

**RESULTS AND EFFECTIVENESS OF THE
SYSTEM BENEFITS CHARGE**

October 1, 2012

Submitted to:

**THE LEGISLATIVE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE
ON ELECTRIC RESTRUCTURING**

**Representative James Garrity, Chair
Senator Jeb Bradley
Senator Amanda Merrill
Representative James Devine
Representative Robert Introne
Representative Naida Kaen
Representative William Remick**

The New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission (Commission) hereby submits to the Legislative Oversight Committee on Electric Restructuring its annual report on the results and the effectiveness of the system benefits charge (SBC).¹ The SBC is assessed on all electric customers to fund public benefits related to the provision of electricity. The current SBC is \$0.0033 or 3.3 mills per kilowatt-hour (kWh) and supports energy efficiency and low income bill paying assistance. For a residential customer using an average of 600 kWh per month, the SBC is \$1.98 per month. While the initial charge and allocation of the SBC between energy efficiency and low income programs was designated by the legislature, the current law sets a cap on the low income portion (1.5 mills per kWh) but sets no cap on the energy efficiency portion or the charge overall.

Energy Efficiency

The SBC funds energy efficiency measures known as the CORE programs operated by the state's regulated utilities, Unitil Energy Systems, Granite State Electric Company d/b/a/Liberty Utilities, New Hampshire Electric Cooperative², and Public Service Company of New Hampshire, pursuant to budgets and program terms established by the Commission. Each utility also offers a few non-CORE programs specific to its own customers' needs, also funded by the SBC. Gas utilities also provide energy efficiency programs, funded by ratepayers in a similar fashion, and the Commission now oversees the programs in a coordinated fashion. The CORE programs, the result of an extensive collaborative effort, began in June 2002. Since then, approximately \$208 million has been expended on providing energy efficiency measures to approximately 795,000 customers, with expected energy savings of over 8.7 billion kilowatt-hours over the lifetime of the measures.³

In 2011, the utilities supplemented the SBC-funded energy efficiency programs with an additional \$1.8 million from the ISO-NE Forward Capacity Market (FCM) auction. These additional FCM funds are the result of the SBC funded energy efficiency programs obtaining a Capacity Supply Obligation in the ISO-NE Forward Capacity Market.⁴ SBC-funded energy efficiency programs were able to obtain a Capacity Supply Obligation from the FCM for which they were paid. Further, New England ratepayers were no longer responsible for the cost to generators to cover this Capacity Supply Obligation, resulting in additional ratepayer savings. Together, the portion of the SBC dedicated to energy efficiency and the FCM funds, produced \$19.8 million for the 2011 program year. In 2013, SBC and FCM funds will be augmented by additional monies due to the passage of House Bill 1490 (Chapter 281 of the Laws of 2012) which became law

¹ This report is filed pursuant to RSA 374-F:4, VIII (f). The SBC is authorized by RSA 374-F:3, VI and RSA 374-F:4, VIII.

² Though not fully regulated, the New Hampshire Electric Cooperative's provision of SBC-funded programs is subject to Commission oversight.

³ See Commission's website, Docket DE 12-262, [2013-2014 CORE NH Electric Energy Efficiency Program filing, September 17, 2012, page 2.](#)

⁴ For additional information on Capacity Supply Obligations and the Forward Capacity Market, go to the ISO-NE website, <http://www.iso-ne.com/>

on June 23, 2012. This bill amended the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI) provisions of RSA Chapter 125-O by requiring that one dollar of each RGGI allowance sold be turned over to the electric utilities for CORE programs, and the remaining proceeds, after administrative costs, be refunded to ratepayers.

Important policy goals guiding program design include achieving cost-effective energy savings and transforming the market for energy efficiency measures. Demand response, by which customers are compensated for reductions in their energy use at certain times, is another area of focus gaining increasing attention in recent years. Demand response creates a financial incentive to reduce usage during peak load periods, which are the most expensive block of power. By cutting the peak demand, the cost of energy to all ratepayers is reduced. A new development is the introduction of capacity payments for demand resources that qualify. Capacity payments are administered through the regional system operator, ISO-NE, and serve as an additional incentive to develop targeted demand response. SBC funds are not used in making capacity payments, but are noted here because they are among the tools available to encourage demand responsive load.

The CORE programs are divided between programs for residential customers and those for commercial and industrial (C&I) customers. As reflected in the table below, program budgets are allocated to residential and C&I customers roughly in proportion to their respective SBC payments. All customers contribute proportionately to the Home Energy Assistance (HEA) program, which provides weatherization and energy efficiency measures for low income customers, often in coordination with, and as a supplement to, US Department of Energy Weatherization Assistance funding. The HEA program is administered by the utilities in conjunction with the Community Action Agencies.

The primary residential CORE programs are:

- ENERGY STAR® Homes, a fuel neutral program under which builders and homeowners are encouraged to construct more energy-efficient new homes using the Home Energy Rating Service (HERS).
- Home Performance with ENERGY STAR® (HPwES), which provides weatherization measures including a home energy audit, air sealing, insulation, duct sealing to homes with high energy usage.
- Home Energy Assistance, which provides weatherization and energy efficiency measures for income-eligible customers.
- ENERGY STAR Lighting which increases the use and availability of energy efficient lighting products, such as compact fluorescent bulbs to replace less efficient traditional bulbs.
- ENERGY STAR® Appliances, which provides incentives for customers to purchase Energy Star rated appliances, increases consumer awareness of energy efficient appliances and provides Gas Utility customers incentives on Energy Star heating and hot water equipment and controls.

- Educational programs, other than those within the CORE programs, including energy education for students and pilot efforts to explore new program offerings, such as the use of heat pumps and geothermal systems.

The primary commercial and industrial CORE programs are:

- Small Business Energy Solutions, which provides small to medium sized electric and natural gas customers with incentives to install or upgrade to more energy efficient electrical, mechanical and thermal systems, or equipment such as lighting and hot water measures.
- Large Business Energy Solutions, which provides large gas and electric customers with incentives to install or upgrade to more energy efficient electrical, mechanical, and thermal systems or equipment.
- New Equipment and Construction, which provides all commercial and industrial electric and gas customers with technical assistance and incentives to install integrated and efficient HVAC systems.
- Education, for Commercial and Industrial customers, energy code training and commercial energy auditing.

2012 NH CORE Program Goals

NH CORE ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROGRAMS	EXPENSES ⁵ (\$)	LIFETIME kWh SAVINGS	NUMBER OF CUSTOMERS
Residential			
ENERGY STAR® Homes	\$ 1,498,907	13,364,299	505
HPwES	\$ 2,232,108	12,783,597	1,308
Home Energy Assistance	\$ 2,979,362	3,939,053	948
ENERGY STAR Lighting ⁶	\$ 1,116,923	56,449,975	330,702
ENERGY STAR Appliances	\$ 1,125,895	28,968,192	18,189
Other	\$ 812,006	47,204,000	25,086
Total Residential	\$9,765,201	172,709,116	376,738
Commercial & Industrial			
Education	\$ 129,000	n/a	n/a
Small Business Energy Solutions	\$ 3,615,749	122,339,281	1,169
Large Business Energy Solutions	\$ 3,333,675	175,270,465	176
New Equipment & Construction	\$ 2,321,581	109,453,715	135
Other	\$ 666,321	30,184,800	10
Total C & I	\$10,066,326	437,248,261	1,490
TOTAL	\$19,831,527	609,957,377	378,228

⁵ Expenses excludes Performance Incentives and RGGI-related funding which are currently being determined.

⁶ Number of customers represents lighting products expected to be installed (on average 4.5 bulbs per customer).

A mid-year overview of the 2012 CORE programs, shown below, demonstrates that they are being implemented successfully and are meeting, or exceeding their mid-year targets. Through June, 2012, savings are 81% of total annual goal, costs are 75% of total annual goal, and participation ranges from 43% to 161% of goal.

