NHPUC Docket No. DE 23-054 Testimony of Daniel T. Nawazelski Exhibit DTN-1

UNITIL ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC.

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DANIEL T. NAWAZELSKI

New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission Docket No. DE 23-054

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	Page 1
II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	Page 1
III.	SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY	Page 2
IV.	LEAD LAG STUDY METHODOLOGY	Page 2
V.	2022 STUDY RESULTS	Page 3
VI.	CONCLUSION	Page 9

## LIST OF SCHEDULES

Schedule DTN-1: Unitil Energy Systems, Inc. 2022 Default Service and Renewable Energy Credits Lead Lag Study

Schedule DTN-2: Confidential/Redacted Workpapers for the Unitil Energy Systems, Inc. 2022 Default Service and Renewable Energy Credits Lead Lag Study

## 1 I. INTRODUCTION

2	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
3	А.	Daniel T. Nawazelski, 6 Liberty Lane West, Hampton, New Hampshire 03842.
4	Q.	What is your position and what are your responsibilities?
5	A.	I am the Manager of Revenue Requirements for Unitil Service Corp., a
6		subsidiary of Unitil Corporation that provides managerial, financial,
7		regulatory and engineering services to Unitil Corporation's principal
8		subsidiaries: Fitchburg Gas and Electric Light Company, Granite State Gas
9		Transmission, Inc., Northern Utilities, Inc., and Unitil Energy Systems, Inc.
10		("UES" or the "Company"). In this capacity I am responsible for the
11		preparation and presentation of distribution rate cases and in support of other
12		various regulatory proceedings.
13	Q.	Please describe your educational and professional background.
14	A.	I began working for Unitil Service in June of 2012 as an Associate Financial
15		Analyst, progressing to the role of Manager of Revenue Requirements in
16		2021. I earned a Bachelor of Science degree in Business with a concentration
17		in Finance and Operations Management from the University of Massachusetts,
18		Amherst in May of 2012. I am also currently pursuing my Masters in Business
19		Administration at the University of New Hampshire.
20	II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
21	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?

1	A.	I will discuss the development of the 2022 UES Default Service and Renewable
2		Energy Credits Lead Lag Study ("2022 Study"), which is integral to the
3		calculation of cash working capital to be recovered in Default Service rates for G1
4		and Non-G1 customers.
5	III.	SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY
6	Q.	Please summarize your testimony.
7	A.	My testimony presents and supports UES' 2022 Default Service ("DS") and
8		Renewable Energy Credits ("RECs") Lead Lag Study. The 2022 Study, presented
9		in this filing as Schedule DTN-1, is based upon data for the period January 1,
10		2022 through December 31, 2022 and calculates the net lead period for G1
11		customers to be 12.55 days and net lag period for Non-G1 customers to be 2.65
12		days.
13	Q.	Are the results of the 2022 Study included in the DS rates proposed in this
14		filing?
15	A.	Yes, the 2022 Study results are used to derive supply-related working capital
16		costs included in DS rates beginning August 1, 2023, as described in the
17		testimony of UES witness Linda S. McNamara.
18	IV.	LEAD LAG STUDY METHODOLOGY
19	Q.	How was the 2022 Study conducted?
20	A.	The 2022 Study follows similar methodology as in UES' 2021 Default Service
21		and Renewable Energy Credits Lead Lag Study ("2021 Study") that was
22		submitted in Docket No. DE 22-017. The 2022 Study determines the number of

