

ORIGINAL	
N.H.P.U.C. Case No.	<i>DT 07-027</i>
Exhibit No.	<i>58 Bailey</i>
Witness	<i>Reed & Coyle</i>
DO NOT REMOVE FROM FILE	

Kearsarge Telephone Company
Merrimack County Telephone Company
Docket No. DT 07-027
Company Responses
To OCA Phase II, Set 1 Data Requests
PUBLIC
May 22, 2009

OCA 1.11: On page 10 of his testimony, Mr. Reed states that “customers ...in most of the [Sutton] exchange ... not only have a choice of using wireline or wireless, they also have a choice among wireless carriers either through differences in technology or through wireless carrier roaming agreements.” Please provide information about the costs associated with the “Roaming %” as listed in Table 2 of Exhibit E.

RESPONSE: We did not research Roaming or the myriad of plans available by the carriers. In most cases roaming is transparent to the wireless customer. Examples of wireless plans including roaming capabilities/charges were included in earlier discovery in this case, including Staff 1-38, 1-54 and segTEL 1-2. The Maine Public Advocate Office publishes the Rate Watchers Guide that, while a Maine publication provides a comparison chart that might be helpful. This can be accessed at www.maine.gov/meopa/ratewatcher/index.htm.

Michael C. Reed is responsible for this response.