During 2012, the Commission ordered that HPwES move from a pilot to a full CORE program.⁷ HPwES is the fuel-neutral weatherization program that provides home energy audits, air sealing, insulation and duct sealing to homes with high energy usage, irrespective of income. It has been a heavily subscribed program, resulting in savings to homeowners in both their electric usage and their overall heating bills. As the CORE programs have matured over the years, there are fewer homes with electric heat to target these funds; the HPwES program has been attractive to households that heat with oil and other fuels, and the HPwES audits and insulation measures provide the impetus for homeowners to participate. The 2012 Commission Order noted that this type of program is an important venue in expanding access to continued electric savings.

**CORE NH Program Mid-Year Overview
January 1 - June 30, 2012**

NH CORE	EXPENSE(\$)		SAVINGS (Lifetime kWh)		NUMBER OF CUSTOMERS	
	Actual + In Process + Prospective	Percent of Budget	Actual + In Process + Prospective	Percent of Budget	Actual + In Process + Prospective	Percent of Budget
RESIDENTIAL (nhsaves@home)						
ENERGY STAR Homes	\$1,433,900	96%	10,210,392	76%	460	91%
HPwES	\$1,186,153	53%	8,757,619	69%	562	43%
Home Energy Assistance	\$1,132,061	38%	6,023,102	43%	404	43%
ENERGY STAR Lighting	\$ 591,659	53%	30,231,465	54%	148,107	45%
ENERGY STAR Appliances	\$ 544,141	48%	17,277,211	60%	10,433	57%
TOTAL RESIDENTIAL	\$4,887,914	55%	72,499,789	58%	159,966	45%
COMMERCIAL & INDUSTRIAL (nhsaves@work)						
Small Business Energy Solutions	\$3,005,190	84%	90,894,334	74%	503	43%
Large Business Energy Solutions	\$3,458,316	104%	178,347,698	102%	283	161%
New Construction	\$2,178,341	92%	90,501,043	83%	151	112%
TOTAL COMMERCIAL & INDUSTRIAL	\$8,641,847	92%	359,743,075	88%	937	63%
TOTAL	\$13,529,761	74%	432,242,864	81%	160,903	46%

The Commission requires that all energy efficiency measures demonstrate that they are cost-effective. The standard measure is to compare the value of the savings achieved over the life of the measure against the projected cost per kWh the utility would have had to provide if not for the efficiency measure. The lives of the measures differ depending on the measure installed and the cost that the utility avoids is based on

⁷ For detail on the Commission's ruling regarding HPwES, see Order No.25,402 (August 23, 2012)

projections; thus the calculations are complex. Over the years, however, the CORE programs consistently demonstrate cost-effectiveness. For 2013, the utilities estimate average benefit-to-cost ratio of 2.2 to 1, using net present value of total economic benefits compared with total costs (to utility and customer). CORE Electric Utility Program results indicate that the cost per kWh saved has increased since 2003, the first full year of the CORE programs, but is still less than the Avoided Energy Supply Costs used to screen programs. The cost per kWh saved in 2011 was 2.67 cents per kWh.

Based on the projected costs of energy in 2013-2014, the utilities estimate a cost per lifetime kWh saved of approximately 4.5 cents while the avoided cost of supply is approximately 6 cents per kWh. The expected increase in cost per kWh saved is not because the programs are more expensive or less effective, but because the measures being installed often involve homes that heat with sources other than electricity, the electric savings are less, however, the heating costs borne by customers are greatly reduced. Further, there are ancillary electric savings to customers as a result of greater air sealing, insulation and more efficient appliances. In addition, demand reductions from energy efficiency help to avoid additional and costly transmission and distribution system upgrades that are borne by all ratepayers. Further, building new generation to meet increasing capacity needs are usually more expensive than average existing generation costs, so investments in new generation to meet growing capacity needs tend to raise average rates over time. Cost-effective investments in energy efficiency and demand response to meet increasing capacity needs, however, are almost always lower in costs than new generation, resulting in savings to ratepayers.

***Energy Efficiency Investment
In Public Schools***

RSA 374-F:4, VIII-a requires that the electric utilities submit plans for program design, and/or enhancements, and estimated participation that maximize energy efficiency benefits to public schools. These benefits should include measures that help enhance the energy efficiency of public school construction or renovation projects that are designed to improve indoor air quality. The following table shows the results for 2011 and in-process results to date for 2012 of energy efficiency measures in New Hampshire public schools.

**Public Schools
Energy Efficiency Investments 2011 and 2012**

Year	Measure Type	Number of Projects	Total Incentives	Project Cost	Annual kWh Savings
2011	Cooling	7	42,319	\$42,320	71,733
	Custom	10	134,176	249,578	451,439
	Lighting	58	628,499	1,616,244	2,530,018
	Refrigeration	1	1,735	3,470	7,100
	Motors	4	10,822	11,354	49,210
	Variable Frequency Drive	9	37,100	116,651	243,636
2011 Total		89	854,651	\$2,039,616	3,353,136
2012	Cooling	2	38,438	49,521	125,668
	Custom	8	48,603	145,305	105,545
	Lighting	49	348,696	1,197,675	1,480,893
	Refrigeration	1	2,833	5,665	18,681
	Motors*	1	2,300	6,571	0
	Variable Frequency Drive	5	17,475	41,257	212,952
2012 Total		66	458,345	\$1,445,994	1,943,739

* Motor project with zero value for savings is underway but not yet completed and thus cannot report on savings.

Considerations for Future Program Design

According to a 2009 study issued by GDS Associates⁸, a substantial amount of cost-effective achievable energy efficiency savings continues to be available in the residential, commercial and industrial sectors in New Hampshire. The GDS study provides design and implementation information useful for energy efficiency program improvement.

In 2010 the New Hampshire Legislature directed the Commission to contract for an independent, comprehensive review of energy efficiency, conservation, demand response, and sustainable energy programs and incentives, with recommendations for improvements. The Commission selected the Vermont Energy Investment Corporation (VEIC) through a competitive bid process; the VEIC report⁹ was delivered to the Legislature in September 2011 and has been used by CORE docket participants when evaluating program offerings.

⁸ The GDS Final Report is available on the Commission's website [here](#).

⁹ The [VEIC Report](http://www.puc.nh.gov/EESE.htm) is available on the Commission's website at <http://www.puc.nh.gov/EESE.htm>

Electric Assistance Program

RSA 374-F:4, VIII (c) authorizes the funding of the low income electric assistance program through the system benefits charge. Customers of Liberty Utilities (formerly National Grid), New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, Public Service Company of New Hampshire and Unitil Energy Systems support the program through a per kWh charge on electric bills. The Electric Assistance Program (EAP), which began on October 1, 2002, will complete its tenth year of operation on September 30, 2012. Currently, there are over 31,000 households receiving this benefit.

As currently designed, the EAP has 5 discount tiers: less than or equal to 75% of the federal poverty guideline; 76% to 100% of the federal poverty guideline; 101% to 125% of the federal poverty guideline; 126% to 150% of the federal poverty guideline; and 151% to 175% of the federal poverty guideline. EAP discounts range from 7% to 70%, with those with the lowest incomes receiving the highest discount. The discount percentage applies to the first 700 kWh of use each month; all usage above 700 kWh is billed at the non-discounted rate. Based on current projections, the sustainable enrollment level for the EAP is approximately 34,000 households. As a result of the program design changes, the average annual benefit provided to participating households during the first 11 months of the EAP 2011 – 2012 program year was \$397.

