# Granite State Electric Company d/b/a National Grid

Docket No. DT 07-011

**Direct Testimony** 

of

Cheryl A. Warren

# **Table of Contents**

I.	<u>Introduction</u>	Page 3
II.	Purpose of Testimony	Page 4
III.	Emergency Response	Page 5
IV.	Pole Removal and Installation	Page 6
V.	Investments in Infrastructure	Page 8
VI.	Reservation of Right to Supplement Testimony	Page 8
VII.	Conclusion	Page 9

1 I. INTRODUCTIO	)N	(	Ί	I	$\mathbf{C}'$	J	I	D	)	R	Γ.	IJ	P	I		I.	1		1
------------------	----	---	---	---	---------------	---	---	---	---	---	----	----	---	---	--	----	---	--	---

- 2 Q. Please state your name and business address.
- 3 A. Cheryl A. Warren, 1125 Broadway, Albany, NY 12204.
- 4 Q. Please state your position with the Company.
- 5 A. I am the Vice President of Asset Strategy and Investment Planning in the Network
- 6 Strategy organization within National Grid USA Service Company, Inc.
- 7 Q. Have you previously testified before the Commission?
- 8 A. No, I have not.
- 9 Q. Please provide your educational background and experience.
- I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Electrical Engineering in 1987 and a Master of 10 A. Science degree in Engineering in 1990 from Union College in Schenectady, NY. I was 11 employed by Central Hudson Gas and Electric from 1987 to 1989 in the System 12 Protection Department where I was responsible for relay coordination on the distribution 13 system. In 1990, I accepted a position in the Distribution Engineering Group, part of the 14 Consulting Group, with Power Technologies Inc. ("PTI"). My responsibilities included 15 the study and analysis of distribution issues for numerous companies. My primary areas 16 of responsibility were in power quality and reliability studies for clients. During this 17 timeframe, I also assisted on the Rocket Triggered Lightning project that was sponsored 18 by the Electric Power Research Institute ("EPRI"), and taught numerous courses on 19 distribution systems, protection and coordination, and reliability analysis. In 1995, I 20 transferred into the Software Group at PTI and assumed leadership of its distribution 21 power flow software package (PSS/U). In that role I was responsible for all aspects of 22

1		the program, including design, implementation, testing, training, support, manual
2		creation, sales, marketing and user groups. In 1998, I transferred back to the Consulting
3		Group where I was largely responsible for leading distribution reliability and information
4		technology ("IT") integration engagements for clients. In 1999, I accepted a position as a
5		Senior Engagement Manager with Navigant Consulting in Albany, NY. There I led
6		reliability and IT system integration client engagements. In August 2002, I accepted a
7		position with National Grid USA.
8	Q.	What are your current responsibilities with National Grid?
9	A.	My responsibilities as Vice President of Asset Strategy and Investment Planning include
10		the provision of reliability assessment support, development of the reliability
11		enhancement program (REP), development of the asset strategies including vegetation
12		strategy used at National Grid, the responsibility for the Capital budget, the research and
13		development group, and preparation of reliability results for regulatory filings in New
14		England and New York.
15	II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
16	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
17	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to request that the Commission place reasonable
18		conditions on the Verizon/FairPoint transfer of assets currently under consideration in
19		Docket No. DT 07-011. Granite State Electric Company d/b/a National Grid ("National
20		Grid") does not oppose the transfer of assets by Verizon to FairPoint that is the subject of
21		this proceeding. However, National Grid requests that FairPoint be required to comply
22		with certain obligations regarding pole performance as a condition of the Commission's

approval of the transaction to ensure that FairPoint performs its obligations under the existing Joint Ownership Agreement between National Grid and Verizon ("JOA") and the Intercompany Operating Procedures ("IOP") which are incorporated into the JOA by reference. The remainder of my testimony will outline the conditions that National Grid requests be placed on the Verizon/FairPoint transfer of assets.

#### **EMERGENCY RESPONSE**

III.

Α.

Q. Please describe the problems that you have encountered with Verizon's standbyprocedure.

Companies operating with licensed fixed assets (i.e., utility poles, wires, etc.) located within the public rights-of-way must have personnel available to respond in a timely fashion to make emergency repairs, 24 hours per day, 365 days per year. Verizon does not have provisions in its contract for employees to be on standby. See DM 05-172, Verizon response to Staff Data Request 1-2 (please refer to attachment RTH-2 to the testimony of Robert T. Hybsch submitted by PSNH in this proceeding). Furthermore, "Verizon NH has no field crews or emergency personnel on paid standby during nights or emergencies." See DM 05-172, Verizon response to Staff Data Request 2-13 (please refer to attachment RTH-3 to the testimony of Robert T. Hybsch submitted by PSNH in this proceeding). Because of this lack of available personnel, emergency repairs frequently take longer than they should and the responsibility and cost for the emergency repairs is being borne disproportionately by National Grid. I recommend that a condition be placed on the Verizon/FairPoint transfer of assets that requires FairPoint to implement a standby system in multiple work locations throughout the state in order to address

