DE 17-136 EERS  
Benefit/Cost Working Group  
AGENDA  
July 11, 2018 1:00 pm – 4:30 pm – Hearing Room B  
Website: (http://www.puc.nh.gov/EESE%20Board/EERS_Working_Groups.html)  
Conference Line: 1-866-951-1151, Room: 6462278

AGENDA

1. Introductions 1:00 pm – 1:05 pm

2. Assumptions for 2019 Plan
   a. Discussion with Consultant (Transmission & Reliability) 1:05 pm -2:05 pm
   b. Group Discussion 2:05 pm – 3:05 pm

3. Discussion of Low Income Adder for 2019 update 3:05 pm – 3:35 pm

4. Low Income non-energy impacts with Consultant 3:35 pm – 4:35 pm

Summary of June 13, 2018 meeting

a. Assumptions for 2019 Plan
   - Utilities discussed their proposed approach for the 2019 plan. (See handout.)
   - Utilities presented the impact of the new assumptions on the benefits.
   - Stakeholders gave their initial reaction to the Utilities’ proposal.
   - More information is needed about the environmental assumption and why it was previously added and taken away for gas.
   - Staff also needs a better understanding of the proposed assumptions for transmission and reliability.

b. Low Income Adder
   - Ray Burke from NHLA proposed an additional adder for the low income sector.
   - Ray asked three questions regarding the low income sector:
     o Do non-energy impacts (NEIs) exist?
     o Are NEIs unique or of greater value?
     o If there are specific NEIs for the low income sector, should we include an adder?
   - Ray says that there is evidence that NEIs exist, so if there isn’t an additional adder, then the benefits of low income programs are undervalued.
   - The low income NEI study is proposed to be completed in the first quarter of 2019.
   - Utilities indicated that some low income projects are not being completed because the benefit/cost ratio is less than one. Utilities are not clear as to what an acceptable threshold (below 1) for the benefit/cost ratio is. Utilities indicated that an adder would increase the benefits and the cost-effectiveness of the projects making them more likely to be completed.
   - Ray proposed an additional 10% adder for low income programs in addition to the general NEI adder (10%) for all projects for a total adder of 20%. He noted that the 10% low income adder won’t necessarily go away after the completion of the NEI study.