Enrollment in the EAP has been declining in recent months. The enrollment on October 1, 2011 was 33,646; however as of August 31, 2012, enrollment in EAP was 31,727. There has been discussion by the EAP Advisory Board¹⁰ regarding the decline in enrollment. The Board has heard anecdotal information from the social service agency community that suggests low income households are combining, that is family members are moving in with one another to form one larger household in an effort to better manage costs and expenses. While it is not clear why enrollment has declined, the Board has agreed that the utilities and Community Action Agencies will increase EAP outreach efforts to the low income population in the state. Over the next few months, the EAP Advisory Board also anticipates that EAP enrollment will increase as customers begin to apply for the federally funded low income home energy assistance program.

EAP Enrollment on the First of Each Month - October 2011 through August 2012											
	October	November	December	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August
Enrollment	33,646	33,759	33,945	33,536	33,332	33,392	33,398	33,807	33,930	32,967	32,204

There has not been a waiting list for the EAP since May 27, 2012. As of August 31, 2012, 31,727 households were enrolled in and receiving benefits from the electric assistance program. Enrollment by poverty level is shown in the table below. Although

¹⁰ The EAP Advisory Board is comprised of representatives from Liberty Utilities, New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, Public Service Company of New Hampshire, Unitil, the NH Community Action Agencies, the New Hampshire Municipal Welfare Directors, The Way Home, the Office of Energy and Planning, the Office of Consumer Advocate and the Public Utilities Commission.

tier 1, those households with incomes between 176% and 185% of the federal poverty guideline, was eliminated in 2011, customers enrolled in the EAP on tier 1 as of March 4, 2011, the date of the Commission’s order, will remain on the EAP through the end of their certification period.¹¹

Poverty Level	Number of Households Enrolled as of 8/31/2012
Under 75%	7,037
76% - 100%	7,391
101% - 125%	6,532
126% - 150%	6,018
151% - 175%	4,647
176% - 185%	102
Total	31,727

Since the electric assistance program began in October 2002, 220,155 households have received benefits from the program. Information regarding the number of program participants and the benefits paid since program inception, broken out by town, can be found in Attachment A. Information for the current EAP program year can be found in Attachment B.

EAP Financial Information October 1, 2011 through August 31, 2012					
Balance in EAP fund on 10/1/11	SBC revenue for EAP	Interest on Reserve	Benefits paid	Administrative costs	Balance in EAP fund on 8/31/12
\$862,002	\$14,550,214	\$2,187	\$12,216,501	\$1,714,665.04	\$1,499,856

Although the current balance in the EAP account is in excess of \$1M, it is the Commission’s expectation that the balance in the account will be reduced substantially over the next 12 months. Should that not occur, the Commission would then look at suspending the collection of some or all of the low income portion of the system benefits charge for a period of time as discussed in RSA 374-F:4, VIII (c). During the past 11 months, approximately \$14.5M in funding was collected for the EAP, and approximately \$12.2M was distributed in bill assistance to customers. The administrative costs were \$1.71M. Administrative costs are incurred by the Community Action Agencies (CAA), the utilities and the Office of Energy and Planning (OEP). As program administrator, the CAA performs activities such as client outreach and intake, application processing, enrollment of participants, and periodic review of ongoing program eligibility. The CAA also conducts compliance monitoring to ensure program guidelines are being adhered to. Utility incremental costs generally include expenses for the production and printing of educational materials such as posters and brochures, customer service, legal services and

¹¹ Customers are certified as eligible to receive EAP benefits for 12 or 24 months. The 24 month certification period is for participants 65 years of age or older. The certification period for the remaining customers in tier 1 will end no later than March 4, 2013.

IT support and represent those expenses that would be reasonably incurred as part of the utility's administration of the EAP but would not be incurred absent EAP. Expenses included in OEP's budget relate to OEP's participation in EAP advisory board meetings and other EAP related discussions.¹²

During the 2012-2013 program year, the Office of Energy and Planning will conduct a process evaluation of the EAP. In January 2008, the Commission established a schedule for a formal evaluation of the EAP. The process evaluation is conducted every three years to determine whether the EAP has met the level of need, within the limits of the available System Benefits Charge funds, whether the EAP conforms to the program design guidelines, and whether the program operates efficiently. A report of the evaluation and any findings will be submitted to the Commission by April 1, 2013.

¹² Of the \$1.71M in administrative costs paid during the first 11 months of the 2011-2012 EAP program year, \$31,058.47 was paid to the utilities, \$1,682,653.38 was paid to the CAAs, and \$953.19 was paid to OEP.

Distribution of households (HH) income data is suppressed where 10 or fewer recipients in town

Town/City	HHs <75% FPG	HHs 75- 100%	HHs 100- 125%	HHs 125- 150%	HHs 150- 175%	HHs 175- 185%	Total HHs	Total Benefits	Average Benefit
Acworth	56	57	51	16	29	12	221	\$ 102,922.22	\$465.71
Albany	61	83	49	54	35	10	292	\$ 160,382.43	\$549.25
Alexandria	69	53	64	67	25	15	293	\$ 168,725.18	\$575.85
Allenstown	308	277	282	303	205	54	1,429	\$ 746,622.69	\$522.48
Alstead	110	96	99	83	53	19	460	\$ 239,886.33	\$521.49
Alton	216	160	171	198	126	44	915	\$ 538,248.99	\$588.25
Amherst	108	88	108	93	100	33	530	\$ 389,406.91	\$734.73
Andover	73	54	64	94	62	22	369	\$ 221,867.29	\$601.27
Antrim	114	118	129	142	52	23	578	\$ 303,580.83	\$525.23
Ashland	16	11	21	13	5	2	68	\$ 46,966.02	\$690.68
Atkinson	40	24	49	44	32	8	197	\$ 96,418.05	\$489.43
Auburn	59	67	92	76	50	14	358	\$ 226,353.56	\$632.27
Barnstead	166	116	180	139	115	42	758	\$ 446,479.31	\$589.02
Barrington	262	231	214	178	135	56	1,076	\$ 691,392.56	\$642.56
Bartlett	94	112	115	102	65	31	519	\$ 285,278.42	\$549.67
Bath	59	36	59	59	34	7	254	\$ 142,471.46	\$560.91
Bedford	157	123	132	137	101	47	697	\$ 441,373.01	\$633.25
Belmont	563	549	515	466	325	119	2,537	\$ 1,371,313.89	\$540.53
Bennington	75	67	41	52	40	24	299	\$ 167,909.49	\$561.57
Benton	10	4	21	12	12	2	61	\$ 27,268.88	\$447.03
Berlin	817	988	867	691	435	131	3,929	\$ 1,761,623.01	\$448.36
Bethlehem	114	141	120	119	90	34	618	\$ 298,082.20	\$482.33
Boscawen	207	146	172	157	97	52	831	\$ 379,840.17	\$457.09
Bow	52	37	53	60	40	13	255	\$ 131,924.87	\$517.35
Bradford	71	79	71	75	41	18	355	\$ 210,224.68	\$592.18
Brentwood	44	32	33	36	20	4	169	\$ 128,242.79	\$758.83
Bridgewater	15	45	41	41	22	4	168	\$ 80,719.52	\$480.47
Bristol	152	144	160	152	82	22	712	\$ 371,128.58	\$521.25
Brookfield	18	17	20	13	23	1	92	\$ 54,004.26	\$587.00
Brookline	49	37	38	31	36	18	209	\$ 129,718.28	\$620.66
Campton	130	171	197	161	93	43	795	\$ 430,422.12	\$541.41
Canaan	85	183	110	129	67	17	591	\$ 275,017.97	\$465.34
Candia	67	74	63	84	55	14	357	\$ 215,639.18	\$604.03
Canterbury	43	29	55	30	25	6	188	\$ 107,790.30	\$573.35
Carroll	22	33	33	14	17	7	126	\$ 72,192.41	\$572.96
Center Harbor	53	27	35	26	21	2	164	\$ 121,058.89	\$738.16
Charlestown	345	291	346	365	206	68	1,621	\$ 802,964.45	\$495.35
Chatham	14	23	5	10	2	3	57	\$ 46,277.19	\$811.88
Chester	44	25	36	37	23	8	173	\$ 117,711.62	\$680.41
Chesterfield	88	86	61	86	57	19	397	\$ 230,910.94	\$581.64
Chichester	61	59	60	51	28	5	264	\$ 124,412.28	\$471.26
Claremont	1,110	1,216	1,029	818	524	195	4,892	\$ 2,208,337.44	\$451.42
Clarksville	24	25	17	14	21	2	103	\$ 55,005.75	\$534.04
Colebrook	272	323	276	219	136	32	1,258	\$ 689,175.69	\$547.83
Columbia	52	63	48	54	33	12	262	\$ 143,165.89	\$546.43
Concord	1,883	1,632	1,518	1,326	845	320	7,524	\$ 2,810,797.60	\$373.58
Conway	638	752	582	512	319	124	2,927	\$ 1,751,507.38	\$598.40
Cornish	45	55	70	44	31	19	264	\$ 140,137.69	\$530.82
Croydon	24	29	24	32	18	6	133	\$ 84,451.01	\$634.97
Dalton	49	81	106	98	61	17	412	\$ 199,449.80	\$484.10
Danbury	61	75	59	49	22	13	279	\$ 169,482.77	\$607.47
Danville	117	115	87	89	71	22	501	\$ 259,654.18	\$518.27

