <br> Decoupling Adjustment |  | Authorized Revenues (\$) | Authorized <br> Revenues (\%) | Allocated RDA (\$) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential Non-Heating (R-6) | \$ | 737,886 | 1.45\% | \$ | $(4,112)$ |
| Residential Heating ( $\mathrm{R}-5 / \mathrm{R}-10$ ) |  | 27,702,514 | 54.37\% |  | $(154,385)$ |
| C\&I Low Annual, High Winter (G-40) |  | 8,274,293 | 16.24\% |  | $(46,112)$ |
| C\&I Low Annual, Low Winter (G-50) |  | 1,201,344 | 2.36\% |  | $(6,695)$ |
| C\&I Medium Annual, High Winter (G-41) |  | 6,421,989 | 12.60\% |  | $(35,790)$ |
| C\&I Medium Annual, Low Winter (G-51) |  | 1,638,520 | 3.22\% |  | $(9,131)$ |
| C\&I High Annual, High Winter (G-42) |  | 1,895,204 | 3.72\% |  | $(10,562)$ |
| C\&I High Annual, Low Winter (G-52) |  | 3,077,325 | 6.04\% |  | $(17,150)$ |
| Total | \$ | 50,949,076 | 100.00\% | \$ | (283,938) |

The Figure shows that the Residential Heating class revenues are 54.37 percent of total Company revenues. Accordingly, the deferred account balance allocated to the Residential Heating class is $\$ 154,385$.

The allocated RDA forms the basis for the calculation of RDAF for each rate class, as shown in Figure 5 (below).

[^7]Figure 5: Calculation of RDAF (Illustrative)

| Illustrative Revenue <br> Decoupling Adjustment | Charge/ (Refund) <br> ( $\$$ ) | Adjusted Test <br> Year Sales | Charge/ (Refund) <br> ( $\$ /$ /herm) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential Non-Heating (R-6) | $\$$ | 4,112 | 237,269 | $\$$ |
| Residential Heating (R-5/R-10) |  | 154,385 | $20,067,257$ | 0.0173 |
| C\&I Low Annual, High Winter (G-40) |  | 46,112 | $10,880,833$ | 0.0077 |
| C\&I Low Annual, Low Winter (G-50) | 6,695 | $1,474,573$ | 0.0042 |  |
| C\&I Medium Annual, High Winter (G-41) |  | 35,790 | $14,423,832$ | 0.0045 |
| C\&I Medium Annual, Low Winter (G-51) |  | 9,131 | $4,761,300$ | 0.0025 |
| C\&I High Annual, High Winter (G-42) |  | 10,562 | $5,889,772$ | 0.0019 |
| C\&I High Annual, Low Winter (G-52) |  | 17,150 | $16,417,274$ | 0.0018 |
|  |  |  |  | 0.0010 |
| Total | $\$$ | 283,938 | $74,152,109$ |  |

The Figure shows that the RDAF for the Residential Heating class will be $\$ 0.0077$ per therm. The adjustment factor would be implemented on customer bills during the November through October RDM Adjustment Period.
Q. Please describe how the RDAF will appear on customer bills.
A. For billing purposes, the Company plans to add the RDAF to the Distribution Charge component.

## Q. Is the proposed RDM subject to reconciliation?

A. Yes. As described in Section 7.0 of the proposed tariff, the RDM is subject to reconciliation. Specifically, the actual revenues received by the Company through application of the RDAF to customer bills is reconciled to the RDM adjustment amount.
Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?

