

State of New Hampshire
Public Utilities Commission
DE 22-056

James Rodier

Petition for Determination of Whether New Hampshire
Residential Customers Have Benefited from
Electricity Deregulation

Motion for Reconsideration of Order No. 26,722

1. On November 10, 2022. the Commission issued Order No. 26,722, “Dismissing Petition Without Prejudice.”
2. A party seeking a declaratory ruling must show that....
[p]ursuant to the New Hampshire Code of Rules Puc 207.01(c), the Commission shall dismiss a petition for a declaratory ruling that “[d]oes not implicate the legal rights or responsibilities of the petitioner...”.
3. Accordingly, the burden is on Petitioner to demonstrate that he in fact does “**implicate** the legal rights or responsibilities of the petitioner...”.
4. The party seeking a declaratory ruling must show the following:

Pursuant to the New Hampshire Code of Rules, Puc 207.01(c), the Commission shall dismiss a petition for a declaratory ruling that does **not implicate** the legal rights or responsibilities of the petitioner... .

5. However, as discussed below, Petitioner contends that **he does in fact implicate** the legal rights or responsibilities of the petitioner, to wit,

to involve as a consequence, corollary, or natural inference: IMPLY; convey (a meaning or intention) indirectly through what one says, rather than stating it explicitly; imply

Accordingly, the Petitioner has met his burden to **indirectly through what one says, rather than stating it explicitly; imply**

Wherefore, for the foregoing reasons, the Commission should grant such other and further relief as may be just and reasonable.

/s/ James T. Rodier

Hampton, NH 03843

jtrodier@comcast.net Accordingly, the Petitioner has met his burden to **indirectly through what one says, rather than stating it explicitly; imply**



Accordingly, the petitioner has met his burden to demonstrate to the Commission that he does **implicate** the legal rights or responsibilities of the petitioner. Accordingly, the Petitioner has met his burden to **indirectly through what one says, rather than stating it explicitly; imply**

Accordingly, the Petitioner has met his burden to demonstrate to the Commission that he does **implicate** the legal rights or responsibilities of the petitioner. **indirectly through what one says, rather than stating it explicitly; imply**

.....
In this specific instance,

[t]he Petitioner generally questioned whether residential ratepayers have benefited from under RSA chapter 374-F by receiving lower electric rates using the services of competitive electric power suppliers (CEPSs) and aggregators than they would have by buying electricity from their local utility directly.

.....
b: to involve in the nature or operation of something

2: to involve as a consequence, corollary, or natural inference : [IMPLY](#)

implicated; implicating

: to show to be connected or involved
evidence that *implicates* him in the robbery

Legal Definition
implicate

transitive verb

im·pli·cate 'im-plə- kāt

implicated; implicating

1: to involve as a consequence, corollary, or natural inference
firing the federal employee because of her protest implicates the First Amendment

transitive verb

1. a: to bring into intimate or incriminating connection
evidence that implicates him in the bombing
b: to involve in the nature or operation of something

2: to involve as a consequence, corollary, or natural inference : IMPLY

implicated; implicating
: to show to be connected or involved
evidence that *implicates* him in the robbery

Legal Definition
implicate

transitive verb

im·pli·cate 'im-plə- kāt

implicated; implicating

1: to involve as a consequence, corollary, or natural inference
firing the federal employee because of her protest implicates the First Amendment

Jamie.A.Breen@puc.nh.gov