## NHPUC Docket No. DE 23-054 Testimony of Daniel T. Nawazelski Exhibit DTN-1 Page 3 of 9

1		days between the time funds are required to pay for DS purchased power and
2		REC purchases (expense lead) and the time that those funds are available from the
3		payment of customer bills (revenue lag). The revenue lag period includes four
4		calculations: "receipt of electric service to meter reading", "meter reading to
5		recording of accounts receivable", "billing to collection", and "collection to
6		receipt of available funds". The expense lead period consists of the lead in
7		payment of DS purchased power costs and REC costs based upon the following
8		calculations: lead period, average days lead, weighted cost, days lead and
9		weighted days lead. Each of these steps is explained in more detail below. UES
10		based its 2022 Study upon data for the twelve months ended December 31, 2022,
11		and calculated net lead lag days separately for the G1 and Non-G1 customer
12		classes.
12 13	Q.	classes. Does the 2022 Study incorporate the requirements of the Lead Lag
	Q.	
13	<b>Q.</b> A.	Does the 2022 Study incorporate the requirements of the Lead Lag
13 14		Does the 2022 Study incorporate the requirements of the Lead Lag Settlement Letter dated July 16, 2009, under docket DE 09-009?
13 14 15		Does the 2022 Study incorporate the requirements of the Lead Lag Settlement Letter dated July 16, 2009, under docket DE 09-009? Yes, the 2022 Study conforms to the requirements specified in the Settlement
13 14 15 16		Does the 2022 Study incorporate the requirements of the Lead Lag Settlement Letter dated July 16, 2009, under docket DE 09-009? Yes, the 2022 Study conforms to the requirements specified in the Settlement Letter under Docket No. DE 09-009. The 2022 Study follows the same
13 14 15 16 17		Does the 2022 Study incorporate the requirements of the Lead Lag Settlement Letter dated July 16, 2009, under docket DE 09-009? Yes, the 2022 Study conforms to the requirements specified in the Settlement Letter under Docket No. DE 09-009. The 2022 Study follows the same methodology as used in the 2009 - 2021 Studies which conform to the
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> </ol>	A.	Does the 2022 Study incorporate the requirements of the Lead Lag Settlement Letter dated July 16, 2009, under docket DE 09-009? Yes, the 2022 Study conforms to the requirements specified in the Settlement Letter under Docket No. DE 09-009. The 2022 Study follows the same methodology as used in the 2009 - 2021 Studies which conform to the requirements of the Settlement.
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> </ol>	A. V.	Does the 2022 Study incorporate the requirements of the Lead Lag Settlement Letter dated July 16, 2009, under docket DE 09-009? Yes, the 2022 Study conforms to the requirements specified in the Settlement Letter under Docket No. DE 09-009. The 2022 Study follows the same methodology as used in the 2009 - 2021 Studies which conform to the requirements of the Settlement. 2022 STUDY RESULTS

1		payments (revenue lag). Lead days are the number of days between the mid-point
2		of the energy delivery period to UES and the payment date by UES to DS
3		suppliers or for RECs (expense lead).
4	Q.	How is revenue lag computed?
5	A.	Revenue lag is computed in days, consisting of four time components: (1) days
6		from receipt of electric service to meter reading; (2) days from meter reading to
7		recording of accounts receivable; (3) days from billing to collection; and (4) days
8		from collection to receipt of available funds. The sum of the days associated with
9		these four lag components is the total revenue lag. The calculations are
10		performed separately for G1 and Non-G1 customer classes, as appropriate. Refer
11		to Schedule DTN-1, pages 4 through 19 of 23.
12	Q.	What is the lag period for the component "receipt of electric service to meter
12 13	Q.	What is the lag period for the component "receipt of electric service to meter reading" in the 2022 Study?
	<b>Q.</b> A.	
13		reading" in the 2022 Study?
13 14		reading" in the 2022 Study? The 2022 average lag for "receipt of electric service to meter reading" is 15.21
13 14 15		<ul><li>reading" in the 2022 Study?</li><li>The 2022 average lag for "receipt of electric service to meter reading" is 15.21</li><li>days. This lag was obtained by dividing the number of days in the test year (365</li></ul>
13 14 15 16		reading" in the 2022 Study? The 2022 average lag for "receipt of electric service to meter reading" is 15.21 days. This lag was obtained by dividing the number of days in the test year (365 days) by 24 to determine the average monthly service period. This result is
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> </ol>		reading" in the 2022 Study? The 2022 average lag for "receipt of electric service to meter reading" is 15.21 days. This lag was obtained by dividing the number of days in the test year (365 days) by 24 to determine the average monthly service period. This result is applicable to both the G1 and Non-G1 customer classes. See Schedule DTN-1,
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> </ol>	A.	reading" in the 2022 Study? The 2022 average lag for "receipt of electric service to meter reading" is 15.21 days. This lag was obtained by dividing the number of days in the test year (365 days) by 24 to determine the average monthly service period. This result is applicable to both the G1 and Non-G1 customer classes. See Schedule DTN-1, page 5 of 23.
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> </ol>	A.	reading" in the 2022 Study? The 2022 average lag for "receipt of electric service to meter reading" is 15.21 days. This lag was obtained by dividing the number of days in the test year (365 days) by 24 to determine the average monthly service period. This result is applicable to both the G1 and Non-G1 customer classes. See Schedule DTN-1, page 5 of 23. What is the lag period for the component "meter reading to recording of

