AGENDA

1. Introductions

2. Presentation on recent Avoided Energy Supply Cost (AESC) Results

3. Begin discussion on AESC, if time allows

Overview of March 15, 2018 Meeting

a. Presentation on National Standards Practice Manual
b. National Standards Practice Manual (NSPM) describes the Resource Value Framework and Test, which takes into account policy goals.
c. NSPM identifies principles and outlines steps in implementing cost-effectiveness tests.
d. DRIPE - depends whether we want to include impacts to the utility only, the entire state, or all of New England.
e. Non-Utility System (Participant) Benefits/Costs
   • Should participant costs be included?
   • Low income customers can be handled separately (e.g., CT, MI, NM, etc, in Slide 24)
   • Non-Energy Impacts – if include costs, should include benefits (NEIs); can be hard to quantify; adder/proxy better than zero, but doesn’t account for variation from program to program
   • Economic development and job impacts – very difficult to accurately calculate and hard to ensure no double counting.
f. Rate Impact Measures Test has limitations; more comprehensive rate impact analysis takes into account rate impacts, bill impacts, and participant impacts over a longer term.
g. Participation can be increased by program design or policy directives.
h. Resource Value Test allows for the use of various tests and inputs depending upon policy goals (e.g., Utility Costs Test with Low Income and Fuel Neutrality or multiple tests-Total Resource Cost Test, Utility Cost, and Participant Cost Test, etc.)