

DT 02-017

VERIZON NEW HAMPSHIRE/VARTEC TELECOM, INC.  
d/b/a VarTec Telecom

Order Nisi Approving Interconnection Agreement

O R D E R    N O.    23,929

March 8, 2002

On February 5, 2002, Verizon New England d/b/a Verizon New Hampshire and VarTec Telecom, Inc. (VarTec), (collectively, the Parties), jointly filed with the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission (Commission) a negotiated Interconnection Agreement (Agreement). The Agreement was filed for approval pursuant to section 252(e)(2) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (TAct), 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2).

VarTec received certification as a Competitive Local Exchange Carrier (CLEC) in Docket No. DT 01-184 dated November 16, 2001 in Order No. 23,844 and was certified to provide intraLATA toll under IXC No. 05797 on July 28, 1995.

The Agreement is a comprehensive set of terms and conditions that will facilitate the provisioning of telecommunications service by VarTec as a CLEC in New Hampshire. The initial term of the Agreement expires on November 27, 2003. The Agreement will remain in effect past that date, absent 90 days advanced written notice by either

party until the earlier of November 27, 2004 or the effective date of a new agreement.

The Agreement provides, inter alia, for transmission/routing of exchange service traffic and exchange access traffic, transmission/termination of other types of traffic and joint network configuration. It further provides for unbundled access, resale, co-location, number portability, dialing parity, access to rights-of-way, access to data bases, and directory assistance service. The Parties will exchange technical and traffic information which will be kept proprietary; each Party will maintain facilities within its own network and will not interfere with the other Party's systems.

The Parties agree to jointly engineer, plan and operate a diverse transmission system with which they will interconnect their respective networks. The Agreement specifies the designation of interconnection points, provides for a joint grooming plan, and provides for the physical interface of facilities. Interoffice facilities are priced on an unbundled basis to allow for use with other unbundled network elements, thus creating numerous facilities-based and/or resale options to VarTec in the provisioning of exchange and exchange access services. The Agreement also

includes detailed unbundling of local outside plant and central office facilities that would allow VarTec to provide digital and other high-tech services without extensive revisions to the Agreement.

Prices in this filing are virtually the same as those in previously approved non-cellular interconnection agreements adopted in New Hampshire for the services/elements that are common. Staff points out that the TAct does not require that a telecommunications company sell each service/element for the same price or terms to each requesting party.

The Commission Staff recommends approval of the Agreement between VarTec and Verizon based upon a review of the petition and the Agreement.

Section 252(e)(2)(A) of the TAct requires us to approve a negotiated interconnection agreement unless we determine that the Agreement or some portion of it "discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement" or that the implementation of the Agreement would not be consistent with "the public interest, convenience, and necessity". We have reviewed the filing and find that approval is warranted according to this standard. The Agreement does not appear to be discriminatory to any

carrier not a party to the negotiations and is consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. We will approve it on a nisi basis in order to provide any interested party an opportunity to submit comments or request a hearing.

We note that VarTec may purchase services or unbundled elements that may not be covered in this interconnection agreement from Verizon's Statement of Generally Available Terms (SGAT) and its subsequent revisions that is in effect per Order No. 22,692, subject to continued review pursuant to Section 252(f)(4) of the Tact.

In order to promote the continued growth of competitive telecommunications services in New Hampshire, we will require VarTec to comply with our notice requirements regarding "Fresh Look" opportunities. The recommended method for giving notice is to provide the Commission with a contemporaneous copy of the Confirmation of Code Activation form which is used to notify the North American Numbering Code Administrator.

As new competitors enter the telecommunications market, we recognize that New Hampshire's 603 area code encounters constantly increasing demand. Accordingly, we will require that VarTec request and use numbers responsibly and conservatively, and invite VarTec to explore alternative mechanisms to use existing numbers as efficiently as possible. In approving this Agreement, we require VarTec to comply with our orders on number conservation including Order No. 23,385 issued January 7, 2000, and Order No. 23,392 issued January

27, 2000, as well as further orders issued by the Commission concerning this matter.

**Based upon the foregoing, it is hereby**

**ORDERED NISI**, that the Interconnection Agreement between VarTec Telecom, Inc. and Verizon New Hampshire is APPROVED; and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED**, that VarTec is required to comply with our Order No. 23,385 issued January 7, 2000, Order No. 23,392 issued January 27, 2000, and further orders issued by the Commission concerning number conservation; and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED**, that VarTec is prohibited from placing any orders under this Agreement and from otherwise doing business in New Hampshire until such time as VarTec has complied with all requirements of applicable federal and state law or regulation; and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED**, that VarTec shall notify the Commission within ten days of completing its first facilities-based commercial call in any exchange that has not already been opened to a "Fresh Look" opportunity as ordered in Docket DE 96-420; and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED**, that the Petitioner shall cause a copy of this Order Nisi to be published once in a statewide newspaper of general circulation, such publication to be no

later than March 18, 2002 and to be documented by affidavit filed with this office on or before March 22, 2002; and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED,** that all persons interested in responding to this petition be notified that they may submit their comments or file a written request for a hearing on this matter before the Commission no later than March 25, 2002; and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED,** that this Order Nisi shall be effective April 4, 2002, unless the Commission provides otherwise in a supplemental order issued prior to the effective date.

By order of the Public Utilities Commission of New Hampshire this eighth day of March, 2002.

---

Thomas B. Getz  
Chairman

---

Susan S. Geiger  
Commissioner

---

Nancy Brockway  
Commissioner

Attested by:

---

Debra A. Howland  
Executive Director and Secretary