1		lag determines the time required to process the meter reading data and record
2		accounts receivable. See Schedule DTN-1, pages 6 through 10 of 23.
3	Q.	What is the lag period for the component "billing to collection?"
4	A.	The 2022 average "billing to collection" lag is 24.78 days for G1 customers and
5		38.50 days for Non-G1 customers. This component was calculated separately for
6		the G1 and Non-G1 customer groups and is derived by the accounts receivable
7		turnover method. The lag reflects the time delay between the mailing of customer
8		bills and the receipt of the billed revenues from customers. See Schedule DTN-1,
9		pages 11 and 12 of 23 for G1 and Non-G1 results, respectively.
10	Q.	What is the lag period for the component "collection to receipt of available
11		funds?"
12	A.	The 2022 average "collection to receipt of available funds" lag is 1.63 days. This
13		represents the average weighted check-float period, or the lag that takes place
14		
		during the period from when payment is received from customers to the time such
15		during the period from when payment is received from customers to the time such funds are available for use by the Company. This result is applicable to both the
15 16		
		funds are available for use by the Company. This result is applicable to both the
16	Q.	funds are available for use by the Company. This result is applicable to both the G1 and Non-G1 customer classes. See Schedule DTN-1, pages 13 through 19 of
16 17	<b>Q.</b> A.	funds are available for use by the Company. This result is applicable to both the G1 and Non-G1 customer classes. See Schedule DTN-1, pages 13 through 19 of 23.
16 17 18	_	funds are available for use by the Company. This result is applicable to both the G1 and Non-G1 customer classes. See Schedule DTN-1, pages 13 through 19 of 23. Is the total revenue lag computed from these separate lag calculations?
16 17 18 19	_	<ul> <li>funds are available for use by the Company. This result is applicable to both the G1 and Non-G1 customer classes. See Schedule DTN-1, pages 13 through 19 of 23.</li> <li>Is the total revenue lag computed from these separate lag calculations?</li> <li>Yes. The total revenue lag of 42.67 days for G1 customers and 56.39 days for</li> </ul>

1		customers and the receipt of the related revenues from customers. See Schedule
2		DTN-1, page 4, line 6.
3	Q.	Please turn to the lead periods in the 2022 Study. In determining the expense
4		lead period, how is the weighted days lead in payment of DS purchased
5		power costs determined?
6	A.	First, the monthly expense lead for each DS power supply vendor is determined
7		by aggregating (1) the average days in the period that the energy or service is
8		received and (2) the additional billing period including the payment day.
9		
10		The aggregate lead days are then weighted by the dollar amount of the billings.
11		Weighted days lead are calculated separately for G1 and Non-G1 customers, by
12		supplier, and are shown in the Confidential Workpapers to the 2022 Study,
13		Schedule DTN-2.
14		
15		As of June 1, 2023, prior period adjustments made in 2023 related to 2022 were
16		included in the calculation. Prior year adjustments made in 2022 that relate to
17		2021 were not included in the calculation.
18	Q.	How is the weighted days lead in payment for RECs determined?
19	A.	The weighted days lead in payment for RECs was determined using the same
20		methodology applicable to DS power suppliers described above. In applying this
21		methodology to 2022 RECs, three assumptions were made to reflect actual
22		payment activity towards the Company's 2022 REC commitment. First, the