Deerfield	110	75	70	64	34	17	370	\$	252,647.35	\$682.83
Deering	86	40	89	73	49	14	351	\$	231,523.20	\$659.61
Derry	990	913	907	815	523	140	4,288	\$	2,433,402.98	\$567.49
Dorchester	21	29	17	22	22	6	117	\$	72,840.27	\$622.57
Dover	1,512	1,175	947	774	395	155	4,958	\$	2,560,963.46	\$516.53
Dublin	19	24	30	24	22	8	127	\$	64,362.42	\$506.79
Dummer	12	7	18	15	8	8	68	\$	36,821.95	\$541.50
Dunbarton	40	36	53	39	18	10	196	\$	119,106.96	\$607.69
Durham	26	33	57	34	12	8	170	\$	85,129.11	\$500.76
East Kingston	27	28	18	7	13	2	95	\$	43,568.70	\$458.62
Easton	10	19	5	7	4	4	49	\$	33,825.30	\$690.31
Eaton	9	10	3	5	2	2	31	\$	13,836.94	\$446.35
Effingham	105	110	77	96	58	23	469	\$	271,256.18	\$578.37
Ellsworth							7	\$	6,295.97	\$899.42
Enfield	84	99	154	114	45	17	513	\$	223,216.11	\$435.12
Epping	220	163	172	183	127	24	889	\$	542,775.98	\$610.55
Epsom	144	198	194	159	116	37	848	\$	412,481.92	\$486.42
Errol	15	34	43	30	15	5	142	\$	54,488.72	\$383.72
Exeter	413	486	447	411	245	92	2,094	\$	954,379.07	\$455.77
Farmington	530	434	373	384	235	47	2,003	\$	1,169,395.90	\$583.82
Fitzwilliam	114	88	81	58	70	27	438	\$	255,960.34	\$584.38
Francestown	15	10	16	26	9	10	86	\$	61,671.39	\$717.11
Franconia	24	39	32	26	25	6	152	\$	64,339.95	\$423.29
Franklin	921	683	682	531	322	130	3,269	\$	1,732,128.50	\$529.86
Freedom	52	57	76	56	52	16	309	\$	191,040.93	\$618.26
Fremont	95	51	54	76	45	21	342	\$	209,572.10	\$612.78
Gilford	248	311	286	359	199	75	1,478	\$	778,002.34	\$526.39
Gilmanton	122	95	120	117	83	34	571	\$	342,727.58	\$600.22
Gilsum	43	35	38	30	25	6	177	\$	91,259.54	\$515.59
Goffstown	319	301	362	330	259	100	1,671	\$	993,665.83	\$594.65
Gorham	118	162	150	173	113	32	748	\$	336,023.07	\$449.23
Goshen	48	44	40	50	27	14	223	\$	120,127.38	\$538.69
Grafton	92	81	70	63	35	16	357	\$	247,207.55	\$692.46
Grantham	24	18	16	25	12	4	99	\$	52,181.70	\$527.09
Greenfield	36	31	53	41	28	6	195	\$	99,688.13	\$511.22
Greenland	51	47	30	44	23	5	200	\$	120,718.42	\$603.59
Greenville	157	133	192	105	76	39	702	\$	383,790.26	\$546.71
Groton	25	41	41	48	36	12	203	\$	95,373.20	\$469.82
Hampstead	81	106	117	135	78	26	543	\$	259,923.13	\$478.68
Hampton	335	291	306	167	150	41	1,290	\$	573,738.70	\$444.76
Hampton Falls	18	10	7	3	8	2	48	\$	36,953.94	\$769.87
Hancock	49	32	41	62	29	13	226	\$	117,804.79	\$521.26
Hanover	21	21	26	17	13	1	99	\$	43,737.76	\$441.80
Harrisville	9	30	21	21	14	3	98	\$	58,711.76	\$599.10
Haverhill	112	171	121	195	77	30	706	\$	436,438.23	\$618.18
Hebron	21	14	31	23	18	2	109	\$	48,870.30	\$448.35
Henniker	146	126	123	120	81	22	618	\$	356,465.77	\$576.81
Hill	55	43	65	57	27	20	267	\$	161,206.58	\$603.77
Hillsborough	346	323	350	291	212	72	1,594	\$	864,000.76	\$542.03
Hinsdale	221	233	267	220	160	53	1,154	\$	636,702.25	\$551.74
Holderness	51	60	70	55	52	14	302	\$	147,673.38	\$488.98
Hollis	47	32	50	52	41	14	236	\$	150,364.14	\$637.14
Hooksett	344	356	388	406	181	48	1,723	\$	853,763.16	\$495.51
Hopkinton	84	83	103	95	64	33	462	\$	224,679.22	\$486.32
Hudson	590	409	395	396	292	106	2,188	\$	1,325,595.33	\$605.85

