STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

July 6, 2005 - 10:05 a.m. Concord, New Hampshire

RE: **DW 05-072**

PENNICHUCK EAST UTILITY, INC.:

Notice of Intent to File Rate Schedules.

(Prehearing conference)

PRESENT: Chairman Thomas B. Getz, Presiding

Commissioner Graham J. Morrison

Diane Bateman, Clerk

APPEARANCES: Reptg. Pennichuck East Utility, Inc.:

Sarah B. Knowlton, Esq.

Reptg. Residential Ratepayers:

F. Anne Ross, Esq.

Office of Consumer Advocate

Reptg. PUC Staff:

Marcia A. B. Thunberg, Esq.

Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, CCR

1		
2		
3	INDEX	
4		PAGE NO.
5	STATEMENTS OF PRELIMINARY POSITION BY:	
6	Ms. Knowlton	5
7	Ms. Ross	7
8	MS. Thunberg	7
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		ļ

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Good morning.

We'll open this prehearing conference in docket DW 05-072, regarding Pennichuck East Utility, Inc. On May 20, 2005, Pennichuck East filed a petition for temporary rates and for an increase in permanent rates. Pennichuck East is seeking an overall increase in annual revenues in the amount of \$779,027, or a 24.99 percent increase. Until permanent rates are set, Pennichuck East requests the Commission approve a temporary rate increase pursuant to RSA 378:27 in the amount of \$381,565, or a 12.25 percent increase.

An order suspending the proposed tariffs and scheduling a prehearing conference and a temporary rate hearing was issued on June 16, setting the prehearing conference for this morning and also setting the temporary rate hearing for August 9.

Can we take appearances please.

MS. KNOWLTON: Good morning, Chairman

Getz and Commissioner Morrison. My name is Sarah

Knowlton. I'm with the law firm of McLane, Graf,

Raulerson & Middleton. And, I'm here today on behalf of

Pennichuck East Utility. And, with me, to my right, is

Bonalyn Hartley, who is the Vice President of

```
Administration for the Company. And, behind me is William
1
2
       Patterson, who is the Chief Financial Officer and
 3
       Treasurer, and to his right is Donald Ware, who is the
       Senior Vice President for Operations and Engineering.
 4
 5
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning.
 6
                         MS. HARTLEY: Good morning.
 7
                         CMSR. MORRISON:
                                         Good morning.
 8
                         MS. ROSS: Good morning, Commissioners.
 9
       Anne Ross, with the Office of Consumer Advocate, and with
10
       me today is Ken Traum.
                         CMSR. MORRISON: Good morning.
11
12
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning.
13
                         MS. THUNBERG: Good morning,
       Commissioners. Marcia Thunberg, on behalf of Staff, and
14
15
       with me today are Mark Naylor, Jim Lenihan, and Jayson
16
       LaFlamme.
                  Thank you.
17
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning.
18
                         CMSR. MORRISON:
                                          Good morning.
19
                                         I'll note for the record
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ:
20
       that an affidavit of publication was filed on June 29th.
21
       And, according to my records, there are no motions to
22
       intervene.
                   Is Staff aware of any others?
23
                         MS. THUNBERG: Staff is not aware of
24
       any.
```

CHAIRMAN GETZ: All right. Then, unless there's any preliminary procedural matters, we'll hear the statements of the positions. Starting with Ms. Knowlton.

MS. KNOWLTON: Good morning. The Company is here today based on its filing for a rate increase of 24.99 percent, which would be an overall increase for all three rate classes. The Company is currently significantly underearning. Its allowed rate of return is 8.3 percent, which was based on the last rate relief that was provided for this company back in 1997. The Company is currently earning approximately 4.12 percent, which is 418 basis points below its currently allowed 8.3 percent return.

The Company's underearning is largely attributable to the investment that's required to comply with regulatory requirements, such as the Safe Drinking Water Act, capital improvements that are necessary to maintain the Company's infrastructure, and increased costs, operational costs, such as chemical, electricity, purchased water, that sort of thing.

The Company has also filed a Petition for Temporary Rates and is seeking a temporary rate increase of 12.25 percent, approximately 50 percent of the permanent rate request that is sought. It is asking,

through this, if temporary rates at 12.25 percent were approved by the Commission, it would generate an increase of \$381,565 for the Company, which is revenue that is very much needed by the Company to continue its operations.