1 A. Yes, it does.

|  | APPROVED RPC'S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Residential |  |  | G50 | Commercial and Industrial |  | 642 | 652 |
|  | R6 | R5-R10 | 640 |  |  |  |  |  |
| August 2022 | 1,277 | 26,815 | 5,234 | 831 | 704 | 267 | ${ }^{31}$ | 33 |
| September 2022 | 1,277 | 26,815 | 5,234 | 831 | 704 | 267 | 31 | 33 |
| October 2022 | 1,277 | 26,815 | 5,234 | 831 | 704 | 267 | 31 | ${ }^{3}$ |
| November 2022 | 1,277 | 26,815 | 5,234 | 831 | 704 | 267 | 31 | ${ }^{3}$ |
| December 2022 | 1,277 | 26,815 | 5,234 | 831 | 704 | 267 | 31 | ${ }^{33}$ |
| January 2023 | 1,277 | 26,815 | 5,234 | 831 | 704 | 267 | 31 | ${ }^{3}$ |
| Februar 2023 | 1,277 | 26,815 | 5,234 | 831 | 704 | 267 | 31 | 33 |
| March 2023 | 1,277 | 26,815 | 5,234 | 831 | 704 | 267 | 31 | 33 |
| Apil 2023 | 1,277 | 26,815 | 5,234 | 831 | 704 | 267 | 31 | ${ }^{3}$ |
| May 2023 | 1,277 | 26,815 | 5,234 | 831 | 704 | 267 | 31 | ${ }^{33}$ |
| June 2023 | 1,277 | 26,815 | 5,234 | 831 | 704 | 267 | 31 | ${ }^{33}$ |
| July 2023 | 1,277 | 26,815 | 5,234 | 831 | 704 | 267 | 31 | ${ }^{3}$ |


| August 2022 | s | 34.05 | \$ | 32.44 | 84.08 | \$ | 108.88 | \$ | 318.36 | \$ | 1.52 | 2,641.02 | 5,431.42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 2022 |  | 36.27 |  | 40.58 | 91.05 |  | 111.56 |  | 386.39 |  | 428.43 | 2,986.26 | 6,054.77 |
| October 2022 |  | 39.61 |  | 59.28 | 10.81 |  | 111.54 |  | 564.56 |  | 456.07 | 4,054.95 | 6,194.65 |
| November 2022 |  | 46.26 |  | 91.96 | 134.15 |  | 115.99 |  | 841.30 |  | 504.61 | 5,427.24 | 8,962.53 |
| December 2022 |  | 55.23 |  | 132.22 | 168.98 |  | 124.42 |  | 1,159.60 |  | 569.52 | 6,897.34 | 9,851.53 |
| January 2023 |  | 57.91 |  | 148.33 | 182.83 |  | 127.04 |  | 1,278.48 |  | 589.36 | 7,801.39 | 8,604.40 |
| February 2023 |  | 53.38 |  | 134.23 | 170.49 |  | 123.47 |  | 1,160.86 |  | 561.25 | 7,127.11 | 9,535.37 |
| March 2023 |  | 49.97 |  | 116.73 | 154.74 |  | 118.57 |  | 1,012.75 |  | 543.47 | 6,529.00 | 8,839.80 |
| Appril 2023 |  | 42.95 |  | ${ }^{77.73}$ | 121.80 |  | 106.59 |  | 684.19 |  | 470.36 | 4,981.99 | 8,791.23 |
| May 2023 |  | 40.08 |  | 55.02 | 102.87 |  | 107.52 |  | 506.97 |  | 458.98 | 3,636.48 | 5,557.01 |
| June 2023 |  | 36.32 |  | 38.90 | 89.45 |  | 108.83 |  | 372.73 |  | 433.83 | 2,887.68 | 5,483.51 |
| July 2023 |  | 34.65 |  | 31.90 | 83.65 |  | 110.39 |  | 314.49 |  | 422.00 | 2,685.58 | 5,403.99 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Annual RPC | s | 526.68 | \$ | ${ }^{959.32}$ | s | 1,490.90 | s | 1,374.60 | s | 8,600.68 | s | 5,849.41 | s | 57,656.03 | \$ | 88,710.22 |
| August 2022 | s | 43,469 | \$ | 869,904 | \$ | 440,087 | \$ | 90,360 | s | 224,198 | \$ | 109,671 | \$ | 81,872 | \$ | 179,237 |
| September 2022 |  | 46,303 |  | 1,088,212 |  | 476,581 |  | 92,761 |  | 272,107 |  | 114,176 |  | 92,574 |  | 199,807 |
| October 2022 |  | 50,573 |  | 1,589,617 |  | 559,090 |  | 92,744 |  | 397,580 |  | 121,543 |  | 125,703 |  | 204,424 |
| November 2022 |  | 59,063 |  | 2,465,848 |  | 702,206 |  | 96,439 |  | 592,474 |  | 134,480 |  | 168,244 |  | 295,764 |
| December 2022 |  | 70,512 |  | 3,545,385 |  | 884,496 |  | 103,454 |  | 816,630 |  | 151,778 |  | 213,818 |  | 325,101 |
| January 2023 |  | 73,940 |  | 3,977,396 |  | 956,985 |  | 105,625 |  | 900,348 |  | 157,065 |  | 241,843 |  | 283,945 |
| Februar 2023 |  | 68,151 |  | 3,599,421 |  | 892,398 |  | 102,664 |  | 817,515 |  | 149,574 |  | 220,941 |  | 314,667 |
| March 2023 |  | 63,797 |  | 3,130,064 |  | 809,936 |  | ${ }^{98,583}$ |  | 713,215 |  | 144,835 |  | 202,399 |  | 291,713 |
| April 2023 |  | 54,833 |  | 2,084,192 |  | 637,525 |  | 88,627 |  | 481,829 |  | 125,352 |  | 154,442 |  | 290,111 |
| May 2023 |  | 51,166 |  | 1,475,440 |  | 538,468 |  | 89,401 |  | 357,024 |  | 122,317 |  | 112,731 |  | 183,381 |
| June 2023 |  | 46,376 |  | 1,042,988 |  | 468,212 |  | 90,489 |  | 262,492 |  | 115,614 |  | 89,518 |  | 180,956 |
| July 2023 |  | 44,233 |  | 855,490 |  | 437,868 |  | 91,788 |  | 221,474 |  | 112,462 |  | 83,253 |  | 178,332 |