Jackson	16	26	11	20	7	2	82	\$	55,268.92	\$674.01
Jaffrey	217	193	205	252	121	62	1,050	\$	574,512.41	\$547.15
Jefferson	21	46	40	30	30	4	171	\$	89,005.57	\$520.50
Keene	856	917	886	809	456	197	4,121	\$	2,105,271.84	\$510.86
Kensington	22	15	12	16	11	3	79	\$	35,833.98	\$453.59
Kingston	135	102	94	87	70	25	513	\$	327,653.02	\$638.70
Laconia	1,587	1,429	1,286	906	522	219	5,949	\$	2,742,622.18	\$461.02
Lancaster	196	288	249	229	90	29	1,081	\$	562,080.70	\$519.96
Landaff	12	16	12	22	7	2	71	\$	29,478.57	\$415.19
Langdon	26	39	34	29	18	7	153	\$	78,824.67	\$515.19
Lebanon	346	454	337	255	152	55	1,599	\$	650,855.19	\$407.04
Lee	90	90	80	81	45	13	399	\$	233,408.67	\$584.98
Lempster	82	69	94	62	32	8	347	\$	220,513.12	\$635.48
Lincoln	68	177	165	115	50	19	594	\$	290,985.51	\$489.87
Lisbon	99	133	128	107	53	20	540	\$	292,630.39	\$541.91
Litchfield	120	106	121	92	78	41	558	\$	412,704.30	\$739.61
Littleton	37	29	30	31	17	5	149	\$	84,395.58	\$566.41
Londonderry	371	300	361	308	180	63	1,583	\$	1,137,860.54	\$718.80
Loudon	141	130	145	146	124	51	737	\$	391,311.32	\$530.95
Lyman	21	35	38	23	16	4	137	\$	89,121.94	\$650.53
Lyme	27	14	24	19	6	3	93	\$	50,956.26	\$547.92
Lyndeborough	32	29	32	33	14	10	150	\$	97,262.03	\$648.41
Madbury	24	20	41	24	16	4	129	\$	89,453.21	\$693.44
Madison	103	93	83	102	65	24	470	\$	255,162.39	\$542.90
Manchester	9,180	7,733	6,419	5,218	3,057	1,166	32,773	\$	15,398,861.48	\$469.86
Marlborough	72	115	83	62	48	22	402	\$	207,482.89	\$516.13
Marlow	30	25	37	43	26	11	172	\$	93,820.03	\$545.47
Mason	16	1	19	23	5	4	68	\$	41,380.11	\$608.53
Meredith	460	389	376	293	237	59	1,814	\$	1,063,217.34	\$586.12
Merrimack	307	267	289	332	222	83	1,500	\$	1,007,802.45	\$671.87
Middleton	80	81	85	69	50	16	381	\$	262,224.27	\$688.25
Milan	35	51	84	71	43	14	298	\$	162,149.18	\$544.12
Milford	452	384	412	356	278	102	1,984	\$	1,096,634.03	\$552.74
Milton	357	313	323	216	122	41	1,372	\$	878,497.42	\$640.30
Monroe	26	19	46	40	18	10	159	\$	91,980.77	\$578.50
Mont Vernon	17	15	29	30	24	9	124	\$	77,469.76	\$624.76
Moultonborough	117	101	113	154	80	35	600	\$	351,278.94	\$585.46
Nashua	4,722	3,856	3,023	2,620	1,540	635	16,396	\$	8,321,413.57	\$507.53
Nelson	39	25	29	12	6	6	117	\$	91,225.01	\$779.70
New Boston	74	47	55	63	66	21	326	\$	191,439.29	\$587.24
New Castle	1	4	7	3	2	1	18	\$	7,986.54	\$443.70
New Durham	87	97	85	96	61	10	436	\$	275,491.12	\$631.86
New Hampton	86	87	63	89	48	19	392	\$	253,241.53	\$646.02
New Ipswich	121	123	122	97	75	36	574	\$	334,429.74	\$582.63
New London	34	38	55	39	17	8	191	\$	108,054.61	\$565.73
Newbury	44	54	67	45	32	8	250	\$	139,908.69	\$559.63
Newfields	10	8	6	13	8	8	53	\$	19,763.42	\$372.89
Newington	8	19	6	15	6	2	56	\$	40,418.64	\$721.76
Newmarket	335	252	222	200	118	34	1,161	\$	635,359.80	\$547.25
Newport	622	607	603	434	297	86	2,649	\$	1,454,669.22	\$549.14
Newton	57	60	56	71	43	14	301	\$	146,129.85	\$485.48
North Hampton	56	63	71	61	32	13	296	\$	195,613.66	\$660.86
Northfield	224	203	205	207	133	49	1,021	\$	560,902.13	\$549.37
Northumberland	145	248	183	259	139	32	1,006	\$	454,936.56	\$452.22
Northwood	123	103	94	101	73	24	518	\$	344,193.67	\$664.47

Nottingham	74	42	67	72	51	13	319	\$	176,155.88	\$552.21
Orange	2	2	5	2	3	3	17	\$	9,707.25	\$571.01
Orford	17	33	32	24	16	2	124	\$	86,864.60	\$700.52
Ossipee	374	426	346	284	186	87	1,703	\$	1,022,582.47	\$600.46
Pelham	132	125	162	125	97	35	676	\$	453,640.51	\$671.07
Pembroke	355	256	293	297	174	45	1,420	\$	674,276.90	\$474.84
Peterborough	253	162	181	163	113	45	917	\$	462,010.09	\$503.83
Piermont	30	25	27	28	15	5	130	\$	76,462.46	\$588.17
Pittsburg	48	72	75	52	28	5	280	\$	145,629.30	\$520.10
Pittsfield	234	259	245	194	113	26	1,071	\$	555,306.10	\$518.49
Plainfield	35	46	24	33	16	5	159	\$	88,881.11	\$559.00
Plaistow	109	157	125	128	83	27	629	\$	279,487.33	\$444.34
Plymouth	203	258	218	192	104	41	1,016	\$	563,347.73	\$554.48
Portsmouth	558	672	484	365	210	57	2,346	\$	1,169,611.69	\$498.56
Randolph	13	8	14	7	9	3	54	\$	22,605.05	\$418.61
Raymond	388	384	410	382	245	79	1,888	\$	1,113,711.90	\$589.89
Richmond	37	38	39	36	20	19	189	\$	109,216.04	\$577.86
Rindge	126	103	100	101	70	32	532	\$	347,166.40	\$652.57
Rochester	2,378	2,443	1,866	1,456	787	235	9,165	\$	5,117,650.82	\$558.39
Rollinsford	85	57	68	52	36	15	313	\$	177,383.02	\$566.72
Roxbury	7	6	7	4	7	2	33	\$	13,130.70	\$397.90
Rumney	102	86	93	57	58	14	410	\$	262,121.56	\$639.32
Rye	60	63	67	56	37	7	290	\$	165,534.73	\$570.81
Salem	481	560	611	571	349	105	2,677	\$	1,108,300.93	\$414.01
Salisbury	37	22	38	41	19	9	166	\$	74,244.05	\$447.25
Sanbornton	65	65	77	67	39	20	333	\$	175,253.50	\$526.29
Sandown	93	68	94	82	55	16	408	\$	263,719.20	\$646.37
Sandwich	36	47	36	26	28	8	181	\$	106,300.23	\$587.29
Seabrook	561	554	474	393	208	68	2,258	\$	1,311,479.36	\$580.81
Sharon	4	3	3	3	4	3	20	\$	6,975.43	\$348.77
Shelburne	5	4	7	16	9	7	48	\$	16,256.66	\$338.68
Somersworth	1,006	683	558	447	288	91	3,073	\$	1,792,038.35	\$583.16
South Hampton	11	3	5	24	10	2	55	\$	19,694.33	\$358.08
Springfield	39	48	43	33	10	8	181	\$	101,657.91	\$561.65
Stark	20	39	49	21	21	13	163	\$	100,579.17	\$617.05
Stewartstown	90	97	107	72	61	20	447	\$	247,414.81	\$553.50
Stoddard	34	28	43	14	11	5	135	\$	66,650.88	\$493.71
Strafford	54	61	70	43	43	6	277	\$	157,839.99	\$569.82
Stratford	110	202	140	94	53	19	618	\$	317,864.64	\$514.34
Stratham	51	37	48	40	25	12	213	\$	127,677.49	\$599.42
Sugar Hill	25	9	16	28	12	5	95	\$	56,733.26	\$597.19
Sullivan	23	38	32	21	23	13	150	\$	96,697.20	\$644.65
Sunapee	105	75	94	81	60	18	433	\$	256,785.14	\$593.04
Surry	24	18	14	15	13	8	92	\$	47,723.30	\$518.73
Sutton	56	34	51	44	29	10	224	\$	138,358.09	\$617.67
Swanzey	349	364	337	349	233	97	1,729	\$	1,006,628.44	\$582.20
Tamworth	202	269	234	207	98	49	1,059	\$	586,893.02	\$554.20
Temple	36	19	24	31	25	4	139	\$	86,894.28	\$625.14
Thornton	101	103	104	85	61	34	488	\$	331,813.90	\$679.95
Tilton	247	219	224	201	134	37	1,062	\$	564,237.40	\$531.30
Troy	136	165	118	114	90	18	641	\$	349,303.39	\$544.94
Tuftonboro	66	98	71	85	79	24	423	\$	215,770.06	\$510.09
Unity	48	64	58	40	45	14	269	\$	167,383.40	\$622.24
Wakefield	254	300	282	258	149	81	1,324	\$	791,126.00	\$597.53
Walpole	117	81	78	75	55	21	427	\$	251,207.93	\$588.31