The Company has submitted testimony of Bonalyn Hartley in support of temporary rates; testimony of Donald Ware, William Patterson and Bonalyn Hartley in support of its permanent rate increase.

I would note for the record that the Company has commissioned a depreciation study, and that study will be filed, we're anticipating filing that study with the Commission next week, so that will be available, and the Company is also available to begin to work with Staff on the audit.

In addition to the Company's petition for both a permanent and temporary rate increase, the Company filed a motion for a waiver of certain provisions of the Commission rules, specifically 1604(a)(1), which pertains to internal financial reports. The Company has filed internal financial reports with the Commission, and because those reports are on file and they're voluminous, we've asked for a waiver of that rule. As well as 1604(a)(25), which is information on the Company's parent company, which is Pennichuck Corporation. And, again,

there are -- there's voluminous information on file with the Commission on the Company's parent, Pennichuck Corp. So, again, we would ask for a waiver of that rule. And, it's my understanding that, from prior correspondence, that Staff does not object to that request for a waiver.

CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. Ms. Ross.

MS. ROSS: Yes. At this time, the OCA does not have a position on either the temporary rate increase or the permanent rate increase. We will be conducting some discovery in the proceeding to develop our position. We do note that the ROE may need to be updated, the return on equity may need to be updated for this company.

CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. Ms.

Thunberg.

MS. THUNBERG: Yes. Staff has a prepared statement that I'll read. In accordance with its normal practices, the Staff will conduct a thorough review of Pennichuck East's filing, and will be prepared to make its recommendation on the proposed temporary and permanent rates at the time established for submitting testimony. Audit staff will be conducting an audit of the books and records of Pennichuck East's test year of 2004.

The Staff notes that the Commission's

order establishing this prehearing conference today also established a procedural schedule to consider temporary rates. And, Staff is prepared to conduct discovery on temporary rates, but also notes that Pennichuck East's test year earnings do show a significant earnings deficiency. So, in light of that, Staff expects that some level of temporary rates above the current rates will be warranted.

There are two points that Staff wanted to raise. First, that Pennichuck East's current rate schedule structure involves three rate schedules, and these rates were first established in Southern New Hampshire Water Company's rate case back in 1991. That docket was DR 89-224. And, the Commission issued an order on July 31st, 1991, of that year.

In the instant filing, Pennichuck's rate increase is a proposal to increase those subclasses at the same rate for each of those groups. But, given the significant passage of time, Staff does not believe that the proposed rate increase may accurately reflect the actual cost to serve those customers. So, that cost of service is something we are going to be looking at. Staff understands the Company is not conducting a full cost of service study at this time, but believes -- Staff believes

discovery should at least provide information to support the relationship of the rate increase to the cost for those groups.

Secondly, with respect to return on equity, Staff was given a heads up by Pennichuck East that it would not be submitting prefiled testimony supporting a specific cost of equity number. So, we were not surprised to see the filing come in without that testimony. But, notwithstanding the lack of the testimony, Staff expects to work with the Company, Office of Consumer Advocate, and its own in-house expert on cost of equity, and believes that, through a combination of that, we should be able to arrive at a valid cost of equity number that at least Staff feels comfortable with.

I guess I'm presupposing we would have agreement, but I suspect that, as the Pennichuck rate case or the Pennichuck Water Works rate case example, where the parties could not agree on cost of equity, we may go that route this time around. But we don't see the lack of testimony as handicapping this -- Staff's review, I guess is the bottom line I wanted to make.

Other than those two issues, Staff sees this as a normal rate case and will conduct its normal thorough review, and looks forward to working with the

1	Company and OCA. Thank you.	
2	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. Is there	
3	anything else that we should address this morning?	
4	(No verbal response)	
5	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Then, hearing	
6	nothing, we will close this prehearing conference and wait	
7	a recommendation of the parties as to a procedural	
8	schedule and further conduct of the proceeding. Thank	
9	you.	
10	(Whereupon the prehearing conference	
11	ended at 10:17 a.m. and the parties	
12	subsequently participated in a technical	
13	session.)	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		