| DOE Position: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approved | \$ | 47,673,687 | Allowed Revenue | 48,819,581 CC |
| Actual Revenue |  | 44,50,3,322 | Actual Reverue | ¢ 44,500,322 |
| Difference | s | $(3,167,365)$ | Decoupling Adj (Under)/Over | \$ $(4,313,259)$ |
| Disall | s | $(1,145,894)$ | Associated with Customer |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Docket DE 15-137

[^1]:    ${ }^{5}$ Id., p. 60
    ${ }^{6}$ Docket DE 17-048, Order No. 26,122 at pp. 45-46 ("We applaud Liberty for proposing a decoupling mechanism to replace the LRAM.").
    ${ }^{7}$ Docket DE 19-064, Order No. 26,376 at pp. 9, 13 (approving a Settlement Agreement supporting the implementation of a decoupling mechanism).
    ${ }^{8}$ Docket DE 21-030.

[^2]:    ${ }^{9}$ Interest shall be calculated at the prime rate, with said prime rate to be fixed on a quarterly basis and to be established as reported in the Wall Street Journal on the first business day of the month preceding the calendar quarter. If more than one interest rate is reported, the average of the reported rates shall be used.

[^3]:    ${ }^{12}$ National Action Plan for Energy Efficiency (2007): Aligning Utility Incentives with Investment in Energy Efficiency, at p. ES-3
    ${ }^{13}$ Docket DG 19-145, Order No 26,306 at p. 7.

[^4]:    ${ }^{14}$ ACEEE The Future of the Utility Industry and the Role of Energy Efficiency (June 2014), at p. viii
    ${ }^{15}$ S\&P Global Market Intelligence. Data as of April 12, 2021.

[^5]:    ${ }^{16}$ The illustrative calculation assumes a 1.00 percent reduction in revenue per customer each month

[^6]:    ${ }^{17}$ The illustrative calculation assumes a Prime Rate of 3.25 percent, or 0.2708 percent monthly ${ }^{18}$ The illustrative calculation shows RDA based on 12 months' ending July balance. However, the Company's proposed RDA filed will also include estimated carrying costs through October 31.

[^7]:    ${ }^{19}$ The RDA will be allocated to each rate class based on each class's authorized revenues. For illustrative purpose, Figure 4 currently shows the Company's proposed revenues plus 2022 step increase in the 'Authorized Revenues (\$)' column. The illustrative deferred account balance assumes that only the Residential class experienced a revenue change.