Warner	134	89	103	87	47	22	482	\$ 318,374.60	\$660.53
Warren	55	60	84	86	44	18	347	\$ 198,441.80	\$571.88
Washington	54	43	53	52	31	13	246	\$ 150,520.14	\$611.87
Waterville Valley							2	\$ 977.71	\$488.86
Weare	248	190	204	191	130	51	1,014	\$ 651,210.33	\$642.22
Webster	26	18	40	38	28	11	161	\$ 84,277.89	\$523.47
Wentworth	41	60	63	38	22	10	234	\$ 145,632.83	\$622.36
Wentworths Location	0	5	2	4	2	0	13	\$ 5,577.21	\$429.02
Westmoreland	19	24	28	37	15	6	129	\$ 92,674.08	\$718.40
Whitefield	109	175	184	131	91	35	725	\$ 386,123.52	\$532.58
Wilmot	55	45	22	30	31	9	192	\$ 101,882.44	\$530.64
Wilton	122	139	148	115	68	26	618	\$ 356,273.74	\$576.49
Winchester	425	384	373	294	196	97	1,769	\$ 1,132,498.98	\$640.19
Windham	67	63	85	76	63	14	368	\$ 222,598.46	\$604.89
Windsor	9	10	16	3	2	3	43	\$ 26,451.09	\$615.14
Wolfeboro	5	10	7	8	3	2	35	\$ 16,503.68	\$471.53
Woodstock	78	72	91	84	50	18	393	\$ 227,875.94	\$579.84
Totals	52,933	49,466	45,708	39,815	24,768	8,938	221,628	\$ 116,827,392.18	\$527.13

Distribution of households (HH) income data is suppressed where 10 or fewer recipients in town

Town/City	HHs <75% FPG	HHs 75- 100% FPG	HHs 100- 125% FPG	HHs 125- 150% FPG	HHs 150- 175% FPG	HHs 175- 185% FPG	Total HHs	Total Benefits	Average Benefit
Acworth	7	8	7	1	5	2	30	\$ 3,148.17	\$ 104.94
Albany	11	15	9	10	6	2	53	\$ 5,605.44	\$ 105.76
Alexandria	15	12	14	15	5	3	64	\$ 32,132.87	\$ 502.08
Allenstown	39	35	36	38	26	7	181	\$ 72,876.78	\$ 402.63
Alstead	19	16	17	14	9	3	78	\$ 29,110.43	\$ 373.21
Alton	34	25	27	31	20	7	144	\$ 50,252.28	\$ 348.97
Amherst	18	15	18	16	17	6	90	\$ 30,991.26	\$ 344.35
Andover	13	10	12	17	11	4	67	\$ 26,004.03	\$ 388.12
Antrim	18	18	20	22	8	4	90	\$ 34,235.01	\$ 380.39
Ashland	6	8	1	3	3	2	23	\$ 10,649.81	\$ 463.04
Atkinson	7	4	9	8	6	1	35	\$ 10,337.30	\$ 295.35
Auburn	11	13	18	15	10	3	70	\$ 37,643.03	\$ 537.76
Barnstead	32	22	35	27	22	8	146	\$ 45,949.13	\$ 314.72
Barrington	40	36	33	27	21	9	166	\$ 53,728.15	\$ 323.66
Bartlett	16	19	19	17	11	5	87	\$ 30,934.26	\$ 355.57
Bath	7	4	7	7	4	1	30	\$ 8,895.68	\$ 296.52
Bedford	30	24	26	27	20	9	136	\$ 34,539.61	\$ 253.97
Belmont	91	88	83	75	52	19	408	\$ 113,114.50	\$ 277.24
Bennington	15	13	8	10	8	5	59	\$ 14,351.50	\$ 243.25
Benton	5	2	1	1	2	0	11	\$ 4,121.42	\$ 374.67
Berlin	119	143	126	100	63	19	570	\$ 205,820.55	\$ 361.09
Bethlehem	20	25	21	21	16	6	109	\$ 45,037.66	\$ 413.19
Boscawen	39	27	32	29	18	10	155	\$ 26,553.22	\$ 171.31
Bow	11	8	11	13	8	3	54	\$ 14,015.45	\$ 259.55
Bradford	8	9	8	8	5	2	40	\$ 22,381.33	\$ 559.53
Brentwood	8	6	6	7	4	1	32	\$ 8,507.68	\$ 265.87
Bridgewater	2	7	6	6	3	1	25	\$ 9,256.64	\$ 370.27
Bristol	30	29	32	30	16	4	141	\$ 36,364.33	\$ 257.90
Brookfield	3	3	3	2	4	0	15	\$ 7,298.08	\$ 486.54
Brookline	8	6	6	5	6	3	34	\$ 2,237.96	\$ 65.82
Campton	22	29	33	27	16	7	134	\$ 47,892.11	\$ 357.40
Canaan	13	29	17	20	11	3	93	\$ 22,118.55	\$ 237.83
Candia	11	13	11	14	9	2	60	\$ 24,279.31	\$ 404.66
Canterbury	9	6	11	6	5	1	38	\$ 11,813.82	\$ 310.89
Carroll	3	5	5	2	3	1	19	\$ 8,880.64	\$ 467.40
Center Harbor	6	3	4	3	3	0	19	\$ 9,734.95	\$ 512.37
Charlestown	51	43	51	54	30	10	239	\$ 39,626.85	\$ 165.80
Chatham							10	\$ 3,907.40	\$ 390.74
Chester	9	5	7	7	5	2	35	\$ 10,615.94	\$ 303.31
Chesterfield	12	12	8	12	8	3	55	\$ 12,411.17	\$ 225.66
Chichester	11	11	11	9	5	1	48	\$ 17,546.86	\$ 365.56
Claremont	178	195	165	131	84	31	784	\$ 247,059.07	\$ 315.13
Clarksville	5	5	3	3	4	0	20	\$ 8,700.85	\$ 435.04
Colebrook	45	54	46	36	23	5	209	\$ 107,819.89	\$ 515.88
Columbia	7	8	6	7	4	2	34	\$ 14,635.12	\$ 430.44
Concord	338	293	272	238	152	57	1,350	\$ 350,524.15	\$ 259.65
Conway	102	120	93	82	51	20	468	\$ 181,424.84	\$ 387.66
Cornish	6	8	10	6	4	3	37	\$ 16,635.48	\$ 449.61
Croydon	4	5	4	6	3	1	23	\$ 17,737.63	\$ 771.20
Dalton	7	12	15	14	9	2	59	\$ 23,140.04	\$ 392.20
Danbury	10	13	10	8	4	2	47	\$ 11,576.65	\$ 246.31
Danville	21	20	15	16	12	4	88	\$ 14,218.00	\$ 161.57