1		emergencies in the telephone company's maintenance area. I also recommend that a
2		condition be placed on FairPoint to require a response to the site of emergency with
3		qualified repair crews (excluding major storm events) within one hour on average. A one
4		hour average response time to pole-related emergencies is consistent with the
5		performance of the electric utilities. See DM 05-172, Responses of the Electric Utilities
6		to Staff Data Request 1-3 (please refer to attachment RTH-4 to the testimony of Robert T.
7		Hybsch submitted by PSNH in this proceeding).
8	IV.	POLE REMOVAL AND INSTALLATION
9	Q.	What has been your experience with double poles within the pubic rights-of-way in
10		your franchise area?
11	A.	In the normal course of business, National Grid has approximately 75 poles in the process
12		of having transfer activity resulting in a temporary double pole situation. This is a
13		dynamic number that changes based on construction activities. In Verizon's response to
14		data request Staff 3-23 in DM 05-172, Verizon reported having 5,479 poles that have
15		pending transfer activity of which 2,418 were reported to have been pending for over 2
16		years (please refer to attachment RTH-11 to the testimony of Robert T. Hybsch submitted
17		by PSNH in this proceeding). I recommend that a condition be placed on the
18		Verizon/FairPoint transfer of assets that requires FairPoint to transfer facilities and
19		remove double poles on their existing backlog, which is currently estimated to be in
20		excess of 7,000 poles, within the next 36 months, and require FairPoint to maintain a
21		backlog of double poles of less than 500 poles going forward.

What has been your experience with the timely placement of new service poles for 1 Q. customers within your maintenance area and Verizon's maintenance area? 2 Since the deregulation of the telephone industry, Verizon will not commit to joint pole 3 Α. ownership of a new service pole unless the new customer commits to take telephone 4 service from Verizon. Customers constructing new homes typically do not decide on a 5 telephone provider until the latter stages of the construction process. These same 6 customers often require temporary electrical service long before they consider telephone 7 service. To avoid unnecessary delays, I recommend that a condition be placed on the 8 Verizon/FairPoint transfer of assets that requires FairPoint to respond to requests from 9 National Grid for joint pole ownership within 15 days. 10 What are you asking the Commission to require regarding the JOA? 11 Q. National Grid is requesting that as a condition of the asset transfer, that FairPoint be 12 A. required to accept Commission jurisdiction of the issues discussed above when there is a 13 disagreement under the terms of the JOA. In view of Verizon's poor performance in 14 these areas, the added expense National Grid has incurred as a result of that poor 15 performance, and the frustration that customers of both utilities have experienced, 16 National Grid maintains that Commission oversight is warranted. FairPoint has indicated 17 that it plans to retain a one-half ownership in jointly owned poles. As long as FairPoint 18 owns a one-half interest in the utility poles, the Commission has an interest in the 19 supervision of utility plant and whether adequate service regarding utility poles is being 20 provided to all customers. While National Grid has no reason to believe that FairPoint 21 will not uphold its commitments, our experience with Verizon tells us that Commission 22

1		oversight is needed to avoid a repetition of the problems. If FairPoint abides by the terms
2		of the agreement, then little or no future action by the Commission will be necessary.
3		But if there is a disagreement regarding performance that affects electric service, it is in
4		the public interest for the Commission to have the authority to resolve the matter in an
5.		expeditious manner.
6	V.	INVESTMENTS IN INFRASTRUCTURE
7	Q.	Are there any other issues of concern regarding the FairPoint acquisition?
8	A.	Yes. As stated earlier in my testimony, FairPoint will have 50% ownership in
9		infrastructure that is critical to the provision of reliable electric service. With the joint
10		ownership, FairPoint will have responsibility for maintaining and replacing poles located
11		in its designated maintenance areas. It is absolutely critical for electric reliability that
12		FairPoint make appropriate investments in the pole infrastructure. As such, National
13		Grid believes it would be important for the Commission to include a condition on the
14		transfer that requires FairPoint to maintain and replace poles in accordance with good
15		utility practice. In addition, the condition should include a mechanism through which an
16		electric utility may seek relief from the Commission to order FairPoint to take
17		appropriate actions to replace or repair poles if electric reliability is being threatened by a
18		lack of action or investment on the part of FairPoint.
19	VI.	RESERVATION OF RIGHT TO SUPPLEMENT TESTIMONY
20	Q.	Have there been ongoing discussions among FairPoint and the electric utilities
21		regarding the issues addressed in your testimony?

- 1 A. Yes. Accordingly, National Grid reserves the right to supplement its testimony in the
- event that a settlement is reached with FairPoint regarding the joint ownership of pole
- 3 issues that I have outlined in my testimony.
- 4 VII. CONCLUSION
- 5 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 6 A. Yes, it does.

7