1		monthly cost of the RECs was assumed to be equivalent to the estimated costs of
2		RECs included in rates in 2022. Second, actual payment activity as of June 1,
3		2023 towards the Company's 2022 REC commitment was applied in
4		chronological order to the earliest month's estimated cost. Third, a payment date
5		of July 1, 2023 was used for all remaining 2022 REC commitments, which is the
6		last day to obtain 2022 RECs and/or make alternative compliance payments. See
7		Schedule DTN-1, page 21 of 23 for the REC summary related to G1 customers
8		and page 23 of 23 for the REC summary related to Non-G1 customers.
9	Q.	What are the combined weighted days lead in payment of DS purchased
10		power costs and RECs for G1 and Non-G1 customers?
11	A.	The weighted days lead for G1 customers is 55.22 days, as shown on Schedule
12		DTN-1, page 20 of 23. The weighted days lead for Non-G1 customers is 53.74
13		days, as shown on Schedule DTN-1, page 22 of 23.
14	Q.	How is the total DS and REC lead lag determined?
15	A.	For G1 customers, the DS and REC expense lead of 55.22 days is subtracted from
16		the lag in receipt of revenue of 42.67 days to produce the total DS and REC net
17		lead of 12.55 days. For Non-G1 customers, the DS and REC expense lead of
18		53.74 days is subtracted from the lag in receipt of revenue of 56.39 days to
19		produce the total DS and REC net lag of 2.65 days. See Schedule DTN-1, page 4
20		of 23.
21	Q.	How do the results of the 2022 Study compare to the 2021 Study for G1
22		customers?

1	A.	For G1 customers, the net lead in the 2022 Study of 12.55 days represents a
2		decrease of 5.80 days from the net lead in the 2021 Study of 18.35 days. The
3		difference was driven by a decrease in total DS and REC expense lead of 6.38
4		days slightly offset by an overall revenue lag decrease of 0.58 days.
5		
6		The revenue lag component, "billing to collection" in the 2022 Study is 24.78
7		days compared to 25.38 days in the 2021 Study, a decrease of 0.60 days. All of
8		the other components in revenue lag net to a total increase of 0.02 days in the
9		2022 Study compared to the 2021 Study. The combined change in all of the
10		revenue lag components resulted in an overall revenue lag decrease of 0.58 days.
11		
12		The DS and REC expense lead is 55.22 days in the 2022 Study compared to 61.60
13		days in the 2021 Study, a decrease of 6.38 days. In 2022, the DS portion of the
14		expense lead increased 1.07 weighted days which was primarily driven by an
15		
10		increase in the DS portion of total costs compared to the prior year. The REC
16		increase in the DS portion of total costs compared to the prior year. The REC portion of the expense lead decreased 7.45 weighted days which was primarily
16	Q.	portion of the expense lead decreased 7.45 weighted days which was primarily
16 17	Q.	portion of the expense lead decreased 7.45 weighted days which was primarily driven by a decrease in the REC portion of total costs compared to the prior year.
16 17 18	<b>Q.</b> A.	portion of the expense lead decreased 7.45 weighted days which was primarily driven by a decrease in the REC portion of total costs compared to the prior year. <b>How do the results of the 2022 Study compare to the 2021 Study for Non-G1</b>

1		is attributable to a decrease in total DS and REC expense lead of 6.42 days offset
2		by an overall revenue lag decrease of 1.89 days.
3		
4		The revenue lag component, "billing to collection" in the 2022 Study is 38.50
5		days compared to 40.41 days in the 2021 Study, a decrease of 1.91 days. All
6		other revenue lag components increased by of 0.02 days in the 2022 Study
7		compared to the 2021 Study. The net effect of all of the changes in the revenue
8		lag components resulted in a 1.89 day decrease in the 2022 revenue lag compared
9		to 2021.
10		
11		The DS and REC expense lead is 6.42 days lower in 2022 compared to 2021. In
12		2022, the DS portion of the expense lead increased 4.43 weighted days which was
13		driven by an increase in the DS portion of total costs. The REC portion of the
14		expense lead decreased 10.85 weighted days which was primarily driven by a
15		decrease in the REC portion of total costs compared to the prior year.
16	VI.	CONCLUSION
17	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
18	٨	Ves it does

18 A. Yes, it does.