Deerfield	21	15	14	12	7	3	72	\$ 15,994.99	\$ 222.15
Deering	15	7	15	13	9	2	61	\$ 8,593.84	\$ 140.88
Derry	201	185	184	165	106	28	869	\$ 292,487.63	\$ 336.58
Dorchester	3	3	2	3	3	1	15	\$ 3,985.71	\$ 265.71
Dover	208	162	130	106	54	21	681	\$ 277,618.63	\$ 407.66
Dublin	4	5	6	5	5	2	27	\$ 4,581.44	\$ 169.68
Dummer							10	\$ 10,067.30	\$ 1,006.73
Dunbarton	9	8	12	9	4	2	44	\$ 13,145.25	\$ 298.76
Durham	3	4	6	4	1	1	19	\$ 12,465.65	\$ 656.09
East Kingston	7	7	5	2	3	1	25	\$ 7,634.22	\$ 305.37
Easton							8	\$ 2,275.38	\$ 284.42
Eaton	3	4	1	2	1	1	12	\$ 580.59	\$ 48.38
Effingham	21	22	15	19	11	5	93	\$ 20,839.31	\$ 224.08
Ellsworth							3	\$ 3,469.37	\$ 1,156.46
Enfield	14	16	25	19	7	3	84	\$ 9,788.64	\$ 116.53
Epping	43	32	33	36	25	5	174	\$ 42,569.11	\$ 244.65
Epsom	22	30	30	24	18	6	130	\$ 49,751.44	\$ 382.70
Errol	2	4	5	4	2	1	18	\$ 5,383.32	\$ 299.07
Exeter	77	90	83	76	45	17	388	\$ 83,861.13	\$ 216.14
Farmington	75	61	53	54	33	7	283	\$ 122,940.59	\$ 434.42
Fitzwilliam	22	17	16	11	14	5	85	\$ 31,383.04	\$ 369.21
Francestown	3	2	4	6	2	2	19	\$ 5,796.17	\$ 305.06
Franconia	4	6	5	4	4	1	24	\$ 4,293.39	\$ 178.89
Franklin	155	115	115	90	54	22	551	\$ 195,005.57	\$ 353.91
Freedom	8	9	12	9	8	3	49	\$ 21,498.74	\$ 438.75
Fremont	19	10	11	16	9	4	69	\$ 18,500.01	\$ 268.12
Gilford	43	54	50	62	34	13	256	\$ 88,147.97	\$ 344.33
Gilmanston	21	17	21	20	15	6	100	\$ 27,563.59	\$ 275.64
Gilsum	5	4	5	4	3	1	22	\$ 5,066.30	\$ 230.29
Goffstown	61	57	69	63	49	19	318	\$ 119,821.34	\$ 376.80
Gorham	16	22	20	24	15	4	101	\$ 37,046.18	\$ 366.79
Goshen	9	8	8	10	5	3	43	\$ 18,857.21	\$ 438.54
Grafton	15	13	11	10	6	3	58	\$ 18,842.06	\$ 324.86
Grantham	5	4	3	5	3	1	21	\$ 6,202.83	\$ 295.37
Greenfield	7	6	10	8	5	1	37	\$ 14,171.29	\$ 383.01
Greenland	8	7	5	7	4	1	32	\$ 4,942.88	\$ 154.47
Greenville	26	22	31	17	12	6	114	\$ 64,075.73	\$ 562.07
Groton	4	6	6	7	5	2	30	\$ 7,866.42	\$ 262.21
Hampstead	15	19	21	25	14	5	99	\$ 27,560.46	\$ 278.39
Hampton	65	56	59	32	29	8	249	\$ 56,410.08	\$ 226.55
Hampton Falls	6	3	2	1	3	1	16	\$ 4,540.38	\$ 283.77
Hancock	9	6	7	11	5	2	40	\$ 7,706.67	\$ 192.67
Hanover	4	4	5	3	2	0	18	\$ 177.52	\$ 9.86
Harrisville	1	5	3	3	2	0	14	\$ 1,276.66	\$ 91.19
Haverhill	11	16	12	19	7	3	68	\$ 26,427.50	\$ 388.64
Hebron	3	2	5	4	3	0	17	\$ 2,663.03	\$ 156.65
Henniker	21	19	18	18	12	3	91	\$ 44,786.50	\$ 492.16
Hill	11	8	13	11	5	4	52	\$ 9,946.61	\$ 191.28
Hillsborough	64	60	65	54	39	13	295	\$ 115,394.58	\$ 391.17
Hinsdale	39	41	47	39	28	9	203	\$ 43,539.58	\$ 214.48
Holderness	7	9	10	8	8	2	44	\$ 17,027.89	\$ 387.00
Hollis	9	6	9	9	7	3	43	\$ 17,160.42	\$ 399.08
Hooksett	42	44	48	50	22	6	212	\$ 82,533.54	\$ 389.31
Hopkinton	13	13	16	15	10	5	72	\$ 25,145.15	\$ 349.24
Hudson	104	72	69	69	51	19	384	\$ 125,536.49	\$ 326.92

Jackson							9	\$ 2,463.75	\$ 273.75
Jaffrey	41	37	39	48	23	12	200	\$ 109,150.01	\$ 545.75
Jefferson	3	7	6	5	5	1	27	\$ 8,779.84	\$ 325.18
Keene	152	163	158	144	81	35	733	\$ 212,472.48	\$ 289.87
Kensington	6	4	3	4	3	1	21	\$ 4,302.61	\$ 204.89
Kingston	30	22	21	19	15	6	113	\$ 31,609.66	\$ 279.73
Laconia	242	218	196	138	80	33	907	\$ 358,369.07	\$ 395.11
Lancaster	28	42	36	33	13	4	156	\$ 58,248.43	\$ 373.39
Landaff							6	\$ 3,226.55	\$ 537.76
Langdon	4	6	5	4	3	1	23	\$ 2,453.46	\$ 106.67
Lebanon	60	79	58	44	26	10	277	\$ 35,658.24	\$ 128.73
Lee	10	10	9	9	5	1	44	\$ 15,621.90	\$ 355.04
Lempster	11	10	13	9	4	1	48	\$ 15,975.16	\$ 332.82
Lincoln	8	21	20	14	6	2	71	\$ 18,162.18	\$ 255.81
Lisbon	14	18	18	15	7	3	75	\$ 40,813.90	\$ 544.19
Litchfield	19	17	20	15	13	7	91	\$ 32,820.56	\$ 360.67
Littleton							6	\$ 23,009.29	\$ 3,834.88
Londonderry	71	58	70	59	35	12	305	\$ 144,286.09	\$ 473.07
Loudon	29	27	30	31	26	11	154	\$ 47,804.83	\$ 310.42
Lyman	3	4	5	3	2	0	17	\$ 9,581.13	\$ 563.60
Lyme							9	\$ 5,979.81	\$ 664.42
Lyndeborough	6	5	6	6	3	2	28	\$ 7,998.72	\$ 285.67
Madbury	3	3	6	3	2	1	18	\$ 7,687.89	\$ 427.11
Madison	20	18	16	20	13	5	92	\$ 23,683.65	\$ 257.43
Manchester	1,653	1,393	1,156	940	551	210	5,903	\$ 1,652,315.25	\$ 279.91
Marlborough	11	17	12	9	7	3	59	\$ 8,893.69	\$ 150.74
Marlow	4	3	5	6	4	2	24	\$ 11,947.94	\$ 497.83
Mason							10	\$ 9,121.13	\$ 912.11
Meredith	67	56	55	42	34	9	263	\$ 93,035.54	\$ 353.75
Merrimack	59	51	55	64	43	16	288	\$ 68,371.01	\$ 237.40
Middleton	10	10	11	9	6	2	48	\$ 25,924.89	\$ 540.10
Milan	4	6	9	8	5	2	34	\$ 2,017.84	\$ 59.35
Milford	84	71	76	66	51	19	367	\$ 140,455.66	\$ 382.71
Milton	48	42	44	29	16	6	185	\$ 61,779.64	\$ 333.94
Monroe	3	2	5	4	2	1	17	\$ 6,653.89	\$ 391.41
Mont Vernon	4	3	7	7	5	2	28	\$ 1,542.43	\$ 55.09
Moultonborough	19	16	18	25	13	6	97	\$ 29,542.10	\$ 304.56
Nashua	772	631	494	429	252	104	2,682	\$ 956,250.49	\$ 356.54
Nelson	6	4	5	2	1	1	19	\$ 7,845.94	\$ 412.94
New Boston	16	10	12	13	14	4	69	\$ 28,329.12	\$ 410.57
New Castle							1	\$ 919.69	\$ 919.69
New Durham	10	11	10	11	7	1	50	\$ 28,065.06	\$ 561.30
New Hampton	12	12	9	12	7	3	55	\$ 21,149.19	\$ 384.53
New Ipswich	28	29	28	23	18	8	134	\$ 36,655.59	\$ 273.55
New London	4	5	7	5	2	1	24	\$ 16,466.51	\$ 686.10
Newbury	7	8	10	7	5	1	38	\$ 17,644.36	\$ 464.33
Newfields	3	2	2	3	2	2	14	\$ 2,457.69	\$ 175.55
Newington							3	\$ 3,108.33	\$ 1,036.11
Newmarket	65	49	43	39	23	7	226	\$ 67,785.06	\$ 299.93
Newport	89	87	86	62	42	12	378	\$ 129,795.55	\$ 343.37
Newton	11	12	11	14	8	3	59	\$ 8,528.69	\$ 144.55
North Hampton	12	14	15	13	7	3	64	\$ 18,837.09	\$ 294.33
Northfield	41	37	38	38	24	9	187	\$ 50,042.03	\$ 267.60
Northumberland	25	43	32	45	24	6	175	\$ 62,265.57	\$ 355.80
Northwood	24	20	19	20	15	5	103	\$ 39,881.21	\$ 387.20

Nottingham	14	8	13	14	10	2	61	\$ 6,752.43	\$ 110.70
Orange							7	\$ 553.99	\$ 79.14
Orford	3	5	5	4	3	0	20	\$ 8,696.01	\$ 434.80
Ossipee	59	68	55	45	29	14	270	\$ 111,755.92	\$ 413.91
Pelham	20	19	25	19	15	5	103	\$ 10,715.02	\$ 104.03
Pembroke	48	34	39	40	23	6	190	\$ 72,224.09	\$ 380.13
Peterborough	40	25	28	26	18	7	144	\$ 57,949.31	\$ 402.43
Piermont	3	3	3	3	2	1	15	\$ 3,911.50	\$ 260.77
Pittsburg	8	12	12	8	5	1	46	\$ 18,443.17	\$ 400.94
Pittsfield	31	34	32	25	15	3	140	\$ 59,941.38	\$ 428.15
Plainfield	6	8	4	5	3	1	27	\$ 5,782.19	\$ 214.16
Plaistow	20	29	23	24	16	5	117	\$ 36,271.74	\$ 310.01
Plymouth	33	42	35	31	17	7	165	\$ 34,721.31	\$ 210.43
Portsmouth	95	114	82	62	36	10	399	\$ 139,577.87	\$ 349.82
Randolph							5	\$ 5,637.08	\$ 1,127.42
Raymond	75	74	79	73	47	15	363	\$ 114,782.49	\$ 316.21
Richmond	8	8	8	7	4	4	39	\$ 14,842.14	\$ 380.57
Rindge	27	22	22	22	15	7	115	\$ 27,472.79	\$ 238.89
Rochester	334	343	262	205	111	33	1,288	\$ 525,470.70	\$ 407.97
Rollinsford	11	7	9	7	5	2	41	\$ 15,533.94	\$ 378.88
Roxbury							5	\$ 2,438.34	\$ 487.67
Rumney	17	14	15	9	9	2	66	\$ 12,902.54	\$ 195.49
Rye	10	11	12	10	6	1	50	\$ 15,534.11	\$ 311.54
Salem	82	95	104	97	59	18	455	\$ 77,653.51	\$ 170.67
Salisbury	8	5	9	9	4	2	37	\$ 6,075.68	\$ 164.21
Sanbornton	12	12	14	12	7	4	61	\$ 21,978.61	\$ 360.31
Sandown	18	13	18	16	11	3	79	\$ 676.55	\$ 8.56
Sandwich	6	8	6	5	5	1	31	\$ 6,881.14	\$ 221.97
Seabrook	104	103	88	73	39	13	420	\$ 128,806.76	\$ 306.68
Sharon							4	\$ 1,003.91	\$ 250.98
Shelburne							7	\$ 3,162.12	\$ 451.73
Somersworth	141	96	78	63	40	13	431	\$ 182,720.45	\$ 423.95
South Hampton							9	\$ 3,197.84	\$ 355.32
Springfield	5	6	5	4	1	1	22	\$ 7,542.99	\$ 342.86
Stark	4	7	9	4	4	2	30	\$ 18,532.43	\$ 617.75
Stewartstown	14	15	16	11	9	3	68	\$ 16,973.69	\$ 249.61
Stoddard	5	4	6	2	1	1	19	\$ 2,210.34	\$ 116.33
Strafford	7	8	9	6	6	1	37	\$ 8,425.81	\$ 227.72
Stratford	20	36	25	17	10	3	111	\$ 43,124.86	\$ 388.51
Stratham	13	10	12	10	6	3	54	\$ 13,786.91	\$ 255.31
Sugar Hill	4	1	3	4	2	1	15	\$ 4,810.38	\$ 320.69
Sullivan	4	6	5	4	4	2	25	\$ 8,147.58	\$ 325.90
Sunapee	14	10	12	11	8	2	57	\$ 25,570.74	\$ 448.61
Surry	4	3	3	3	2	1	16	\$ 3,544.18	\$ 221.51
Sutton	9	5	8	7	4	2	35	\$ 24,201.90	\$ 691.48
Swanzey	62	64	59	62	41	17	305	\$ 120,669.01	\$ 395.64
Tamworth	32	43	38	33	16	8	170	\$ 56,860.42	\$ 334.47
Temple	8	4	5	7	6	1	31	\$ 3,537.14	\$ 114.10
Thornton	16	16	17	14	10	5	78	\$ 20,635.02	\$ 264.55
Tilton	41	36	37	33	22	6	175	\$ 38,462.89	\$ 219.79
Troy	22	27	19	18	14	3	103	\$ 27,367.67	\$ 265.71
Tuftsboro	10	15	11	13	12	4	65	\$ 14,522.02	\$ 223.42
Unity	6	8	8	5	6	2	35	\$ 16,864.08	\$ 481.83
Wakefield	47	39	47	52	26	15	226	\$ 83,282.82	\$ 368.51
Walpole	19	13	15	13	7	3	70	\$ 21,020.41	\$ 300.29

Warner	12	13	18	18	9	4	74	\$ 32,755.11	\$ 442.64
Warren	9	7	8	8	5	2	39	\$ 16,097.40	\$ 412.75
Washington	0	22	0	0	0	21	43	\$ 8,009.01	\$ 186.26
Waterville Valley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$ -	\$ -
Weare	30	21	46	44	33	13	187	\$ 57,076.34	\$ 305.22
Webster	5	7	8	5	3	1	29	\$ 6,877.43	\$ 237.15
Wentworth	0	13	5	10	5	0	33	\$ 13,764.81	\$ 417.12
Wentworths Location							6	\$ 963.77	\$ 160.63
Westmoreland	3	6	6	4	3	1	23	\$ 11,714.58	\$ 509.33
Whitefield	35	29	14	19	20	6	123	\$ 38,228.10	\$ 310.80
Wilmot	6	7	8	6	4	1	32	\$ 4,502.92	\$ 140.72
Wilton	25	22	22	17	11	6	103	\$ 46,060.01	\$ 447.18
Winchester	54	50	68	61	50	11	294	\$ 114,373.21	\$ 389.02
Windham	11	12	20	4	2	4	53	\$ 20,319.97	\$ 381.05
Windsor							9	\$ 2,294.30	\$ 254.92
Wolfeboro							2	\$ 454.11	\$ 227.06
Woodstock	18	9	10	5	4	1	47	\$ 27,875.10	\$ 593.09
Total	8,819	8,197	7,593	6,645	4,175	1,533	36,962	\$ 12,056,653.68	\$ 326.19